Revision lecture

Main areas of unre lgnessions for the exam)

- () Approximation of integrals (IBPS, Laprace, MOSP, MOSD)
- 2 novened asymptotic expansions (singurar perturbations, BLS)
- 3 Menord of multiple scales
- @ WhB merrod

(much de mininal pour d' me convise §1- §3 prondes me bacugionnal knowledge expertise lunderstranding to use these techniques...)

- (D) Asymptotic approximation of integrals
- (1) Integration by parts

eg Ilx) =
$$\int_{x}^{\infty} \tilde{v}(t) dt = \int_{x}^{\infty} u(t) \frac{d}{dt} v(t) dt = [u(t)v(t)]_{x}^{\infty} - \int v(t) \frac{d}{dt} u(t) dt$$

evaluate - want much
need u(t)v(t) smaller man
'sensible'as original
 $t \rightarrow \infty$ Integrand

hey point-doesn't wan it contributions from limits dominate list integral. L> can sometimes be fixed by integral $\int_{0}^{x} = \int_{0}^{\infty} - \int_{x}^{\infty}$

- also asesn't worn if dominant contribution from an interior point L> Does give an explicit error term /

But, generally quite united in appricability

 $(11) \text{ Laprace's Method} \qquad I(x) = \int_{a}^{b} f(t) e^{x\varphi(t)} dt \quad as x \to \infty$ real, continuous pricticus.

key point-dominant contribution prom region mere qu'il largest
L> sprit into cases ① max e t=a,
② max e t=c - intencr print
③ max e t = b

<u>Steps</u> - dominant unhibrition from region around max qlt) => reduce domain of integration to around this region

1) expand fits, get 1 using Taylor series about max

(2) rescale the integration variable - to replace integration limits by a lintroducing any expanentially small errors)

To do - establish what 'small' means - noting Taylor expansion of q at max.

land need to consider whether eg q"(c) <0 cr q"(c) = 0 < here need outlement scaling)

- -> Should be able to confidently evaluate now big the enors are -quantity them carefully and show they are small compared to the dominant ferm (see solution for the 2023 paper)
- > should be able to unterdown survivor for general flt) and gelt).

Dominant with buliers to the integral from regions where $\psi'(t) = 0$ as there the oscillations don't cancel.

Will need the Riemann lebesgive lemma: If $\int_{a}^{b} |f(t)| dt < \infty$ and $\psi(t)$ ctsly differentiable for $a \le t \le b$ and not constant on any subinterval in $a \le t \le b$ then $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) e^{ix + t + i} dt \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Exemptor: y'(c) = 0 mth acceb and y'(+)=0 olw, with f(c)=0 and y"(+) rorali) in a heighbournood of C. -sprit integral into three - main contribution from region around c, so long as the 2 I denning the region of integration) is sensibly unser. Method - Taylor expand flt), 4(+) about c be unhident where the approximations are made, and now big the enors are. - change variables - use contour integration heypoints - enorsanly algebraically small lineaprace's memod they are exponenticily small) - very dufficult to get higher order corrections - mey come from the entre region d'integration. l'Laplace - me mu asymptotic expansion here comes non me local region only) (1v) Method of steepest descents $I(x) = \int f(t) e^{x\phi(t)} dt$ tec XelR as x→∞ C-contour in the comprex plane (NB Laprace's method/method of oranionary phase -special cases) key i dea - deform to a new contour on unich In celt) is plecenise constant and then evaluate on these untowns using Laprace's memory method - deferm contour to a unun of otecpest descent (v = constant) Contours through the end points and any relevant saddle points - evaluate local commontions from saddles lend points using Laplace. could have deformed to P s.t. Relp) = constant to appry memod of Stationary phase - but we know that laplace's method is better Note that since local contributions often can sare a dominate, we only need the tangents generate all terms and tails lot of time and to the one pest descent parties to

generate the asymptotic approximations.

energy by noting this!

are exponentially small.

(v) Splitting the range of integration.	- spht rounge of integration
In order to use different approximations meach part.	
2 matched asymptotic expansions	- Singular permibahan
Method - determine scaling of Bis langther location)	problems innere setting E=0 reduces crobe ct the differential equation.
- rescale independent vourable i	
- find expansions in and cutside of the BL	
- determine the anstants imatching + benndary unds.)	
L> matching errier via Van Dyhe's matching mie, Or using an intermediate variable that	
interpolates between the two (fer suitable choice)	
(Need to know when it might nut work too)	
	are two or more lengthor time a dufferential equation
their crin	nrough dutterent processes having (dutterent)-timescales mat utaneously.
Memora- invoduce multiple lushaly two fe	v the problem we anorder)
timescales eq $T=t$ and $T=z$ fast -Turns and ODE Into a PDE	$t \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} eti$
- Use an asymptotic expansion and check (and suppress)	
Secular terms (4) WKB Memod - Singular permibarion priorems That do nit have Bls.	
$let y(x) = e^{\frac{i\varphi(x)}{\Sigma}} \frac{A(x; z)}{m!! expand using an asymptotic expansion}$	
donit expand q(x)	