

Geometric Group Theory

Cornelia Druțu

University of Oxford

Part C course HT 2024

Some inspirational quotations

John von Neumann: “If people do not believe that mathematics is simple, it is only because they do not realize how complicated life is.”

Herman Weyl: “In these days the angel of topology and the devil of abstract algebra fight for the soul of each individual mathematical domain.”

Guillermo Moreno: “Groups, as men, will be known by their actions.”

Resources

- Lecture notes.
- Problem Sheet 0.
- Four other Problem sheets.
- Broadening course mini-projects.
- Hand-out Notes with material from previous years.
- **Books:** J.P. Serre - Trees
D. – Kapovich: Geometric Group Theory (Chapters 7,8,11).

Plan of the course

We will be studying **countable infinite groups**. Unless otherwise stated, all groups will be **finitely generated**.

We have two types of infinite groups:

- **“Small”**: Infinite abelian \subset Nilpotent \subset Polycyclic \subset Solvable (studied in MT, C2.4 Infinite Groups).
- **“Large”**: Free groups \subset Hyperbolic groups;
Free groups \subset Amalgamated products.

Methods used

(1) **Geometric Approach:** Make the group G act on an interesting metric space X . Deduce algebraic properties of G from

- the geometry of X
- the properties of the action of G on X

For example, X might be a Hilbert space (in particular \mathbb{R}^n) or a differentiable/Riemannian manifold. In this course, we consider the case when X is a **simplicial tree** and, later, when $X = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ or $X = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$.

(2) **Algorithmic Approach:** Design algorithms and construct Turing machines to solve algebraic questions. This **works for groups described by finite data** (i.e. **finitely presented** groups). Dehn (1912) formulated 3 fundamental problems:

- I Word Problem
- II Conjugacy Problem
- III Isomorphism Problem

Methods used

Dehn solved the three problems for G acting on \mathbb{H}^2 by isometries, $G \leq \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ discrete and \mathbb{H}^2/G compact.

However, the problems are **unsolvable in general** (Novikov–Boone). The example of a group with unsolvable word problem that Novikov–Boone constructed **acts on a tree**.

(3) **Approximation by finite groups**: Idea is to take finite quotients G/N_k that become larger and larger. Ideally $\bigcap N_k = \{1\}$.

- **Residually finite groups**.

Methods used

(4) Understanding of subgroups:

- What kind of subgroups?
- Can decompose G into “building blocks”?
- “Small” groups: basic building blocks are **abelian**; the general groups obtained by iterating **semidirect products**, more generally **short exact sequences**;
- “Large” groups: a larger class of building blocks; we iterate **amalgamated products** and **HNN extensions**;

Methods used

The main trick of GGT is to move between:

Discrete world :

- Graphs

Toolkit: Combinatorics, discrete maths

Continuous world:

- Differentiable manifolds \supseteq
Riemannian manifolds

Toolkit: Calculus

Dehn used the geometry of a continuous space (the real hyperbolic plane) to solve a problem about a discrete group. This can be done backwards: use a group/discrete structure to solve a problem about a continuous space.

Generating sets

Given $S \subset G$ and $H \leq G$, TFAE

- H is the smallest subgroup of G containing S ;
- $H = \bigcap_{S \subset K \leq G} K$;
- $H = \{s_1^{\pm 1} s_2^{\pm 1} \dots s_n^{\pm 1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, s_i \in S\} \cup \{\text{id}\}$.

H is called the subgroup generated by S . We write $H = \langle S \rangle$.

- If $H = G$ then S is called a generating set.
- If S finite then G is called finitely generated.
- If $S = \{x\}$ then $\langle x \rangle$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by x .
- Rank of G = minimal number of generators.

Free groups

What is “the largest infinite group” generated by n elements?

Finite sets: A larger than $B \Leftrightarrow \text{card}(A) \geq \text{card}(B) \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $f : A \rightarrow B$ onto.

Infinite groups: We look for a group $G = \langle X \rangle$, $\text{card}(X) = n$, such that for every group $H = \langle Y \rangle$, $\text{card}(Y) = n$, a bijection $X \rightarrow Y$ extends to an **onto group homomorphism**.

Clearly cannot be done for any group G , e.g. if G is abelian then H would have to be abelian.

So G must be a group with **no prescribed relation** (“free”).

Construction of a free group

$X \neq \emptyset$. Its elements = **letters/symbols**.

Take inverse letters/symbols $X^{-1} = \{a^{-1} \mid a \in X\}$.

