

CHARACTERISTIC IBVP'S AND MAGNETO-HYDRODYNAMICS

Paolo Secchi



UNIVERSITY
OF BRESCIA



18, 19 March, 2024, Oxford UK

PLAN

- 1 INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS
- 2 CHARACTERISTIC IBVP FOR HYPERBOLIC SYSTEMS
 - Examples: Euler equations, MHD
 - Anisotropic Sobolev spaces and MHD
- 3 KREISS-LOPATINSKII CONDITION
 - Analysis of Majda's example

KREISS-LOPATINSKII CONDITION

Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} Lu = F, & \text{in } \{x_1 > 0\}, \\ Mu = G, & \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

- $L := \partial_t + \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \partial_{x_j}$, hyperbolic operator (with eigenvalues of constant multiplicity);
- $A_j \in \mathbf{M}_{N \times N}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $\det A_1 \neq 0$ (i.e. non characteristic boundary);
- $M \in \mathbf{M}_{d \times N}$, $\text{rank}(M) = d = \#\{\text{positive eigenvalues of } A_1\}$.

- Let $u = u(x_1, x', t)$ ($x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$) be a solution to (9) for $F = 0$ and $G = 0$.
- Let $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(x_1, \eta, \tau)$ be Fourier-Laplace transform of u w.r.t. x' and t respectively (η and τ dual variables of x' and t respectively).
- \hat{u} solves the ODE problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\hat{u}}{dx_1} = \mathcal{A}(\eta, \tau)\hat{u}, & x_1 > 0, \\ M\hat{u}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(\eta, \tau) := -(A_1)^{-1} \left(\tau I_n + i \sum_{j=2}^n A_j \eta_j \right)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}^-(\eta, \tau)$ be the stable subspace of (10).

- Kreiss-Lopatinskii condition (KL):

$$\ker M \cap \mathcal{E}^-(\eta, \tau) = \{0\}, \quad \forall (\eta, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}, \Re \tau > 0.$$



$$\forall (\eta, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}, \Re \tau > 0, \exists C = C(\eta, \tau) > 0 : \\ |A_1 V| \leq C |MV| \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{E}^-(\eta, \tau).$$

- Uniform Kreiss-Lopatinskii condition (UKL):

$$\exists C > 0 : \forall (\eta, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}, \Re \tau > 0 : \\ |A_1 V| \leq C |MV| \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{E}^-(\eta, \tau).$$

LOPATINSKII DETERMINANT

- For all $(\eta, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$, $\Re\tau > 0$, let $\{X_1(\eta, \tau), \dots, X_d(\eta, \tau)\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{E}^-(\eta, \tau)$ ($\dim \mathcal{E}^-(\eta, \tau) = \text{rank } M = d$).
- Constant multiplicity of the eigenvalues $\Rightarrow X_j(\eta, \tau)$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, and $\mathcal{E}^-(\eta, \tau)$ can be extended to all $(\eta, \tau) \neq (0, 0)$ with $\Re\tau = 0$.

$$\Delta(\eta, \tau) := \det [M(X_1(\eta, \tau), \dots, X_d(\eta, \tau))] \\ \forall (\eta, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}, \Re\tau \geq 0.$$

$$(KL) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Delta(\eta, \tau) \neq 0, \quad \forall \Re\tau > 0, \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

$$(UKL) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Delta(\eta, \tau) \neq 0, \quad \forall \underline{\Re\tau} \geq 0, \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

KREISS-LOPATINSKII CONDITION AND WELL POSEDNESS

- $\det A_1 \neq 0$ (i.e. non characteristic boundary)
 - NOT (KL) \Rightarrow (9) is ill posed in Hadamard's sense;
 - (UKL) $\Leftrightarrow L^2$ -strong well posedness of (9);
 - (KL) but NOT (UKL) \Rightarrow Weak well posedness of (9) (energy estimate with loss of regularity?).
- $\det A_1 = 0$ (i.e. characteristic boundary)
 - NOT (KL) \Rightarrow (9) is ill posed in Hadamard's sense;
 - (UKL) + structural assumptions on $L \Rightarrow L^2$ -strong well posedness of (9).

