

## Exercise sheet 4. All lectures.

### Part A.

**Question 4.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a regular map between varieties. Suppose that  $X$  is quasi-projective. Let  $\sigma : Y \rightarrow X$  be a regular map such that  $f \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_Y$  (such a map is called a *section* of  $f$ ). Show that  $\sigma(Y)$  is closed in  $X$ .

**Solution.** Note that we have by construction

$$\sigma(Y) = \{x \in X \mid (\sigma \circ f)(x) = x\}.$$

Let  $\delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times X$  (resp.  $\Delta_X \subseteq X \times X$ ) be the diagonal map (resp. the diagonal) of  $X$ . Let  $\Gamma_{\sigma \circ f} \subseteq X \times X$  be the graph of  $\sigma \circ f$  (see Prop.-Def. 12.6). By the above, we have

$$\sigma(Y) = \delta_X^{-1}(\Delta_X \cap \Gamma_{\sigma \circ f})$$

and this set is closed because  $\Delta_X$  and  $\Gamma_{\sigma \circ f}$  are closed (because  $X$  is separated).

### Part B.

**Question 4.2.** Suppose in this exercise that  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Find the singularities of the following curves  $C$  in  $k^2$ . For each singular point  $P \in C$  compute the dimension of  $\mathfrak{m}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2$  as a  $k$ -vector space. Here  $\mathfrak{m}_P$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ .

(1)  $Z(x^6 + y^6 - xy)$

(2)  $Z(y^2 + x^4 + y^4 - x^3)$

You may assume that the polynomials  $x^6 + y^6 - xy$  and  $y^2 + x^4 + y^4 - x^3$  are irreducible.

**Solution.** (1) Note that  $\dim(C) = 1$  by Krull's theorem and by Theorem 8.7. Thus we need to find the points of  $C$  where the gradient of  $x^6 + y^6 - xy$  vanishes. The gradient of  $x^6 + y^6 - xy$  is  $\langle 6x^5 - y, 6y^5 - x \rangle$ . Hence we need to solve the equations  $x^6 + y^6 - xy = 6x^5 - y = 6y^5 - x = 0$ . We have

$$(x/6)(6x^5 - y) - (y/6)(6y^5 - x) + 2y^6 - xy = x^6 + y^6 - xy$$

and thus these equations are equivalent to

$$2y(y^5 - x) = 6x^5 - y = 6y^5 - x = 0.$$

Now if  $y = 0$  then  $x = 0$ . If  $y \neq 0$  then  $y^5 = x = x/6$  so  $y = 0$ , which is a contradiction. So we must have  $x = y = 0$ . So  $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$  is the only singular point of  $C$ .

For  $P = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$  the dimension of  $\mathfrak{m}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2$  as a  $k$ -vector space cannot be 1, since otherwise the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$  would be regular (apply Proposition 13.3). Since  $\mathfrak{m}_P$  is generated as a  $k[x, y]$ -module by the elements  $x$  and  $y$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{m}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2$  has dimension at most 2. Hence  $\mathfrak{m}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2$  has dimension 2.

(2) The reasoning is similar. Solve  $y^2 + x^4 + y^4 - x^3 = 4x^3 - 3x^2 = 2y + 4y^3 = 0$ . Combining, we obtain

$$4(y^2 + x^4 + y^4 - x^3) + (1/4 - x)(4x^3 - 3x^2) - y(2y + 4y^3) = (-3/4)x^2 + 2y^2 = 0.$$

Now if  $x \neq 0$  then  $x = 3/4$  since  $4x^3 - 3x^2 = 0$  and so  $y^2 = 27/128$ . But then  $y(2y + 4y^3) = 6503409/67108864$  which is a contradiction. So we have  $x = 0$  and also  $y = 0$ . We conclude again that the origin is the only singular point of  $C$ . By the same reasoning as above, we see that  $\mathfrak{m}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2$  has dimension 2.

**Question 4.3.** Let  $C$  be the plane curve considered in (1) of question 4.2. Consider the blow-up  $B$  of  $C$  at each of its singular points in turn. How many irreducible components does the exceptional divisor of  $B$  have? Is  $B$  nonsingular?