We call $\mathcal{A} = X \sqcup X^{-1}$ an **alphabet**.

A **word** w in \mathcal{A} is a finite (possibly empty) string of letters in \mathcal{A}

$$a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} a_{i_2}^{\epsilon_2} \cdots a_{i_k}^{\epsilon_k},$$

where $a_i \in X, \epsilon_i = \pm 1$.

The **length** of w is k .

We use the notation **1** for the **empty word** (the word with no letters).

We say it has **length 0**.

Construction of a free group 2

A word w is **reduced** if it contains no pair of consecutive letters of the form aa^{-1} or $a^{-1}a$.

A **reduction** of a word w is the deletion of a pair of consecutive letters of the form aa^{-1} or $a^{-1}a$.

An **insertion** is the opposite operation: insert a pair of consecutive letters of the form aa^{-1} or $a^{-1}a$.

Denote by X^* the set of **words** in the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = X \sqcup X^{-1}$, empty word included.

Denote by $F(X)$ the set of **reduced words** in \mathcal{A} , empty word included.

We define an **equivalence relation** on X^* by $w \sim w'$ if w' can be obtained from w by a finite sequence of **reductions** and **insertions**.

Construction of a free group 3

Proposition

$\forall w \in X^*$, there exists a unique $u \in F(X)$ such that $w \sim u$.

Proof

Existence: By induction on the length.

$$\begin{aligned} w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} &\sim a_1 b_1 \dots b_k && \text{if } a_1 b_1 \neq x x^{-1}, x^{-1} x \\ &\sim b_2 \dots b_k && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Construction of a free group 4

Uniqueness: We prove that if $u, v \in F(X)$, $u \neq v$, then we cannot have $u \sim v$.

Argue by contradiction and assume we can. So there exists a sequence of reductions and insertions

$$w_0 = u \sim w_1 \sim w_2 \sim \dots \sim w_{n-1} \sim w_n = v$$

Take a sequence with $\sum |w_i|$ minimal. As u and v are reduced, $w_0 \rightarrow w_1$ is an **insertion** and $w_{n-1} \rightarrow w_n$ is a **reduction**. Hence $|w_0| < |w_1|$ and $|w_{n-1}| > |w_n|$. So there exists some i such that $|w_{i-1}| < |w_i| > |w_{i+1}|$. Say,

$w_{i-1} \rightarrow w_i$ is an insertion of aa^{-1} , $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$w_i \rightarrow w_{i+1}$ is a deletion of bb^{-1} , $b \in \mathcal{A}$

Construction of a free group 4

$w_{i-1} \rightarrow w_i$ is an insertion of aa^{-1} , $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$w_i \rightarrow w_{i+1}$ is a deletion of bb^{-1} , $b \in \mathcal{A}$

- If aa^{-1} and bb^{-1} are the same letters in w_i , then we can suppress w_i and take $w_{i-1} = w_{i+1}$.
- If aa^{-1} and bb^{-1} are disjoint in w_i then we change the order: first delete bb^{-1} , then insert aa^{-1} .
- If aa^{-1} and bb^{-1} have one letter in common in w_i , for example:

$$w_{i-1} = [\dots xyz \dots]$$

$$w_i = [\dots xaa^{-1}yz \dots] \quad , \quad y = a$$

$$w_{i+1} = [\dots xaz \dots]$$

then we can take $w_{i-1} = w_{i+1}$. All three are decreasing $\sum |w_i|$, a contradiction. □

Construction of a free group 5

Definition

The **free group over** X is the set $F(X)$ endowed with the product $*$ defined by: $w * w'$ is the unique reduced word equivalent to the word ww' . The unit is the empty word.

Example

- 1 If $\#X = 1$ then $F(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.
- 2 IF $\#X \geq 2$ then $F(X)$ not abelian.

Terminology: We say that a **free non-abelian group** is a group $F(X)$ with $\text{card}(X) \geq 2$.

Examples of free groups in real life: the ping-pong lemma

Example

Take $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \geq 2$,

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ r & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts by isometries on $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$, via

$$g \cdot z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{R}).$$

Statement: We have that $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \leq SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $F(\{g_1, g_2\})$.

Why $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ is free

Statement: We have that $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \leq SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $F(\{g_1, g_2\})$

Proof

$$g_1(z) = z + r, \quad g_2(z) = \frac{z}{rz+1}.$$

$$l(z) = -\frac{1}{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} z, \quad g_2 = l \circ g_1^{-1} \circ l^{-1}.$$

