

# C8.2 Stochastic Analysis and PDEs

## Sheet 4 — HT23

### *For Tutors Only — Not For Distribution*

#### Section A

1. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a connected and open set, and  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable and locally bounded (for every  $x \in U$  there exist an open set  $A$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $u(A)$  is a bounded set). Show that the following are equivalent

1.  $\Delta u(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ ,
2. for any  $x \in U$ ,  $r > 0$ , and ball  $B(x, r) \subset U$ ,

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} u(y) dy$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure.

3. for any  $x \in U$ ,  $r > 0$ , and ball  $B(x, r) \subset U$

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{x,r}(\partial B(x, r))} \int_{\partial B(x, r)} u(y) dy$$

where  $\sigma_{x,r}$  is the surface measure on  $\partial B(x, r)$ .

**Solution:** Can be found in most analysis books, see for example Theorem 3.2 in Moerters&Peres book “Brownian Motion”. The equality 2-3 is less important but all students should know that 1-2 are equivalent.

2. Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  the unit disc and let  $T$  be the first exit time from  $U$ . Show that

$$\int_{\partial U} \frac{1 - |x|^2}{|x - z|^d} G(z, y) \pi(dz) = c(d) \frac{|y|^{d-2}}{|x|y|^2 - y|^{d-2}} \text{ for all } x, y \in U$$

where  $c(d) = \Gamma(d/2 - 1)/(2\pi^{d/2})$ .

**Solution:** In Lecture notes, Lemma 7.22 I had to skip the proof during the lecture.

3. Show that

$$\int_0^\infty p(t, x, y) dt = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} - 1)}{2\pi^{d/2}} |x - y|^{2-d}$$

where  $p(t, x, y) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}}$

**Solution:** Direct calculation by switching to polar coordinates; see e.g. proof of Theorem 7.19 in lecture notes.

## Section B

4. We can use the Feynman-Kac representation to find the partial differential equation solved by the transition densities of solutions to stochastic differential equations. Suppose that

$$dX_t = \mu(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t. \quad (1)$$

For any set  $B$  let

$$p_B(t, x; T) \triangleq \mathbb{P}[X_T \in B | X_t = x] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_B(X_T) | X_t = x].$$

Use the Feynman-Kac representation (assuming integrability conditions are satisfied) to write down an equation for

$$\frac{\partial p_B}{\partial t}(t, x; T)$$

By letting  $B \rightarrow \{y\}$  (e.g. a ball of radius  $\epsilon$  around  $y$ ) deduce that the transition density  $p(t, x; T, y)$  (“the probability of being at time  $t$  in  $x$  and at time  $T$  in  $y$ ”) of the solution  $(X_s)_{s \geq 0}$  to the stochastic differential equation (1) solves

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(t, x; T, y) + Ap(t, x; T, y) &= 0 \\ p(t, x; T, y) &\rightarrow \delta_y(x) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $X$ . Equation (2) is known as the *Kolmogorov backward equation* since it operates on the “backward in time” variables  $(t, x)$ .

[You can assume that the transition density exists and that the above PDE is well-posed; in particular you do not need to give a rigorous definition of the Dirac delta  $\delta_y$ ].

**Solution:** By the Feynman-Kac representation (subject to the integrability condition)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_B}{\partial t}(t, x; T) + Ap_B(t, x; T) &= 0 \\ p_B(T, x; T) &= \mathbf{1}_B(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$Af(t, x) = \mu(t, x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x).$$

Writing  $|B|$  for the Lebesgue measure of the set  $B$ , the transition density of the process  $(X_s)_{s \geq 0}$  is given by

$$p(t, x; T, y) \triangleq \lim_{B \rightarrow y} \frac{1}{|B|} \mathbb{P}[X_T \in B | X_t = x].$$

(We are assuming existence of the density). Since the equation (??) is linear, we have proved that the transition density of the solution  $(X_s)_{s \geq 0}$  to the stochastic differential equation (1) solves (2) as required.

5. We continue the above Question: our aim is to obtain an equation acting on the *forward* variables  $(T, y)$ . By using integration by parts, show that

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}(t, x; T, y) = A^* p(t, x; T, y) \quad (4)$$

where

$$A^* f(T, y) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\mu(T, y)f(T, y)) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\sigma^2(t, Y)f(T, y)).$$

Equation (4) is the *Kolmogorov forward equation* of the process  $(X_s)_{s \geq 0}$ .

[*Hint: State the Chapman-Kolmogorov formula in terms of  $p$  and differentiate under the integration sign.*]

**Solution:** By the Markov property of the process  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ , for any  $T > r > t$

$$p(t, x; T, y) = \int p(t, x; r, z)p(r, z; T, y)dz.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $r$  and using (2),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} p(t, x; r, z)p(r, z; T, y) - p(t, x; r, z)A p(r, z; T, y) \right\} dz = 0.$$

Now integrate the second term by parts to obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} p(t, x; r, z) - A^* p(t, x; r, z) \right\} p(r, z; T, y) dz = 0.$$

This holds for all  $T > r$ , which, if  $p(r, z; T, y)$  provides a sufficiently rich class of functions as we vary  $T$ , implies the result.