**Solution.** Consider the curve  $Z(x_1x_2 - x_1^6 - x_2^6) \subseteq k^2$  of (1) of question 4.2. Use the terminology of Propositions 14.1 and 14.2, letting  $n = 2$  and  $X = Z(x_1x_2 - x_1^6 - x_2^6) = Y$  (note that the point to blow-up is the origin by the solution of question 4.2 (1) so we do not have to translate  $X$ ). We first compute  $\phi^{-1}(X)$ . Let  $\pi : k^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(k) \rightarrow k^n$  be the natural projection. By definition

$$\phi^{-1}(X) = \pi^{-1}(X) \cap Z = Z(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1, x_1x_2 - x_1^6 - x_2^6)$$

Let  $U_1 := \{[1, Y_2] \mid Y_2 \in k\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . In  $k^2 \times U_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(X) \cap (k^2 \times U_1) &= Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, x_1x_2 - x_1^6 - x_2^6) = Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, x_1^2y_2 - x_1^6 - x_1^6y_2^6) \\ &= Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, x_1^2(y_2 - x_1^4 - x_1^4y_2^6)) = Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, x_1) \cup Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, y_2 - x_1^4 - x_1^4y_2^6) \\ &= \{0\} \times U_1 \cup Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, y_2 - x_1^4 - x_2^4y_2^2) \end{aligned}$$

Now  $Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, y_2 - x_1^4 - x_2^4y_2^2)$  does not contain  $\{0\} \times U_1$  (since setting  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$  implies that  $y_2 = 0$ ) so we have  $\text{Bl}(X, 0) \cap (k^2 \times U_1) = Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, y_2 - x_1^4 - x_2^4y_2^2)$  by question ?? (2). Finally, note that  $Z(x_1y_2 - x_2, y_2 - x_1^4 - x_2^4y_2^2) \cap (\{0\} \times U_1)$  contains only the point  $\{0\} \times \{[1, 0]\}$ . In other words, the intersection of the exceptional divisor of  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  with  $\{0\} \times U_1$  is the point  $\{0\} \times \{[1, 0]\}$ .

Let now  $U_2 := \{[Y_1, 1] \mid Y_1 \in k\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . We compute as before

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(X) \cap (k^2 \times U_2) &= Z(x_1 - x_2y_1, x_1x_2 - x_1^6 - x_2^6) = Z(x_1 - x_2y_1, y_1x_2^2 - x_2^6y_1^6 - x_2^6) \\ &= Z(x_1 - x_2y_1, x_2) \cup Z(x_1 - x_2y_1, y_1 - x_2^4y_1^6 - x_2^4) = \{0\} \times U_2 \cup Z(x_1 - x_2y_1, y_1 - x_2^4y_1^6 - x_2^4) \end{aligned}$$

We conclude as before that

$$\text{Bl}(X, 0) \cap (k^2 \times U_2) = Z(x_1 - x_2y_1, y_1 - x_2^4y_1^6 - x_2^4)$$

We compute  $Z(x_1 - x_2y_1, y_1 - x_2^4y_1^6 - x_2^4) \cap (\{0\} \times U_2) = \{0\} \times \{[0, 1]\}$ . So the intersection of the exceptional divisor of  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  with  $\{0\} \times U_2$  is the point  $\{0\} \times [0, 1]$ .

Putting everything together, we see that the exceptional divisor of  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  consists of the points  $\{0\} \times \{[1, 0]\}$  and  $\{0\} \times \{[0, 1]\}$ . In particular, the exceptional divisor of  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  has two irreducible components.

We now check nonsingularity. We only have to check the nonsingularity of  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  at  $\{0\} \times \{[1, 0]\}$  and  $\{0\} \times \{[0, 1]\}$  since  $\text{Bl}(X, 0) \setminus \{\{0\} \times \{[1, 0]\} \cup \{0\} \times \{[0, 1]\}\}$  is isomorphic to  $X \setminus \{0\}$  and  $X \setminus \{0\}$  is nonsingular by the solution of question 4.2 (1).

We first check nonsingularity at  $\{0\} \times \{[1, 0]\}$ . Let  $Q_1 := x_1y_2 - x_2$  and  $Q_2 := y_2 - x_1^4 - x_2^4y_2^2$ . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Q_1 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Q_1 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} Q_1 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Q_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Q_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} Q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -4x_1^3 & -4x_2^3y_2^2 & 1 - 2x_2^4y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and evaluating at 0 we get the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has rank 2. Using Lemma 13.5 we see that  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  is nonsingular at  $\{0\} \times \{[1, 0]\}$ .

