

# C3.11 Riemannian Geometry

## Sheet 2 — HT24

### Solutions

This problem sheet is based on Sections 2.3 and 3 of the lecture notes. This version contains the solutions to all of the questions.

#### Section A

1. Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented Riemannian manifold. Show that parallel transport along any curve in  $M$  is orientation preserving.

**Solution:** Let  $\alpha : [0, L] \rightarrow M$  be a curve and let  $\tau_t : T_{\alpha(0)} \rightarrow T_{\alpha(t)}M$  be the parallel transport along  $\alpha|_{[0,t]}$  for each  $t \in [0, L]$ . Then  $\tau_1 = \tau_\alpha$  is the parallel transport along  $\alpha$ .

Choose an oriented orthonormal basis  $\{E_1(0), \dots, E_n(0)\}$  for  $T_{\alpha(0)}M$ . Since  $\tau_t$  is an isometry for all  $t$ , if we define  $E_i(t) = \tau_t(E_i(0))$ , then  $\{E_1(t), \dots, E_n(t)\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $T_{\alpha(t)}M$ .

If we use the basis  $\{E_1(t), \dots, E_n(t)\}$  as the basis for  $T_{\alpha(t)}M$ , the map  $f : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(t) = \det(\tau_t)$$

is then well-defined and continuous (in fact, smooth). Moreover,  $f(t) \in \{\pm 1\}$  for all  $t$  since  $\{E_1(t), \dots, E_n(t)\}$  is orthonormal for all  $t$ .

As  $[0, L]$  is connected and  $f(0) = 1$ , we deduce that  $f(t) = 1$  for all  $t$ . Hence  $\tau_t$  is orientation preserving for all  $t$ , and so  $\tau_\alpha = \tau_1$  is orientation preserving.

2. Let  $(M, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let  $p \in M$  and let  $U$  be a normal neighbourhood of  $p$ .

Let  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $T_pM$ , let  $\psi : T_pM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be given by  $\psi(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i E_i) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and let  $\varphi = \psi \circ \exp_p^{-1} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (a) Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a geodesic through  $p$  in  $U$  in  $M$ . Show that

$$\varphi \circ \gamma(t) = (a_1 t, \dots, a_n t)$$

for  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (b) Show that in  $(U, \varphi)$ , we have  $g_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\Gamma_{ij}^k(p) = 0$ .

- (c) Hence, or otherwise, show that there is open set  $V \ni p$  and orthonormal vector fields  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  on  $V$  such that

$$\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0.$$

**Solution:**

- (a) Geodesics through  $p$  in  $U$  are given by  $\gamma(t) = \exp_p(tX)$  for some  $X$  in  $T_pM$  since  $U$  is a normal neighbourhood (which means  $\exp : V \rightarrow U$  is a diffeomorphism for some  $V \subseteq T_pM$ ). Thus

$$\varphi \circ \gamma(t) = \psi \circ \exp_p^{-1}(\exp_p(tX)) = \psi(tX).$$

As  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  is a basis, we can write any  $X \in T_pM$  uniquely as  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_i$  for some  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence,

$$\varphi \circ \gamma(t) = \psi(tX) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t a_i E_i\right) = (a_1 t, \dots, a_n t)$$

by definition, as we wanted to show.

- (b) We see that  $\varphi(p) = 0$  and  $\psi(E_i) = \mathbf{e}_i$  (the unit vector with 1 in the  $i$ th place and 0 everywhere else). Therefore,  $d\psi_0(E_i) = \partial_i$ . Hence, taking the inverse (as  $\psi$  is a local diffeomorphism at 0, its differential at 0 is invertible), we have  $d(\psi^{-1})_0(\partial_i) = E_i$ .

Since  $\varphi = \psi \circ \exp_p^{-1}$  we have  $\varphi^{-1} = \exp_p \circ \psi^{-1}$  so, by the Chain rule, we have

$$d(\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(p)}(\partial_i) = d(\exp_p)_0 \circ d(\psi^{-1})_0(\partial_i) = d(\exp_p)_0(E_i) = E_i$$

since  $d(\exp_p)_0 = \text{id}$ . Thus

$$g_{ij}(p) = g_p(d(\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(p)}(\partial_i), d(\varphi^{-1})_{\varphi(p)}(\partial_j)) = g_p(E_i, E_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Finally, the geodesic equations in  $U$  for  $\gamma(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$  are

$$x_k''(t) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k x_i'(t)x_j'(t) = 0.$$

However, as we know that geodesics through  $p$  are given by straight lines  $x_i(t) = a_i t$ , we see that  $x_k'' = 0$  and  $x_i'(t) = a_i$ , therefore

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k(p) a_i a_j = 0$$

for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We deduce that  $\Gamma_{ij}^k(p) = 0$ .