STRUCTURAL ASSUMPTIONS

- [Majda & Osher, 1975]:
 - 1 L symmetric hyperbolic, with variable coefficients +
 - 2 Uniformly characteristic boundary +
 - 3 (UKL) +
 - 4 Several structural assumptions on L and M , among which that:

$$A(\eta) := \sum_{j=2}^n A_j \eta_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(\eta) & a_{2,1}(\eta)^T \\ a_{2,1}(\eta) & a_2(\eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_1(\eta)$ has only simple eigenvalues for $|\eta| = 1$.

Satisfied by: strictly hyperbolic systems, MHD, Maxwell's equations, linearized shallow water equations.

NOT satisfied by: 3D isotropic elasticity ($a_1(\eta) = 0_3$).

- [Benzoni-Gavage & Serre, 2003]:
 - 1 L symmetric hyperbolic, with constant coefficients, M constant +
 - 2 (Uniformly) characteristic boundary, $\ker A_\nu \subset \ker M$ +
 - 3 (UKL) +
 - 4

$$A(\eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{2,1}(\eta)^T \\ a_{2,1}(\eta) & a_2(\eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a_2(\eta) = 0$.

Satisfied by: Maxwell's equations, linearized acoustics.

NOT satisfied by: isotropic elasticity ($a_2(\eta) \neq 0$).

- [Morando & Serre, 2005]: 2D, 3D linear isotropic elasticity.

Majda's example

Initial-boundary value problem for the scalar wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} U_{tt} - U_{xx} - U_{yy} = 0 & \text{for } t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0, \\ \Gamma U_t + U_y = 0 & \text{for } y = 0, \\ i.c. & \text{for } t = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter.

Problem (1) was first introduced by [A. Majda](#)¹.

¹Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables, vol. 53 Appl. Math. Sciences, Springer-Verlag, NY 1984.

Energy method

Total energy

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{\infty} (U_t^2 + U_x^2 + U_y^2) dx dy$$

Multiply (1)₁ by U_t and integrate:

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = - \int_{y=0} U_t U_y dx = \Gamma \int_{y=0} U_t^2 dx$$

Then

- $\Gamma < 0$: the boundary condition removes energy (stabilizing effect)
- $\Gamma > 0$: the boundary condition adds energy (instability ???)

Boundary value problem

Reduce (1) to the boundary value problem for the scalar wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} U_{tt} - U_{xx} - U_{yy} = 0 & \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0, \\ \Gamma U_t + U_y = g & \text{for } y = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Introduce the new unknowns:

$$v := U_t, \quad w := -U_x, \quad z := -U_y.$$

In terms of (v, w, z) problem (2) gives the **Euler-type system**

$$\begin{cases} v_t + w_x + z_y = 0, \\ w_t + v_x = 0, \\ z_t + v_y = 0 & y > 0, \\ \Gamma v - z = g & y = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In fact, we can write the system (3)

$$\begin{cases} v_t + w_x + z_y = 0, \\ w_t + v_x = 0, \\ z_t + v_y = 0 & y > 0, \\ \Gamma v - z = g & y = 0, \end{cases}$$

in vector form as the “acoustic system”

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \operatorname{div}_{x,y} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = 0, \\ \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \nabla v = 0, & y > 0, \\ \Gamma v - z = g & y = 0. \end{cases}$$

Second formulation of the problem

Let us introduce the new unknown $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ defined by

$$u_1 = w, \quad u_2 = \frac{1}{2}(z - v), \quad u_3 = \frac{1}{2}(z + v),$$

that is

$$u_1 = -U_x, \quad u_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(U_t + U_y), \quad u_3 = \frac{1}{2}(U_t - U_y).$$