6. Suppose that  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  solves

$$dX_t = \mu(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t,$$

where  $W$  is a Brownian motion. For  $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given deterministic functions, find the partial differential equation satisfied by the function

1.  $F(t, x) \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( - \int_t^T k(s, X_s) ds \right) \Phi(X_T) \middle| X_t = x \right],$
2.  $F(t, x) = \mathbb{E} [\Phi(X_T) | X_t = x] + \int_t^T \mathbb{E} [k(X_s) | X_t = x] ds.$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

[*You can assume that  $k$  and  $\Phi$  are regular enough such the PDE is well-posed.*]

**Solution:** We start with (1) and evidently  $F(T, x) = \Phi(x)$ . By analogy with the proof of the Feynman-Kac representation, it is tempting to examine the dynamics of

$$Z_s = \exp\left(-\int_t^s k(u, X_u)du\right) F(s, X_s).$$

Notice that if this choice of  $\{Z_s\}_{t \leq s \leq T}$  is a martingale we have that

$$Z_t = F(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[Z_T | X_t = x].$$

Thus the partial differential equation satisfied by  $F(t, x)$  is that for which  $\{Z_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  is a martingale.

Our strategy now is to find the stochastic differential equation satisfied by  $\{Z_s\}_{t \leq s \leq T}$ . We proceed in two stages. Remember that  $t$  is now fixed and we vary  $s$ . First notice that

$$d\left(\exp\left(-\int_t^s k(u, X_u)du\right)\right) = -k(s, X_s) \exp\left(-\int_t^s k(u, X_u)du\right) ds$$

and by Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned} dF(s, X_s) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, X_s)ds + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s)dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s)\sigma^2(s, X_s)ds \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, X_s) + \mu(s, X_s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(s, X_s) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad + \sigma(s, X_s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_s &= \exp\left(-\int_t^s k(u, X_u)du\right) \times \\ &\quad \left\{ \left\{ -k(s, X_s)F(s, X_s) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, X_s) + \mu(s, X_s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(s, X_s) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) \right\} ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sigma(s, X_s) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) dW_s \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can now read off the solution:  $\{Z_s\}_{t \leq s \leq T}$  will be a martingale if  $F$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(s, x) + \mu(s, x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2(s, x) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, x) - k(s, x)F(s, x) = 0.$$

We now proceed to (2). Using the same reasoning, we apply Itô's formula to  $F(s, X_s) + \int_t^s k(X_u)du$  and integrate with respect to  $s$  over  $[t, T]$  to see that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \mu \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} + k = 0,$$

and  $F(T, x) = \Phi(x)$ .

7. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables such that  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = e) = \frac{1}{2^d}$  for every  $e = (e_1, \dots, e_d) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^d$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^d |e_i| = 1$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  define  $S^x = (S_n^x)_{n \geq 0}$  where for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$S_n^x = x + X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

and  $S_0^x = x$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  be a finite set with boundary

$$\partial A = \{x \notin A : |x - y| = 1 \text{ for some } y \in A\}$$

and denote the first exit time as  $\tau^x = \inf\{j \geq 0 : S_j^x \notin A\}$ . The discrete Laplacian of a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\Delta f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(S_1^x) - f(S_0^x)].$$

We call a function  $f$  harmonic on  $A$  if  $\Delta f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ .

1. Assume that  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and harmonic on  $A$ . Show that  $M_n = f(S_{\min(n, \tau^x)}^x)$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration generated by  $S^x$ .
2. Show that there exists a constant  $c < \infty$  and a  $\rho < 1$  such that for each  $x \in A$  and  $n \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau^x \geq n) \leq c\rho^n.$$

[Hint: For  $R = \sup\{|x| : x \in A\}$  and every  $x \in A$  there is a path of length  $R + 1$  starting in  $x$  and ending outside of  $A$ .]

3. Let  $F : \partial A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $f : A \cup \partial A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$\Delta f(x) = -g(x) \text{ for } x \in A,$$

$$f(x) = F(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial A.$$

Show that  $f(x) = \mathbb{E}[F(S_{\tau^x}^x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\tau^x-1} g(S_j^x)]$ .