[Note: The chart  $(U, \varphi)$  is called *geodesic normal coordinates* at  $p$ . These coordinates are very useful for calculations and say that there are no zero or first order invariants of a Riemannian metric at a given point.]

- (c) Take  $V$  to be a geodesic ball  $B_\epsilon(p)$  contained in  $U$ . For every point  $q$  in  $V$  there exists a unique radial geodesic  $\gamma_q$  from  $p$  to  $q$ . Let  $\tau_q : T_p M \rightarrow T_q M$  be the parallel transport along  $\gamma_q$ . We then define, for all  $q \in V$ ,

$$E_i(q) = \tau_q(E_i(p))$$

where  $\{E_1(p), \dots, E_n(p)\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $T_p M$ .

Since  $\tau_q$  is an isometry for all  $q$  and depends smoothly on  $q$ , we deduce that  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are orthonormal vector fields on  $V$ .

Since the integral curve  $\alpha$  of  $E_i$  with  $\alpha(0) = p$  is a radial geodesic, and  $E_j$  is parallel along radial geodesics, so  $\tau_t^{-1}(E_j(\alpha(t))) = E_j(p)$  for all  $t$  (in the notation of Question 1) we deduce from Question 1 that

$$\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0.$$

[Note: The frame  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  is a *geodesic frame* on  $V$  or near  $p$ . This is very useful for computations.]

## Section B

3. Let  $X, Y$  be vector fields on  $(M, g)$ . Let  $p \in M$  and let  $\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M$  be the integral curve of  $X$  with  $\alpha(0) = p$ . For all  $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  let  $\tau_t : T_p M \rightarrow T_{\alpha(t)} M$  be parallel transport along  $\alpha|_{[0,t]}$ . Show that

$$\nabla_X Y(p) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \tau_t^{-1}(Y(\alpha(t))) \right) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

**Solution:** Choose a chart  $(U, \varphi)$  with  $p \in U$  so that  $\varphi(p) = 0$  and let  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be the coordinate frame field on  $U$ . Suppose, by making  $\epsilon$  smaller if necessary, that  $\alpha(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subseteq U$ .

Let  $E_1(t), \dots, E_n(t)$  be parallel vector fields along  $\alpha$  so that  $E_i(0) = X_i(p)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , i.e.  $E_i(t) = \tau_t(X_i(p))$  for all  $t$ . Since  $\tau_t$  is an isomorphism for all  $t$ , we have that  $\{E_1(t), \dots, E_n(t)\}$  is a basis for  $T_{\alpha(t)} M$  for all  $t$ .

Hence, we can write  $Y$  along  $\alpha$  as

$$Y(\alpha(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) E_i(t)$$

for some smooth functions  $b_i : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an integral curve of  $X$ ,  $X = \alpha'$  along  $\alpha$ , and therefore

$$\nabla_X Y(p) = \nabla_{\alpha'} Y(p) = \nabla_{\alpha'} Y(\alpha(t)) \Big|_{t=0} = \nabla_{\alpha'} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) E_i(t) \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i(0) E_i(0)$$

since  $\nabla_{\alpha'} E_i = 0$ .

On the other hand, we see that

$$\tau_t^{-1}(Y(\alpha(t))) = \tau_t^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) E_i(t) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) E_i(0)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \tau_t^{-1}(Y(\alpha(t))) \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) E_i(0) \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{i=1}^n b'_i(0) E_i(0) = \nabla_X Y(p)$$

as claimed.

[Note: This question says that you can recover the Levi-Civita connection from the parallel transport maps. Hence, parallel transport (which allows us to “connect” tangent spaces) is equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection.]

4. Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Recall that a *Killing field* on  $M$  is a vector field  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$  or, equivalently, that the flow of  $X$  near any point consists of local isometries.

(a) Let  $p \in M$  and let  $U$  be a normal neighbourhood of  $p$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a Killing field on  $(M, g)$  so that  $X(p) = 0$  and  $X(q) \neq 0$  for all  $q \in U \setminus \{p\}$ .

By using the First variation formula, or otherwise, show that  $X$  is tangent to all sufficiently small geodesic spheres centred at  $p$ .