In terms of u the Euler-type problem (3) reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -\partial_x & \partial_x \\ -\partial_x & 2(\partial_t - \partial_y) & 0 \\ \partial_x & 0 & 2(\partial_t + \partial_y) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{if } y > 0, \quad (4)$$

$$-(\Gamma + 1)u_2 + (\Gamma - 1)u_3 = g \quad \text{if } y = 0.$$

Denote by \widehat{u} the Laplace-Fourier transforms of u in (t, x) , with dual variables $\tau = \gamma + i\delta$ and η , for $\gamma \geq 1$ and $\delta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. We obtain from (4)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau & -i\eta & i\eta \\ i\eta & 2(\frac{d}{dy} - \tau) & 0 \\ i\eta & 0 & 2(\frac{d}{dy} + \tau) \end{pmatrix} \widehat{u} = 0 \quad \text{if } y > 0, \quad (5a)$$

$$\beta \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} = \widehat{g} \quad \text{if } y = 0, \quad (5b)$$

where

$$\beta = -(\Gamma + 1), \Gamma - 1, \quad u^{\text{nc}} = (u_2, u_3)^\top.$$

From the first (algebraic) equation of (5a) we express \widehat{u}_1 in terms of $\widehat{u}_2, \widehat{u}_3$ and plug the resulting expression into the other two equations of (5a).

We obtain a system of O.D.E.s:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dy} \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} = \mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta) \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} & \text{if } y > 0, \\ \beta \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} = \widehat{g} & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta) := \begin{pmatrix} \mu & -m \\ m & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu := \tau + m, \quad m := \frac{\eta^2}{2\tau}.$$

- $\mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta)$ is (positively) **homogeneous** of degree 1 in (τ, η) . To take this homogeneity into account, we define the hemisphere:

$$\Xi_1 := \{(\tau, \eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} : \operatorname{Re} \tau \geq 0, |\tau|^2 + \eta^2 = 1\}.$$

- The **poles** of symbol $\mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta)$ on Ξ_1 are the points $(\tau, \eta) = (0, \pm 1) \in \Xi_1$ (where the coefficient of \widehat{u}_1 in the first equation of (5a) vanishes).
- We set

$$\Xi := (0, \infty) \cdot \Xi_1.$$

We obtain a system of O.D.E.s:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dy} \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} = \mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta) \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} & \text{if } y > 0, \\ \beta \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} = \widehat{g} & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta) := \begin{pmatrix} \mu & -m \\ m & -\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu := \tau + m, \quad m := \frac{\eta^2}{2\tau}.$$

- $\mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta)$ is (positively) **homogeneous** of degree 1 in (τ, η) . To take this homogeneity into account, we define the hemisphere:

$$\Xi_1 := \{(\tau, \eta) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} : \text{Re } \tau \geq 0, |\tau|^2 + \eta^2 = 1\}.$$

- The **poles** of symbol $\mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta)$ on Ξ_1 are the points $(\tau, \eta) = (0, \pm 1) \in \Xi_1$ (where the coefficient of \widehat{u}_1 in the first equation of (5a) vanishes).
- We set

$$\Xi := (0, \infty) \cdot \Xi_1.$$

Lopatinskiĭ condition

Stability / instability of (6) is detected by the **Lopatinskiĭ** condition.

$$\omega := -\sqrt{\tau^2 + \eta^2} = \begin{cases} \text{eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta) \text{ with } \mathbf{negative} \\ \text{real part,} & \text{Re } \tau > 0, \\ \text{continuous extension,} & \text{Re } \tau = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$E(\tau, \eta) := \left(\frac{\eta^2}{2}, \tau(\mu - \omega) \right)^T \text{ eigenvector of } \mathcal{A}(\tau, \eta) \text{ corresponding to } \omega$$

Definition

- The **Lopatinskiĭ** “determinant” associated to (6) is defined by

$$\Delta(\tau, \eta) := \det [\beta E(\tau, \eta)] = (\tau - \omega)(\Gamma\tau + \omega). \quad (7)$$

- We say that the **Lopatinskiĭ** condition holds if $\Delta(\tau, \eta) \neq 0$ for all $(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1$ with $\text{Re } \tau > 0$;
- We say that the **uniform Lopatinskiĭ** condition holds if $\Delta(\tau, \eta) \neq 0$ for all $(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1$.

