# **B8.4** Information Theory

Sheet 2 - MT24

### Section A

1. We are given a fair coin, and want to generate a random variable X from i.i.d. sampling from tossing the coin, such that X follows the distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(X=1) = p, \ \mathbb{P}(X=0) = 1-p$$

with any given constant  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

Suppose  $Z_1, Z_2, \cdots$  are the results of independent tossing of the coin, i.e.,  $\{Z_i\}$  is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with the distribution  $\mathbb{P}(Z = 0) = \mathbb{P}(Z = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Denote  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} Z_i 2^{-i}$ , and define

$$X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U$$

- (a) Show that U follows a uniform distribution over [0, 1), and hence show that  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = p$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 1 p$ .
- (b) Denote I as the minimal number of n such that we can tell U < p based on  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ . Calculate  $\mathbb{E}[I]$  and show that  $\mathbb{E}[I] \leq 2$ .

#### Solution:

(a) For any  $q \in [0,1)$ , denote its binary expansion as  $q = 0.a_1a_2\cdots$ , i.e.  $q = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} a_i 2^{-i}$  with  $a_i \in \{0,1\}$  (with the convention that  $1111\cdots$  is not allowed), and define

$$I = \min\{i : Z_i \neq a_i\}$$

Then  $\mathbb{P}(U=p) = \mathbb{P}(I=\infty) = 0$ , and

$$\mathbb{P}(U < p) = \mathbb{P}(I < +\infty, Z_I < a_i) \\
= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(I = n, Z_n < a_n) \\
= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}\{Z_1 = a_1, \cdots, Z_{n=1} = a_{n-1}, \text{ and } Z_n < a_n\} \\
= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-(n-1)} 2^{-1} a_n \\
= p.$$

Since  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = \mathbb{P}(U < p) = p$ , we know the distribution of X is (p, 1 - p).

(b) With a little abuse of notation, suppose  $p = 0.a_1a_2\cdots$  and I defined as above. Then we can tell U < p at time I.

Since

$$\mathbb{P}(I=n) = \mathbb{P}(Z_1 = a_1, \cdots Z_{n-1} = a_{n-1}, Z_n \neq a_n)$$
$$= 2^{-n},$$
$$\mathbb{E}[I] = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n2^{-n}$$
$$= 2.$$

2. For any  $q \in [0, 1]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that nq is an integer, show that

$$\frac{2^{nH(q)}}{n+1} \le \binom{n}{nq} \le 2^{nH(q)}.$$

**Hint:** Consider the i.i.d. Bernoulli sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  with probabilities defined by  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = q$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 1 - q$ .

**Solution:** As in the hint, construct an i.i.d. sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  with  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = q$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 1 - q$ . Denote  $S = \sum_i X_i$ , and  $\Gamma = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \{0, 1\}, \sum_n x_i = nq\}$ . Then the number of elements in  $\Gamma$  is

$$|\Gamma| = \binom{n}{nq}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}(S = np) = \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \Gamma \\ (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \Gamma}} \mathbb{P}\{(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)\}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \Gamma \\ (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \Gamma}} q^{nq} (1 - q)^{n(1 - q)}$$
$$= |\Gamma| 2^{-nH(q)}.$$

On one hand, it is trivial that  $\mathbb{P}(S = nq) < 1$ .

On the other hand, we know S follows the binomial distribution with parameter n and q. If we denote  $p_k = \mathbb{P}(S = k) = \binom{n}{nq}q^k(1-q)^{n-k}$ , then

$$\frac{p_{k+1}}{p_k} = \frac{n-k}{k+1} \frac{q}{1-q},$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$p_{k+1} \le p_k \iff (n-k)q \le (k+1)(1-q)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow nq \le kq + (k+1)(1-q) = k + (1-q)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow k \ge nq - (1-q).$$

When  $nq = k_0$  is an integer, we can see  $p_k$  is increasing over  $k \le k_0$  and decreasing over  $k > k_0$ , which means nq achieves the maximal value of  $p_k$ , and hence

$$\mathbb{P}(S = nq) \ge \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Together with the equality  $\mathbb{P}(S=nq)=|\Gamma|2^{-nH(q)},$  we have

$$2^{nH(q)} \ge \binom{n}{nq} \ge \frac{2^{nH(q)}}{n+1}.$$

# Section B

3. Let  $X_1$  be a random variable valued in  $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $X_2$  be a random variable valued in  $\mathcal{X}_2 = \{m + 1, \dots, n\}$  for integers n > m. Let  $\theta$  be a random variable with  $\mathbb{P}(\theta = 1) = \alpha$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\theta = 2) = 1 - \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Define a new random variable

$$X = X_{\theta}$$

Furthermore, suppose  $\theta, X_1, X_2$  are independent to each other.