(b) Show that  $X$  is a Killing field on  $(M, g)$  if and only if, for all vector fields  $Y, Z$  on  $M$ ,

$$g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0.$$

**Solution:**

(a) By making  $U$  smaller if necessary we can assume that  $p$  is still an isolated zero of  $X$  in  $U$  and that the flow of  $X$   $\{\phi_t^X : U \rightarrow M : t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\}$  is defined on  $U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_\delta(p)$  be any geodesic sphere contained in  $U$  and let  $q \in \mathcal{S}_\delta(p)$ . Let  $\alpha : [0, \delta] \rightarrow U$  be the normalized radial geodesic from  $p$  to  $q$ . We define a variation  $f : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow M$  of  $\alpha$  by

$$f(s, t) = \phi_s^X(\alpha(t)).$$

Notice that since  $\phi_0^X = \text{id}$ , and the maps  $\phi_s^X$  depend smoothly on  $s$ ,  $f$  is indeed a variation of  $\alpha$ . Moreover, the variation field  $V_f$  of  $f$  is given by

$$V_f(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(0, t) = \frac{d}{ds} \phi_s^X|_{s=0}(\alpha(t)) = X(\alpha(t))$$

since  $\phi_s^X$  is the flow of  $X$ . Hence,

$$V_f(0) = X(p) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V_f(\delta) = X(q).$$

We now notice that

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, t) \right|^2 = |d(\phi_s^X)_{\alpha(t)}(\alpha'(t))|^2 = |\alpha'(t)|^2$$

since  $X$  is Killing and so its flow consists of local isometries. Therefore, the energy of the variation  $f$  of  $\alpha$

$$E_f(s) = \int_0^\delta |\alpha'(t)|^2 dt$$

is *independent of  $s$* . (More geometrically, since  $X$  is Killing with  $X(p) = 0$  it will map radial geodesics to radial geodesics and preserve their length, and hence their energy as they are geodesics.)

We deduce from the First variation formula that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2}E'_f(0) = - \int_0^\delta g(V_f, \nabla_{\alpha'}\alpha')dt - g(V_f(0), \alpha'(0)) + g(V_f(\delta), \alpha'(\delta)) \\ &= g(X(q), \alpha'(\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that  $\nabla_{\alpha'}\alpha' = 0$  as  $\alpha$  is a geodesic and that  $V_f(0) = 0$ ,  $V_f(\delta) = X(q)$ . Now, by the Gauss Lemma, as  $\alpha$  is a normalized radial geodesic, we have that  $\alpha'(\delta)$  is normal to  $\mathcal{S}_\delta(p)$  at  $q$ , and is non-zero, and hence  $X(q)$  must be tangent to  $\mathcal{S}_\delta(p)$  at  $q$ .

Since  $q$  and  $\delta$  are arbitrary, the result follows.

(b) We note that the given equation is called the *Killing equation*: for all  $Y, Z$  in  $M$

$$g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0.$$

The slick way to do the question is:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_X g)(Y, Z) &= \mathcal{L}_X(g(Y, Z)) - g(\mathcal{L}_X Y, Z) - g(Y, \mathcal{L}_X Z) \\ &= X(g(Y, Z)) - g([X, Y], Z) - g(Y, [X, Z]) \\ &= X(g(Y, Z)) - g(\nabla_X Y, Z) - g(Y, \nabla_X Z) + g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z X) \\ &= g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z X). \end{aligned}$$

Using properties (iv)-(v) of the Levi-Civita connection (metric compatibility and torsion-free). Hence  $\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$  (i.e.  $X$  is a Killing field) if and only if the Killing equation holds for all  $Y, Z$  in  $M$ .

5. Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function and let  $X$  be a vector field on  $M$ .

- (a) Note that we have a linear map from vector fields to vector fields given by  $Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X$ . We define the *divergence* of  $X$  to be the smooth function

$$\operatorname{div} X = \operatorname{tr}(Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X).$$

Show that if  $X$  is a Killing field then  $\operatorname{div} X = 0$ .

- (b) Recall that  $Y \mapsto g(Y, \cdot)$  defines an isomorphism between vector fields and 1-forms on  $M$ . We define the *gradient* of  $f$  to be the vector field  $\nabla f$  given by

$$g(\nabla f, \cdot) = \mathrm{d}f.$$

We define the *Laplacian* of  $f$  to be the smooth function

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div} \nabla f.$$

Show that

$$\Delta(f^2) = 2f\Delta f + 2|\nabla f|^2.$$

Now suppose further that  $M$  is compact, connected and oriented with Riemannian volume form  $\Omega$ .