We now check nonsingularity at  $\{0\} \times \{[0, 1]\}$ . Let  $Q_1 := x_1 - x_2 y_1$  and  $Q_2 := y_1 - x_2^4 y_1^6 - x_2^4$ . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Q_1 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Q_1 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} Q_1 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} Q_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Q_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} Q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4x_2^3 - 4x_2^3 y_1^6 & 1 - 6x_2^4 y_1^5 \end{pmatrix}$$

and evaluating at 0 we get the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which again has rank 2. Again using Lemma 13.5 we see that  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  is nonsingular at  $\{0\} \times \{[0, 1]\}$ .

So all in all  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  is nonsingular and its exceptional divisor has two irreducible components (which are points).

**Question 4.4.** Let  $V \subseteq k^2$  be the algebraic set defined by the equation  $x_1 x_2 = 0$ . Show that  $\text{Bl}(V, 0)$  has two disjoint irreducible components and that each of these components is isomorphic to  $k$ .

**Solution.** Use the terminology of questions Proposition 14.1 and 14.2, letting  $n = 2$  and  $X = Z(x_1 x_2) = Y$  (note that the point to blow-up is the origin so we do not have to translate  $X$ ). We first compute  $\phi^{-1}(X)$ . Let  $\pi : k^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(k) \rightarrow k^n$  be the natural projection. By definition

$$\phi^{-1}(X) = \pi^{-1}(X) \cap Z = Z(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1, x_1 x_2)$$

Let  $U_1 := \{[1, Y_2] \mid Y_2 \in k\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . In  $k^2 \times U_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(X) \cap (k^2 \times U_1) &= Z(x_1 y_2 - x_2, x_1 x_2) = Z(x_1 y_2 - x_2, x_1) \cup Z(x_1 y_2 - x_2, x_2) \\ &= \{0\} \times U_1 \cup Z(x_1 y_2, x_2) = \{0\} \times U_1 \cup Z(x_1, x_2) \cup Z(y_2, x_2) = \{0\} \times U_1 \cup Z(y_2, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Now note that by definition  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  is the closure of  $\phi^{-1}(X \setminus 0)$ . In particular,  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  is the union of the closures of  $\phi^{-1}(Z(x_1) \setminus 0)$  and  $\phi^{-1}(Z(x_2) \setminus 0)$ , ie the blow-ups of  $Z(x_1)$  and of  $Z(x_2)$ , respectively. Now note that  $\phi^{-1}(Z(x_1) \setminus 0) \cap (k^2 \times U_1) = \emptyset$  (see the solution to Q2 (3)). Noting also that  $Z(y_2, x_2)$  is irreducible, we see that  $\text{Bl}(X, 0) \cap (k^2 \times U_1) = Z(y_2, x_2)$ .

A completely similar reasoning with  $U_2$  in place of  $U_1$  shows that  $\text{Bl}(X, 0) \cap (k^2 \times U_2) = Z(y_1, x_1)$ . Hence  $\text{Bl}(X, 0) \subseteq Z(y_2, x_2) \cup Z(y_1, x_1) \subseteq k^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ , where we view the polynomials  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  as homogenous polynomials in the  $y$ -variables. On the other hand we have  $Z(y_2, x_2) \cap Z(y_1, x_1) = Z(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \emptyset$  and  $Z(y_2, x_2) \simeq Z(y_1, x_1) \simeq k$ . Since  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  has two irreducible components of dimension 1 by the above, we thus have  $\text{Bl}(X, 0) = Z(y_2, x_2) \cup Z(y_1, x_1)$ .

**Question 4.5.** Let  $C \subseteq k^2$  be defined by the equation  $P(x_1, x_2) = 0$ , where  $P(x_1, x_2)$  is an irreducible polynomial. Suppose that  $C$  goes through the origin 0 of  $k^2$  and is non singular there. Show that the natural morphism  $\text{Bl}(C, 0) \rightarrow C$  is an isomorphism. [Hint: construct an inverse map directly, without looking at coordinate charts]

**Solution.** Use the terminology of questions Proposition 14.1 and 14.2, letting  $n = 2$  and

$$X = Z(P(x_1, x_2)) = Y$$

(note that the point to blow up is the origin so we do not have to translate  $X$ ). We first compute  $\phi^{-1}(X)$ . Let  $\pi : k^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(k) \rightarrow k^n$  be the natural projection. By definition

$$\phi^{-1}(X) = \pi^{-1}(X) \cap Z = Z(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1, P(x_1, x_2)).$$

