B1.1 Logic Lecture 15

Martin Bays

Oxford, MT 2023

## 14. Prenex normal form

A formula is in **prenex normal form (PNF)** if it is of the form

$$Q_1 x_{i_1} Q_2 x_{i_2} \cdots Q_k x_{i_k} \psi,$$

where each  $Q_i$  is a quantifier (i.e. either  $\forall$  or  $\exists$ ), and where  $\psi$  is a formula containing no quantifiers.

## 14.1 PNF-Theorem

Every  $\phi \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$  is logically equivalent to an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula in PNF.

*Proof:* Induction on  $\phi$  (working in the language with  $\forall, \exists, \neg, \land$ , recalling that  $\{\neg, \land\}$  is adequate for propositional logic):

ullet  $\phi$  atomic:  $\phi$  is already in PNF.

• 
$$\phi = \neg \chi$$
 with  $\chi$  in PNF:   
 say  $\phi = \neg Q_1 x_{i_1} Q_2 x_{i_2} \cdots Q_k x_{i_k} \psi$ .

Then 
$$\phi \models \exists Q_1^- x_{i_1} \cdots Q_k^- x_{i_k} \neg \psi$$
, where  $Q^- = \exists$  if  $Q = \forall$ , and  $Q^- = \forall$  if  $Q = \exists$ .

•  $\phi = (\chi \wedge \rho)$  with  $\chi, \rho$  in PNF:

Note that  $\forall x_i \alpha \models \exists \forall x_j \alpha [x_j/x_i]$  if  $x_j$  does not occur in  $\alpha$ .

Swapping variables in this way, we may assume that the variables quantified over in  $\chi$  do not occur in  $\rho$ , and vice versa.

But then, e.g.

$$(\forall x_1 \alpha \wedge \exists x_2 \beta) \models \exists \forall x_1 \exists x_2 (\alpha \wedge \beta). \qquad \Box$$