- (c) Show that

$$\mathcal{L}_X \Omega = (\operatorname{div} X)\Omega.$$

Relate this to the result about Killing fields from (a).

- (d) Show that if  $\Delta f \geq 0$  on  $M$  then  $f$  is constant.

**Solution:**

- (a) By the Killing equation (Question 4(b)), if  $X$  is Killing then

$$g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0$$

for all vector fields  $Y, Z$ , i.e. the map  $Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X$  is skew-symmetric, so  $\operatorname{div} X = 0$ . Explicitly, if we choose a geodesic frame  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  on  $V \ni p$  in  $(M, g)$  as in Question 3(c) then

$$0 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n g(\nabla_{E_i} X, E_i) = 2 \operatorname{tr}(Y \mapsto \nabla_Y X) = 2 \operatorname{div} X$$

on  $V$ . Since  $p$  is arbitrary,  $\operatorname{div} X = 0$  everywhere.

- (b) We see from our solution to (a) that if we choose  $p \in (M, g)$  and a geodesic frame  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  on  $V \ni p$  then

$$\operatorname{div} X = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\nabla_{E_i} X, E_i).$$

We further see that

$$g(\nabla f, E_i) = \operatorname{d}f(E_i) = E_i(f)$$

and hence

$$\nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i(f) E_i.$$

Notice that

$$|\nabla f|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |E_i(f)|^2.$$

We deduce that

$$\Delta f = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\nabla_{E_i} \sum_{j=1}^n E_j(f) E_j, E_i) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_i(E_j(f)) g(E_j, E_i) + E_j(f) g(\nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_i).$$

Evaluating this at  $p$ , and remembering that  $g(E_i, E_j) = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0$ , we see that

$$\Delta f(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i(E_i(f))(p).$$

Applying this formula with  $f$  replaced by  $f^2$  we get, at  $p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n E_i(E_i(f^2)) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i(2f E_i(f)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n 2f(E_i(E_i(f))) + 2(E_i(f))^2 = 2f \Delta f + 2|\nabla f|^2 \end{aligned}$$

as required since  $p$  is arbitrary.

- (c) We first notice by Cartan's formula that

$$\mathcal{L}_X \Omega = \operatorname{d}(i_X \Omega)$$

since  $\operatorname{d}\Omega = 0$ .

Let  $p \in M$  and take a positively oriented geodesic frame  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  in  $V \ni p$  as in 3(c). Let  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$  be the dual coframe, i.e. 1-forms on  $V$  such that

$$\xi_i(E_j) = \delta_{ij},$$



which means that

$$\xi_i(Y) = g(E_i, Y)$$

for all vector fields  $Y$ . Then, clearly,

$$\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n = \Omega$$

on  $V$ , since both sides are unit length  $n$ -forms and we have chosen the geodesic frame to be positively oriented. We then see that

$$i_X \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(i-1)} \xi_i(X) \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\xi_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n$$

where the  $\widehat{\xi_i}$  denotes that the term is omitted. Hence,

$$d(i_X \Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i(\xi_i(X)) \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i(g(E_i, X)) \Omega.$$

We finally notice that, at  $p$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n E_i(g(E_i, X))(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\nabla_{E_i} E_i, X)(p) + g(E_i, \nabla_{E_i} X)(p) = \operatorname{div} X(p),$$

since  $\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0$  in a geodesic frame, and we use the formula for  $\operatorname{div} X$  from our solution to (a). Since  $p$  was arbitrary, we obtain the claimed result.

(d) We see from (c) that

$$\int_M (\operatorname{div} X) \Omega = \int_M \mathcal{L}_X \Omega = \int_M d(i_X \Omega) = 0$$

by Stokes Theorem.

[Note: This is the *Divergence Theorem* in the case when  $\partial M = \emptyset$ .]

Therefore,

$$\int_M \Delta f \Omega = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \Delta(f^2) \Omega = 0$$

as  $\Delta = \operatorname{div} \nabla$ . Since  $\Delta f \geq 0$  on  $M$  we deduce from the first equation we must have that

$$\Delta f = 0$$

(i.e.  $f$  is *harmonic*). (Note that clearly the same argument would have worked if  $\Delta f \leq 0$  on  $M$ .) Now using (b) we see that

$$0 = \int_M \Delta(f^2) \Omega = 2 \int_M f \Delta f \Omega + 2 \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \Omega = 2 \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \Omega.$$

Since we obviously have  $|\nabla f|^2 \geq 0$ , we must have  $\nabla f = 0$  on  $M$ . Hence  $df = 0$  and thus, since  $M$  is connected,  $f$  is constant.