By assumption, we have either  $P_{x_1}(0,0) \neq 0$  or  $P_{x_2}(0,0) \neq 0$ . We suppose that  $P_{x_1}(0,0) \neq 0$ , the reasoning being similar if  $P_{x_2}(0,0) \neq 0$ . Also, note that by assumption, we have  $P(0,0) = 0$ . This implies that we can write

$$P(x_1, x_2) = A(x_2) + x_1 Q(x_1, x_2)$$

where  $Q(0,0) \neq 0$  and  $A(0) = 0$ . In particular,  $x_2 | A(x_2)$ . Write  $B(x_2) = A(x_2)/x_2$ . We define a map  $\sigma : X \rightarrow k^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  by the formulae

$$\sigma(X_1, X_2) = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle \times [B(X_2), -Q(X_1, X_2)] \quad (*)$$

if  $Q(X_1, X_2) \neq 0$  and

$$\sigma(X_1, X_2) := \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle \times [X_1, X_2] \quad (**)$$

otherwise. We have

$$B(X_2)X_2 - (-Q(X_1, X_2))X_1 = 0$$

so that whenever  $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle \neq \langle 0, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle \in X$ , the formulae  $(*)$  and  $(**)$  give the same point in  $k^n \times \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . Also, by construction,  $\sigma(X) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(X)$  and  $\phi \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_X$ . Now by Question 4.1, the set  $\sigma(X)$  is closed. The set  $\sigma(X)$  is thus closed and irreducible and it is isomorphic to  $X$  as a closed subvariety of  $\phi^{-1}(X)$  (since  $\phi|_{\sigma(X)}$  provides an inverse to  $\sigma : X \rightarrow \sigma(X)$ ). Finally,  $\sigma(X)$  is not contained in  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . Hence it is contained in  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  (see Proposition 14.2) and it thus coincides with it since  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  is birational to  $X$  and thus has the same dimension as  $X$ . The map  $\sigma$  thus provides an isomorphism between  $\text{Bl}(X, 0)$  and  $X$ .

## Part C.

**Question 4.6.** (1) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a dominant morphism of varieties. Suppose that  $Y$  is irreducible. Show that  $\dim(X) \geq \dim(Y)$ .

(2) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a dominant morphism of irreducible varieties. Suppose that the field extension  $\kappa(X)|\kappa(Y)$  is algebraic. Show that there are affine open subvarieties  $U \subseteq X$  and  $W \subseteq Y$  such that  $f(U) = W$  and such that the map of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(W)$  is injective and finite.

(3) Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a dominant morphism of irreducible quasi-projective varieties. Show that there is a  $y \in Y$  such that we have  $\dim(f^{-1}(\{y\})) \geq \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ . [Hint. Reduce to the situation where  $Y$  is affine and apply Noether's normalisation lemma to show that you may assume wlog that  $Y = k^n$  for some  $n$ . Now use the existence of transcendence bases and (2) to show that there is an open subvariety  $U \subseteq X$  and an open subvariety  $W$  of  $k^{\dim(X)-\dim(Y)} \times k^n$  such that  $f|_U$  factors as a finite and surjective morphism  $U \rightarrow W$ , followed by the projection to  $k^n$ . Now deduce the result from (1) and a computation of the dimension of the fibres of the projection  $k^{\dim(X)-\dim(Y)} \times k^n \rightarrow k^n$ .]

(4) Deduce that in the situation of (3), the set of  $y \in Y$  such that we have  $\dim(f^{-1}(\{y\})) \geq \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$  is dense in  $Y$ .

**Solution.** (1) Let  $\{X_i\}$  be the irreducible components of  $X$ . Then  $f(X_i)$  is irreducible for all  $i$  and hence the closure  $\overline{f(X_i)}$  is also irreducible for all  $i$  (by question 2.5 (1)). Hence we must have  $\cup_i \overline{f(X_i)} = Y$ , otherwise  $f$  is not dominant. Now if  $\overline{f(X_i)} \neq Y$  for all  $i$  then  $Y$  is not irreducible, which is impossible. So there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $\overline{f(X_{i_0})} = Y$ . In that case we have a field extension  $\kappa(X_{i_0})|\kappa(Y)$  and thus  $\dim(X_{i_0}) \geq \dim(Y)$  by Proposition 9.2. It now follows from the definition of dimension that  $\dim(X) \geq \dim(Y)$ .