Definition

- If the Lopatinskiĭ condition is not satisfied the problem is said **violently unstable** (Hadamard ill-posedness).
 - If the uniform Lopatinskiĭ condition holds then the problem is said **uniformly stable**.
 - If the Lopatinskiĭ condition holds but not uniformly the problem is said **weakly stable**.
-

Lemma [Lopatinskiĭ condition for (6)]

- (1) $\Gamma < 0$. Then $\Delta(\tau, \eta) \neq 0$ for every $(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1$. Problem (6) is **uniformly stable**.
- (2) $0 \leq \Gamma < 1$. Let us define $\Lambda := (1 - \Gamma^2)^{-1/2}$. Then, for any $(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1$,

$$\Delta(\tau, \eta) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \tau = \pm i\Lambda\eta.$$

Problem (6) is **weakly stable**.

- (3) $\Gamma \geq 1$. Problem (6) is **violently unstable**.

Definition

- If the Lopatinskiĭ condition is not satisfied the problem is said **violently unstable** (Hadamard ill-posedness).
 - If the uniform Lopatinskiĭ condition holds then the problem is said **uniformly stable**.
 - If the Lopatinskiĭ condition holds but not uniformly the problem is said **weakly stable**.
-

Lemma [Lopatinskiĭ condition for (6)]

- (1) $\Gamma < 0$. Then $\Delta(\tau, \eta) \neq 0$ for every $(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1$. Problem (6) is **uniformly stable**.
- (2) $0 \leq \Gamma < 1$. Let us define $\Lambda := (1 - \Gamma^2)^{-1/2}$. Then, for any $(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1$,

$$\Delta(\tau, \eta) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \tau = \pm i\Lambda\eta.$$

Problem (6) is **weakly stable**.

- (3) $\Gamma \geq 1$. Problem (6) is **violently unstable**.

The uniformly stable case $\Gamma < 0$

For $\tau = \gamma + i\delta$, where $\gamma \geq 1$ and $\delta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$\lambda(\tau, \eta) := (|\tau|^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\gamma^2 + \delta^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Introduce the **weighted Sobolev space**

$$H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2) : e^{-\gamma t} u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)\},$$
$$\|u\|_{H_\gamma^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\lambda^s \widehat{e^{-\gamma t} u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^2) = H_\gamma^0(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Theorem

Assume $\Gamma < 0$. For all $\gamma \geq 1$, if $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$ is a solution to (4) the following estimate holds:

$$\gamma \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} + \|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

\implies **No loss of regularity** from the boundary datum.

PROOF

Because of the direct estimate

$$\gamma \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2))}^2 \lesssim \|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

it's enough to show:

$$\|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (8)$$

Lemma

For all $(\tau_0, \eta_0) \in \Xi_1$, there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of (τ_0, η_0) in Ξ_1 and a continuous invertible matrix $T(\tau, \eta)$ defined on \mathcal{V} such that

$$\forall (\tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \underbrace{\{\tau = 0\}}_{\text{pole of } \mathcal{A}}, \quad T^{-1} \mathcal{A} T(\tau, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & z \\ 0 & -\omega \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first column of $T(\tau, \eta)$ is $E(\tau, \eta)$.

Since Ξ_1 is compact, there exists a finite covering $\{\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_J\}$ of Ξ_1 by such neighborhoods with corresponding matrices $\{T_1, \dots, T_J\}$, and a smooth **partition of unity** $\{\chi_j(\tau, \eta)\}_{j=1}^J \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{V}_j)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^J \chi_j^2 = 1$ on Ξ_1 .