- (a) Express H(X) in terms of  $H(X_1), H(X_2)$  and  $H(\theta)$ .
- (b) Show that  $2^{H(X)} \leq 2^{H(X_1)} + 2^{H(X_2)}$ . Can the equality hold in this inequality?
- 4. Let X be a random variable with pmf p over the image space  $\mathcal{X}$  with finite elements  $k = |\mathcal{X}|, \vec{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . We label elements in  $\mathcal{X}$  by a non-decreasing order of p(x), such that  $p_i = \mathbb{P}(X = x_i)$  is non-decreasing in i. By this labelling, we can easily rank the probability  $\mathbb{P}(\vec{X} = \vec{x})$  for all  $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{X}^n$ , and explicitly construct the smallest set  $\mathcal{S}_n^{\varepsilon}$  by greedily including the element in  $\mathcal{X}^n$  with highest probabilities one-by-one until we have  $\mathbb{P}(\vec{X} \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\varepsilon}) \geq 1 \varepsilon$ .

Show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$ , such that for any  $n \ge n_0$ , we have

$$(1-2\varepsilon)2^{n(H(X)-\varepsilon)} \le |\mathcal{S}_n^{\varepsilon}| \le 2^{n(H(X)+\varepsilon)}.$$

**Hint:** For any  $\varepsilon_1 \in [0,1), \varepsilon_2 \in [0,1)$  and events A, B with  $\mathbb{P}(A) \ge 1 - \varepsilon_1, \mathbb{P}(B) \ge 1 - \varepsilon_2$ , show that  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) \ge 1 - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ . Use this inequality to estimate  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{S}_n^{\varepsilon} \cap \mathcal{T}_n^{\varepsilon})$ .

- 5. International Morse code is a ternary encoding of the Latin alphabet, traditionally represented as dots and dashes. A version of the encoding (written in terms of digits 0,1) is given in the file IMC.csv. Here we represent a dot as '10', a dash as '1110' and the pause between letters as '0000000' (representing the typical length of the dot-dash-pause).
  - (a) Explain why Morse code is a prefix code, but is not a uniquely decodable code if the ending pauses are excluded.
  - (b) Using the single letter counts and the Huffman algorithm, determine a binary code which encodes each single character as a single block.
  - (c) Using the single letter counts and the Huffman algorithm, determine a binary code which encodes each pair of characters as a single block, assuming characters are sampled independently.
  - (d) Using the double letter counts and the Huffman algorithm, determine a binary code which encodes each pair of consecutive letters as a single block.
  - (e) Using the double letter counts, evaluate the average message lengths of each of the codes above (including International Morse code), when used on pairs of consecutive English characters.

**Remark:** You only need to submit solutions to (a,e).

## Section C

6. The differential entropy of a  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued random variable X with density function  $f(\cdot)$  is defined as

$$h(X) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \log(f(x)) dx$$

with the convention  $0\log(0) = 0$ .

- (a) Calculate h(X) for the following cases with n = 1.
  - (1) X is uniformly distributed on an interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ;
  - (2) X is a standard normal distribution;
  - (3) X is exponential distributed with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ .
- (b) For general *n*-dimensional case, if  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}(X) = K$ , (K is the variancecovariance matrix). Show that

$$h(X) \le n \log(\sqrt{2\pi e}) + \log(\sqrt{|K|})$$

with the equality hold iff X is multivariable normal.