(2) We first prove the following statement of commutative algebra. Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of finitely generated integral  $k$ -algebras. Suppose that  $\text{Spm}(\phi)(\text{Spm}(B))$  is dense in  $\text{Spm}(A)$  and suppose that the induced map  $\text{Frac}(\phi) : \text{Frac}(A) \rightarrow \text{Frac}(B)$  is an algebraic extension of fields. Then there is an element  $f \in A$  such that the induced map  $A[f^{-1}] \rightarrow B[\phi(f)^{-1}]$  is injective and finite.

To prove this assertion, note that by question 1.5 we already know that under the given assumptions,  $\phi$  must be injective. Note also that since we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Frac}(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Frac}(\phi)} & \text{Frac}(B) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \end{array}$$

all whose maps are injective, the induced map  $A[f^{-1}] \rightarrow B[\phi(f)^{-1}]$  is injective for any choice of  $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$  (remember that  $A$  and  $B$  are integral domains). Thus we only have to show that there is  $f \in A \setminus \{0\}$  such that the induced map  $A[f^{-1}] \rightarrow B[\phi(f)^{-1}]$  is finite. Now let  $b_1, \dots, b_l$  be generators of  $B$  as a  $k$ -algebra. By assumption, each  $b_i/1 \in \text{Frac}(B)$  satisfies a monic polynomial equation with coefficients in  $\text{Frac}(A)$ . Let  $f \in A$  be the product of the denominators of all the coefficients of all these equations. Note that  $B[\phi(f)^{-1}]$  is generated as a  $k$ -algebra by  $1/\phi(f)$  and by the elements  $b_i/1$  (use Lemma 5.3 in CA). In particular,  $B[\phi(f)^{-1}]$  is generated by the  $b_i/1$  as a  $A[f^{-1}]$ -algebra. On the other hand, by construction, the elements  $b_i/1$  all satisfy integral equations over  $A[f^{-1}]$ . Hence  $A[f^{-1}] \rightarrow B[\phi(f)^{-1}]$  is a finite map of rings (see section 8 in CA).

Note that the fact that  $A[f^{-1}] \rightarrow B[\phi(f)^{-1}]$  is injective and finite implies that the induced map

$$\text{Spm}(B[\phi(f)^{-1}]) \rightarrow \text{Spm}(A[f^{-1}])$$

is surjective (use Th. 8.8 and Cor. 8.10 in CA).

Returning to the problem at hand, note that we may wlog assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine (take an affine open  $Y'$  in  $Y$  and an affine open  $X'$  in  $f^{-1}(Y')$  and replace  $X$  by  $X'$  (resp.  $Y$  by  $Y'$ ). Applying the result of commutative algebra that we just proved to  $A = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  and  $B = \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$  we obtain the desired result.

(3) Note that Th. 9.1 (Noether's normalisation lemma), Prop. 8.12, Th. 8.8 and Cor. 8.10 in CA imply that for some  $n \geq 0$  there is a surjective morphism  $h : Y \rightarrow k^{\dim(Y)}$ , such that the fibre  $h^{-1}(\bar{v})$  of  $h$  over  $\bar{v}$  is finite for all  $\bar{v} \in k^n$ . Since the fibres of the composed morphism  $h \circ f$  are finite disjoint unions of fibres of  $f$ , we may thus replace  $f$  by  $h \circ f$  and suppose that  $Y = k^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

Now consider the field extension  $\kappa(X)|\kappa(Y)$ . Choose a transcendence basis  $b_1, \dots, b_\delta \in \kappa(X)$  of  $\kappa(X)$  over  $\kappa(Y)$ . Write  $\kappa(Y) = \kappa(k^n) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . The set  $x_1, \dots, x_n, b_1, \dots, b_\delta$  is then by construction a transcendence basis for  $\kappa(X)$  over  $k$ . Since we know that  $\dim(k^n) = n$  (see Theorem 8.4), we deduce from Proposition 9.2 that  $\delta = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ . Now the subfield  $\kappa(Y)(b_1, \dots, b_\delta)$  of  $\kappa(X)$  is isomorphic as a  $k$ -algebra to  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_\delta)$ , which is the function field of  $k^{n+\delta}$ . The inclusion  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \hookrightarrow k(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_\delta)$  is induced by the natural projection morphism  $\pi : k^{n+\delta} \rightarrow k^n$  (unroll the definitions). Hence we have a rational dominant map  $a : X \rightarrow k^{n+\delta}$  such that the rational dominant map associated with the morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is the composition of  $a$  with the rational dominant map associated with  $\pi$  (apply Proposition 9.4 and question 3.4). Applying (2) we obtain open affine subvarieties  $U \subseteq X$  and  $W \subseteq k^{n+\delta}$  and a surjective morphism  $g : U \rightarrow W$ , which represents  $a$ . Let now  $f' = \pi \circ g$ .