**Solution:**

1. By the Markov property

$$E[f(S_{n+1})|F_n] = E^{S_n}[f(S_1)] = f(S_n) + \Delta f(S_n)$$

Let  $B_n = \{\tau > n\}$ . Then  $M_{n+1} = M_n$  on  $B_n^c$  and

$$E[M_{n+1}|F_n] = E[M_{n+1}1_{B_n}|F_n] + E[M_{n+1}1_{B_n^c}|F_n] \tag{5}$$

$$= E[f(S_{n+1})1_{B_n}|F_n] + E[M_n 1_{B_n^c}|F_n] \tag{6}$$

$$= 1_{B_n} E[f(S_{n+1})|F_n] + M_n 1_{B_n^c} \tag{7}$$

$$= 1_{B_n} (f(S_n) + \Delta f(S_n)) + M_n 1_{B_n^c} \tag{8}$$

But  $\Delta f(S_n) = 0$  on  $B_n$ , therefore

$$E[M_{n+1}|F_n] = 1_{B_n}f(S_n) + 1_{B_n^c}M_n = M_n \quad (9)$$

2. Let  $R = \sup\{|x| : x \in A\}$ . Then for each  $x \in A$  there is a path of length  $R + 1$  starting at  $x$  and ending outside of  $A$ , hence

$$P^x(\tau \leq R + 1) \geq (2d)^{-(R+1)}.$$

By the Markov property

$$P^x(\tau > k(R + 1)) = P^x(\tau > (k - 1)(R + 1))P^x(\tau > k(R + 1)|\tau > (k - 1)(R + 1)) \quad (10)$$

$$\leq P^x(\tau > (k - 1)(R + 1))(1 - (2d)^{-(R+1)}). \quad (11)$$

and hence

$$P^x(\tau > k(R + 1)) \leq \rho^{k(R+1)},$$

where  $\rho = (1 - (2d)^{-(R+1)})^{1/(R+1)}$ . For an integer  $n$  write  $n = k(R + 1) + j$  where  $j \in \{1, \dots, R + 1\}$ . Then

$$P^x(\tau \geq n) \leq P^x(\tau > k(R + 1)) \leq \rho^{k(R+1)} \leq \rho^{-(R+1)}\rho^n \quad (12)$$

3. First note that by above question,  $f$  is well-defined since

$$E^x\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} |g(S_j)|\right] \leq \|g\|_\infty E^x[\tau] < \infty.$$

It is immediate to check that  $f$  as given satisfies the discrete PDE with boundary conditions. To check the uniqueness, assume  $f$  solves the discrete PDE and let  $M$  be the martingale

$$M_n = f(S_{n \wedge \tau}) - \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1) \wedge (\tau-1)} \Delta f(S_j) \quad (13)$$

$$= f(S_{n \wedge \tau}) + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-1) \wedge (\tau-1)} g(S_j). \quad (14)$$

By the bounds of the previous question

$$E^x[|M_n|1_{\tau \geq n}] \leq (\|f\|_\infty + n\|g\|_\infty)P^x(\tau \geq n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore the optimal sampling theorem applies and

$$f(x) = E^x[M_0] = E^x[M_\tau] = E^x[F(S_\tau) + \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} g(S_j)]$$



## Section C

8. The *Vasicek model* models the interest rate  $(r_t)_{t \geq 0}$  as solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dr_t = (b - ar_t)dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

where  $W$  is standard Brownian motion. Find the Kolmogorov backward and forward differential equations satisfied by the probability density function of  $r_t$ . What is the distribution of  $r_t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ?

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(t, T; x, y)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} - (b - ax) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}. \\ \frac{\partial p(t, T; x, y)}{\partial T} &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} ((b - ay)p). \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $u_t = e^{at}r_t$ .

$$du_t = be^{at}dt + \sigma e^{at}dW_t.$$

Integrating and substituting back gives

$$r_t = e^{-at}r_0 + e^{-at} \int_0^t be^{as}ds + \int_0^t \sigma e^{-a(t-s)}dW_s.$$

Thus  $r_t$  is normally distributed with mean  $e^{-at}r_0 + \frac{b}{a}(1 - e^{-at})$  and variance  $\frac{\sigma^2}{2a}(1 - e^{-2at})$ . As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $r_t$  tends to a normally distributed random variable with mean  $b/a$  and variance  $\sigma^2/2a$ .

9. The process usually known as *Geometric Brownian motion* solves the SDE

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t.$$

Find the forward and backward Kolmogorov equations for geometric Brownian motion and show that the transition density for the process is the lognormal density given by

$$p(t, x; T, y) = \frac{1}{\sigma y \sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\log(y/x) - (\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t))^2}{2\sigma^2(T-t)}\right).$$

**Solution:** Substituting in our formula for the forward equation we obtain

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}(t, x; T, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (y^2 p(t, x; T, y)) - \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y p(t, x; T, y)),$$

and the backward equation is

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(t, x; T, y) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2}(t, x; T, y) - \mu x \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(t, x; T, y).$$

It is enough to check that the lognormal density solves one of the Kolmogorov equations.