Define $\Pi_j := \{(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi : \exists s > 0, s \cdot (\tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{V}_j\}$ and

$$W(\tau, \eta, y) := \chi_j T_j(\tau, \eta)^{-1} \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}}(\tau, \eta, y), \quad \forall (\tau, \eta) \in \Pi_j.$$

Assume that $(\tau, \eta) \in \Pi_j$ and $\text{Re } \tau > 0$. Then $\frac{dW}{dy} = T_j^{-1} \mathcal{A} T_j W$. Hence

$$\frac{dW_2}{dy} = -\omega W_2, \quad \implies W_2 = 0 \quad (\text{Re } \omega < 0).$$

Using the boundary equation (5b) ($\beta \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}} = \widehat{g}$), one has

$$\chi_j \widehat{g} = \beta T_j(\tau, \eta) W(\tau, \eta, 0) = \underbrace{\beta E(\tau, \eta)}_{\Delta(\tau, \eta)} W_1(\tau, \eta, 0). \quad (9)$$

Because $(\Gamma < 0$: **uniform stability**)

$$\Delta(\tau, \eta) \neq 0 \quad \forall (\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1,$$

$$\exists C_1, C_2 > 0 : \quad C_1 \leq \Delta(\tau, \eta) \leq C_2 \quad \forall (\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1.$$

Extend $\Delta(\tau, \eta)$ as a homogeneous function of degree 0; then

$$C_1 \leq \Delta(\tau, \eta) \leq C_2 \quad \forall (\tau, \eta) \in \Xi.$$

From (9)

$$|W_1(\tau, \eta, 0)| \lesssim |\chi_j \widehat{g}(\tau, \eta)|.$$

Therefore, for all $(\tau, \eta) \in \Pi_j$ with $\gamma = \operatorname{Re} \tau > 0$,

$$|\chi_j \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}}(\tau, \eta, 0)| \lesssim |\chi_j \widehat{g}(\tau, \eta)|.$$

Applying [Plancherel's](#) theorem yields

$$\|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

that is (8). □

The uniformly stable case $\Gamma < 0$ (ibvp)

More in general, for the problem

$$\begin{cases} U_{tt} - U_{xx} - U_{yy} = F & \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0, \\ \Gamma U_t + U_y = 0 & \text{for } y = 0, \\ U = 0 & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where F is a given source term such that $F = 0$ for $t < 0$, one can obtain

Theorem

Assume $\Gamma < 0$. For all $m \geq 0$ and for $\gamma \geq 1$, if $u \in H_\gamma^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$ is a solution to (10) the following estimate holds:

$$\gamma \|u\|_{H_\gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|F\|_{H_\gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2.$$

\implies **No loss of regularity** from the source term.

The weakly stable case $0 < \Gamma < 1$

Theorem

Assume $0 < \Gamma < 1$. For all $\gamma \geq 1$, if $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$ is a solution of (4) the following estimate holds:

$$\gamma \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^2))}^2 + \|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{H_\gamma^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

\Rightarrow **Loss of regularity** from the boundary datum.

For the proof it's enough to show the estimate:

$$\|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{L_\gamma^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{H_\gamma^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (11)$$

Recall that

$$\Delta(\tau, \eta) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \tau = \pm i\Lambda\eta, \quad (\tau, \eta) \in \Xi_1,$$

where $\Lambda := (1 - \Gamma^2)^{-1/2}$.

Lemma

When $\tau = \pm i\Lambda\eta$, the eigenvalue ω is purely imaginary.