Note that by question 3.4 again, we have  $f' = f|_U$ . Let  $y \in \pi(W) = f'(U) = f(U)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(f^{-1}(y)) &\geq \dim(f^{-1}(y) \cap U) = \dim((f')^{-1}(y)) \\ &= \dim(g^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap W)) \geq \dim(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap W) = \dim(\pi^{-1}(y)) = \delta = \dim(X) - \dim(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used question 2.7 for the first inequality and we used (1) for the inequality

$$\dim(g^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap W)) \geq \dim(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap W)$$

(remember that  $g$  is surjective). To justify the equality

$$\dim(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap W) = \dim(\pi^{-1}(y)) = \delta$$

note that  $\pi^{-1}(y) \simeq k^\delta$ . We thus have  $\dim(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap W) = \dim(\pi^{-1}(y))$  by Proposition 9.2 and we have  $\dim(\pi^{-1}(y)) = \delta$  by Theorem 8.4.

(4) Let  $U \subseteq Y$  be an open subvariety. Applying (3) to the morphism  $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ , we see that there is a point  $y \in U$  such that  $\dim(f^{-1}(y)) \geq \dim(f^{-1}(U)) - \dim(U) = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ . Since  $U$  was arbitrary, this shows what we want.

**Question 4.7.** (1) Show that all the morphisms from  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  are constant. [Hint: Use question 4.6 and the projective dimension theorem.]

(2) Using (1) or using another method, show that the morphisms from  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  to  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  are constant.

**Solution.** (1) Let  $f : \mathbb{P}^2(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is a morphism. Suppose for contradiction that  $f$  is not constant. By Corollary 12.10, the image  $f(\mathbb{P}^2(k))$  is closed, and it is also irreducible, since  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  is irreducible. Hence  $f(\mathbb{P}^2(k)) = \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  (because  $\dim(\mathbb{P}^1(k)) = 1$ ). Now let  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be such that  $y_1 \neq y_2$  and  $\dim(f^{-1}(y_1)), \dim(f^{-1}(y_2)) \geq \dim(\mathbb{P}^2(k)) - \dim(\mathbb{P}^1(k)) = 1$ . This exists by question 4.6. Since  $\dim(\mathbb{P}^2(k)) = 2$  we then actually have  $\dim(f^{-1}(y_1)) = \dim(f^{-1}(y_2)) = 1$ . Let  $C_1$  (resp.  $C_2$ ) be an irreducible component of  $\dim(f^{-1}(y_1))$  (resp.  $\dim(f^{-1}(y_2))$ ) such that  $\dim(C_1) = \dim(C_2) = 1$ . We have  $\dim(C_1) + \dim(C_2) - 2 = 0$  and so by Proposition 11.2 we have  $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction.

(2) Let  $n \geq 2$ . First note that  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$  is isomorphic to the closed subvariety  $Z(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ . To see this note that the image of the morphism  $\iota : \mathbb{P}^2(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  given by the formula

$$[X_0, X_1, X_2] \mapsto [X_0, X_1, X_2, 0 \dots ((n-2)\text{-times}) \dots, 0]$$

is  $Z(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)$ . This morphism is an isomorphism onto  $Z(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)$  because the morphism

$$\mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus Z(x_0, x_1, x_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(k)$$

given by the formula

$$[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] \mapsto [X_0, X_1, X_2]$$

gives an inverse to  $\iota$  when restricted to  $Z(x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)$ .

Let now  $f : \mathbb{P}^n(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be a morphism. Suppose for contradiction that  $f$  is not constant. Let  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be two points such that  $f(\bar{v}_1) \neq f(\bar{v}_2)$ . Let  $M$  be an invertible  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix such that  $M([1, 0, 0, \dots, 0]) = \bar{v}_1$  and  $M([0, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0]) = \bar{v}_2$ . Let  $\phi_M : \mathbb{P}^n(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be the automorphism defined by  $M$  (see question 2.8). The morphism  $f \circ \phi_M \circ \iota : \mathbb{P}^2(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is then not constant, which is a contradiction by (1).