[Note: This result can be interpreted as a version of the *maximum principle*, since in this instance it says that a function which is subharmonic ( $\Delta f \geq 0$ ) which has a local interior maximum (this will necessary exist since  $f$  is a continuous function on a compact manifold) must in fact be constant.]

## Section C

6. The Euclidean Schwarzschild metric (of mass  $m > 0$ ) is defined for  $(\cos \frac{t}{4m}, \sin \frac{t}{4m}) \in \mathcal{S}^1$ ,  $r > 2m$ ,  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$  and  $(\cos \phi, \sin \phi) \in \mathcal{S}^1$  by

$$g = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$$

and extends smoothly to  $\theta = 0, \pi$ .

- (a) Show that there are no geodesics in this metric with  $r$  constant.  
 (b) Show that, given any point  $p$  with  $r > 2m$  there exists a finite length geodesic  $\gamma$  starting at  $p$  ending at a point  $q$  with  $r = 2m$ .

### Solution:

- (a) We see that any geodesic will satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial L}{\partial r'} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial r} &= \frac{d}{ds} \left( \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} r' \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{m}{r^2} (t')^2 - \frac{m}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-2} (r')^2 + r(\theta')^2 + r \sin^2 \theta (\phi')^2 \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $r$  is constant then  $r' = r'' = 0$  so we have that

$$\frac{m}{r^2} (t')^2 + r(\theta')^2 + r \sin^2 \theta (\phi')^2 = 0.$$

All the terms in this expression are non-negative (since  $r > 2m > 0$ ) hence  $t' = \theta' = \phi' = 0$ , which mean that the geodesic is just a point, which is not a curve.

[Note: The Euclidean Schwarzschild metric is a Euclidean black hole, with horizon at  $r = 2m$ . This result says that there are no geodesic orbits of the black hole, unlike the usual Schwarzschild metric from General Relativity.]

- (b) We give two different methods for solving this question.

**Method 1:** We can find normalized curves (i.e. curves parametrised by arclength) with  $t, \theta, \phi$  all constant by solving the equation

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} (r')^2 = 1$$

and assuming  $r' < 0$ , i.e.

$$r' = - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

One may easily check by substituting  $r'$  in the geodesic equation

$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial L}{\partial r'} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial r} = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} r' \right) - \frac{m}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-2} (r')^2$$

that we get zero, and hence it does in fact define a geodesic.

Such a geodesic  $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow (M, g)$  will start at a point  $p$  with  $r = r_0 > 2m$  and end at some point  $q$  with the same values for  $t, \theta, \phi$  and  $r = 2m + \epsilon < r_0$  say.

We want to show that the length of the geodesic is finite as we send  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We calculate (remembering that  $r' < 0$ )

$$L(\gamma) = \int_0^L |\gamma'(s)| ds = \int_L^0 r' \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \int_{2m+\epsilon}^{r_0} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr.$$

Since

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{r^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(r - 2m)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

is integrable on  $(2m, r_0)$  we deduce that  $L(\gamma)$  remains finite as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  as claimed.

**Method 2:** Take the obvious line from  $p$  with  $r = r_0 > 2m$  to  $q$  with  $r = 2m$  with the same values of  $t, \theta, \phi$  given by  $\alpha(s) = (t, r_0 + s(2m - r_0), \theta, \phi)$ . Then

$$L(\alpha) = \int_0^1 |\alpha'| ds = \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r_0 + s(2m - r_0)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{(r_0 - (r_0 - 2m)s)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(r_0 - 2m)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds$$

which is finite. Reparametrizing  $\alpha$  by arc-length gives a curve  $\gamma$  with the same length which now satisfies

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} (r')^2 = 1.$$

As before, we have that  $\gamma$  satisfies the geodesic equations and so is a geodesic.

[Note: This result implies that we can reach the horizon of the Euclidean black hole in finite time (as measured along the curve). In fact, our choice of periodicity for  $t$  means we can smoothly extend the metric to  $r = 2m$  to obtain a metric on a 4-manifold which it is interesting to ask what its topology is: it's a 2-plane bundle over  $\mathcal{S}^2$ , but one has to check whether it is trivial or not. This metric is also interesting as it is an example of a metric which is Ricci-flat but not flat. The holonomy group of the metric (the group generated by parallel transport) is all of  $\text{SO}(4)$  – it is a major open question whether there are *any compact* Ricci-flat manifolds with holonomy equal to all of  $\text{SO}(4)$ .]