**Hint:** you can firstly prove the continuous version of Gibbs' inequality: For any two density functions  $f(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$ ,

$$-\int f(x)\log(f(x))\mathrm{d}x \le -\int f(x)\log(g(x))\mathrm{d}x.$$

Also, you can try to prove (or use it without proof) the following property of the variance-covariance matrix: If  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^{\top}$  has expectation 0 and variance-covariance matrix  $\operatorname{Var}(X) = K$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X^\top K^{-1}X] = n.$$

#### Solution:

(a) 
$$h(X) = -\mathbb{E}[\log(f(X))] = \mathbb{E}[\log(1/f(X))]$$

(a.1)  $f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}$  for any  $x \in [a, b]$ , and f(x) = 0 otherwise. So  $h(X) = \mathbb{E}[\log(b - a)] = \log(b - a)$ .

(a.2) 
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}$$
, so

$$h(X) = \mathbb{E}[\log(\sqrt{2\pi}e^{X^2/2})] = \log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + \mathbb{E}[\frac{X^2}{2}\log(e)] = \log(\sqrt{2\pi}) + \frac{1}{2}\log(e) = \log(\sqrt{2\pi}e)$$

(a.3) 
$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$
 for  $x \ge 0$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$ . So  
$$h(X) = \mathbb{E}[-\log(\lambda) + \lambda X \log(e)] = -\log(\lambda) + \lambda \log(e)\frac{1}{\lambda} = \log(e) - \log(\lambda).$$

(b) Denote  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^{\top}$  is a normal random vector with mean  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  and variance  $\mathbb{E}[X^{\top}X] = K$ . Denote g as its density function, i.e.

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n |K|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^\top K^{-1}x} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We first calculate h(g).

$$\begin{split} h(g) &= -\mathbb{E}[\log(g(X))] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log((2\pi)^n |K|) + \frac{1}{2}\log(e)\mathbb{E}[X^\top K^{-1}X] \\ &= \frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log|K| + \frac{1}{2}\log(e)n \\ &= \frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi e) + \frac{1}{2}\log|K| \\ &= n\log(\sqrt{2\pi e}) + \log(\sqrt{|K|}). \end{split}$$

Then we prove that  $h(f) \leq h(g)$  for any f with mean 0 and variance-covariance matrix K. For any random vector Y with the density f, we have

$$\begin{split} h(f) &= -\mathbb{E}[\log(f(Y)] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[\log(g(Y))] + \mathbb{E}[\log(g(Y)/f(Y))]. \end{split}$$

For the first term

$$-\mathbb{E}[\log(g(Y))] = \frac{1}{2}\log((2\pi)^n |K|) + \frac{1}{2}\log(e)\mathbb{E}[Y^\top K^{-1}Y] \\ = -\mathbb{E}[\log(g(X))] = h(g).$$

For the second term, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}[\log(g(Y)/f(Y))] \leq \log(\mathbb{E}[g(Y)/f(Y)])$$
  
=  $\log(1) = 0.$ 

So we get  $h(f) \leq f(g)$ , and the equality hold iff  $g(Y) \equiv f(Y)$ .

- 7. Consider the space of random variables  $\mathcal{X}$  on a discrete space.
  - (a) Show that the function  $\rho : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $(X, Y) \mapsto H(X|Y) + H(Y|X)$  is a pseudo-metric (that is, it is positive, symmetric and satisfies the triangle inequality).
  - (b) Show that  $\rho(X, Y) = 0$  if and only if there exists a function f such that f(X) = Y with probability one, and hence  $\rho$  is a metric on the corresponding equivalence class (where  $X \sim Y$  iff f(X) = Y for some Y)

### Solution:

(a) Clearly  $\rho(X,Y) \ge 0$  and  $\rho(X,Y) = \rho(Y,X)$ . For any three random variables, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H(X|Y)+H(Y|Z) & \geq & H(X|Y,Z)+H(Y|Z) \\ & = & H(X,Y|Z) \\ & = & H(X|Z)+H(Y|X,Z) \\ & \geq & H(X|Z) \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \rho(X,Y) + \rho(Y,Z) &= H(X|Y) + H(Y|X) + H(Y|Z) + H(Z|Y) \\ &\geq H(X|Z) + H(Z|X) = \rho(X,Z). \end{split}$$

(b) If such an f exists, then it is easy to see that H(X|Y) = H(Y|X) = 0, as the conditional probabilities are trivial. Conversely, by positivity if  $\rho(X, Y) = 0$  then H(Y|X) = 0, and so the conditional probability must be trivial. We can then define the map f to be the selector: f(x) = y if  $\mathbb{P}(Y = y|X = x) = 1$ . The result follows.