Each of these roots is simple in the sense that, if $q = \pm\Lambda$, then there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of $(iq\eta, \eta)$ in Ξ_1 and a C^∞ -function h_q defined on \mathcal{V} such that

$$\Delta(\tau, \eta) = (\tau - iq\eta)h_q(\tau, \eta), \quad h_q(\tau, \eta) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } (\tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (12)$$

Since Ξ_1 is compact, there exists a finite covering $\{\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_J\}$ of Ξ_1 by such neighborhoods with corresponding matrices $\{T_1, \dots, T_J\}$, and a smooth **partition of unity** $\{\chi_j(\tau, \eta)\}_{j=1}^J \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{V}_j)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^J \chi_j^2 = 1$ on Ξ_1 .

Again, define $\Pi_j := \{(\tau, \eta) \in \Xi : \exists s > 0, s \cdot (\tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{V}_j\}$ and

$$W(\tau, \eta, y) := \chi_j T_j(\tau, \eta)^{-1} \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}}(\tau, \eta, y), \quad \forall (\tau, \eta) \in \Pi_j.$$

Assume that $(\tau, \eta) \in \Pi_j$ and $\text{Re } \tau > 0$. Then $\frac{dW}{dy} = T_j^{-1} \mathcal{A} T_j W$. Hence

$$\frac{dW_2}{dy} = -\omega W_2, \quad \implies W_2 = 0 \quad (\text{Re } \omega < 0).$$

Using the boundary equation (5b), one has

$$\chi_j \widehat{g} = \beta T_j(\tau, \eta) W(\tau, \eta, 0) = \underbrace{\beta E(\tau, \eta)}_{\Delta(\tau, \eta)} W_1(\tau, \eta, 0). \quad (13)$$

- If $\Delta(\tau, \eta) \neq 0$ for all $(\tau, \eta) \in \mathcal{V}_j$, then we proceed as in the previous regular case.
- If $(iq\eta, \eta) \in \mathcal{V}_j$, with $q = \pm\Lambda$, from (12)

$$\Delta(\tau, \eta) = (\tau - iq\eta)h_q(\tau, \eta), \quad h_q(\tau, \eta) \neq 0. \quad (14)$$

Extending $\Delta(\tau, \eta)$ to Π_j as a homogeneous function of degree 1, from (13), (14) we obtain

$$|(\tau - iq\eta)W_1(\tau, \eta, 0)| \lesssim \lambda(\tau, \eta) |\chi_j \widehat{g}(\tau, \eta)|.$$

Therefore, for all $(\tau, \eta) \in \Pi_j$ with $\gamma = \operatorname{Re} \tau > 0$,

$$\gamma |\chi_j \widehat{u}^{\text{nc}}(\tau, \eta, 0)| \lesssim \lambda(\tau, \eta) |\chi_j \widehat{g}(\tau, \eta)|.$$

Applying [Plancherel's](#) theorem yields

$$\gamma \| |u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0} \|_{L^2_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|g\|_{H^1_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

that is (11). □

Calculations as in

- 2D compressible vortex sheets, linear stability: [J.-F. Coulombel–P.S.](#) Indiana Univ. Math. J., 53 (2004), 941–1012,
- 2D compressible elastic flows, linear stability: [R.M.Chen–J.Hu–D.Wang](#), Adv. Math. 311 (2017), 18–60.

The weakly stable case $0 < \Gamma < 1$ (ibvp)

More in general, for the problem

$$\begin{cases} U_{tt} - U_{xx} - U_{yy} = F & \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0, \\ \Gamma U_t + U_y = 0 & \text{for } y = 0, \\ U = 0 & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where F is a given source term such that $F = 0$ for $t < 0$, one can obtain

Theorem

Assume $0 < \Gamma < 1$. For all $m \geq 0$ and for $\gamma \geq 1$, if $u \in H_\gamma^{m+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$ is a solution to (15) the following estimate holds:

$$\gamma \|u\|_{H_\gamma^m(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \|u^{\text{nc}}|_{x_2=0}\|_{H_\gamma^m(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|F\|_{H_\gamma^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2.$$

\implies **Loss of regularity** from the source term.