

C4.1 Further Functional Analysis

Sheet 0 — MT 2024

Initial Sheet

This problem sheet is not for handing in. It is intended for revision and consolidation (during Week 0 and the beginning of Week 1 of MT) of some important concepts in Functional Analysis.

1. Let X be a normed vector space. Prove that X is a Banach space if and only if every absolutely convergent series with terms in X converges to a limit in X .

Solution: Suppose first that X is complete and let $x_n \in X$, $n \geq 1$, be such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| < \infty$. If we let $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$, $n \geq 1$, then for $n \geq m \geq 1$ we have

$$\|s_n - s_m\| \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|x_k\| \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \|x_k\| \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty,$$

so the sequence $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy and therefore convergent.

Conversely, suppose that every absolutely convergent series in X converges to a limit in X , and let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X . Then we can find a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\|x_{n_k} - x_{n_l}\| \leq 2^{-k}$ for $l \geq k \geq 1$. Let $y_1 = x_{n_1}$ and $y_k = x_{n_k} - x_{n_{k-1}}$, $k \geq 2$. Then the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k$ is absolutely convergent, and hence by assumption there exists $x \in X$ such that $\|y_1 + \cdots + y_k - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $y_1 + \cdots + y_k = x_{n_k}$, $k \geq 1$, it follows that the original sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence. Recalling that any sequence which is Cauchy and has a convergent subsequence must be convergent,¹ we deduce that X is complete.

2. Given an example of Banach spaces X, Y and a bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\text{Ran } T$ is not closed in Y .

Solution: Consider $X = Y = \ell^2$, and define T by $T((x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}) = (x_n/n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then T is easily checked to be a bounded linear map (indeed $\|T\| = 1$). Since $\text{Ran } T$ contains the dense subspace of all finitely supported sequences in ℓ^2 , $\text{Ran } T$ is dense in ℓ^2 . So $\text{Ran } T$ will be closed if and only if T is surjective. But taking $y_n = 1/n$ and $y = (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we have that $y \notin \text{Ran } T$ as if $T((x_n)) = y$, then $x_n = 1$ for all n , and this sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ does not lie in ℓ^2 .

3. Let X_n , $n \geq 1$, be normed vector spaces. Consider the vector space X of sequences $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $x_n \in X_n$, $n \geq 1$, and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n\| < \infty$, endowed with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n\|, \quad x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in X.$$

- (a) Prove that if X_n is complete for each $n \geq 1$ then so is X .
- (b) Let X_n^* denote the dual space of X_n , $n \geq 1$. Show that the dual space X^* of X is isometrically isomorphic to the vector space Y of all sequences $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $f_n \in X_n^*$, $n \geq 1$, and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\| < \infty$, endowed with the norm given by $\|f\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_n\|$, $f = (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in Y$.

[Think about the proof that the dual space of ℓ^1 is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ^∞ . If you've not seen this result in your earlier courses, this problem will probably be hard, and I'd encourage you instead to spend time considering finding out about dual spaces of ℓ^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and for c_0 .]

Solution:

- (a) Suppose that the spaces X_n , $n \geq 1$, are complete and let $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence in X , writing $x^{(k)} = (x_n^{(k)})_{n=1}^\infty$. Moreover let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $K \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n^{(k)} - x_n^{(\ell)}\| = \|x^{(k)} - x^{(\ell)}\| < \varepsilon, \quad k, \ell \geq K.$$

In particular, for each fixed $n \geq 1$ the sequence $(x_n^{(k)})_{k=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in X_n . Since each X_n is complete there exist $x_n \in X_n$, $n \geq 1$, such that $\|x_n^{(k)} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. For $k \geq K$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|x_n^{(k)} - x_n\| = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \|x_n^{(k)} - x_n^{(\ell)}\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad N \geq 1.$$

Taking limits as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n^{(k)} - x_n\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

So $x^{(k)} - x \in X$ for $k \geq K$ and in particular $x \in X$. We also obtain that $\|x^{(k)} - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for $k \geq K$, so X is complete, as required.

- (b) Let

$$(\Phi y)(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n(x_n)$$

for $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in X$ and $y = (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in Y$. Note first that the series on the right-hand side is (absolutely) convergent because

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |f_n(x_n)| \leq \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|f_n\| \sum_{n=1}^N \|x_n\| \leq \|y\| \|x\|, \quad N \geq 1.$$

Moreover, letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ we see that $|(\Phi y)(x)| \leq \|y\| \|x\|$ for $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Thus for each fixed $y \in Y$, Φy defines a bounded linear² map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ with $\|\Phi y\| \leq \|y\|$. Hence, Φ is a well-defined linear³ map from Y into X^* .

Fix $y = (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in Y$. For $n \geq 1$ and $z \in X_n$ let $e_n(z) \in X$ denote the sequence with z in the n -th position and zeros elsewhere. Then for $n \geq 1$ and $z \in X_n$ we have $\|e_n(z)\| = \|z\|$ and $|(\Phi y)(e_n(z))| = |f_n(z)|$, and hence

$$\|\Phi y\| \geq \sup_{z \in B_{X_n}} |f_n(z)| = \|f_n\|, \quad n \geq 1.$$

It follows that $\|\Phi y\| \geq \|y\|$, so Φ is an isometry and in particular injective. It remains to show that Φ is surjective. Given $f \in X^*$ we may define the bounded linear functionals $f_n \in X_n^*$, $n \geq 1$, by $f_n(z) = f(e_n(z))$, $z \in X_n$. Then $\|f_n\| \leq \|f\|$, $n \geq 1$, so the sequence $y = (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ lies in Y . Furthermore, given $x_n \in X_n$, $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(\Phi y)(x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots), \quad N \geq 1,$$

so Φy agrees with f on the subspace Z of X consisting of all finitely supported sequences. Since Z is dense⁴ in X it follows from continuity of Φy and f that $\Phi y = f$, so Φ is surjective, as required.

What we've done here is form the ℓ^1 -direct sum X of the sequence $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of Banach spaces, and the ℓ^∞ direct sum Y of the spaces $(X_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$, and then show that X^* is canonically isometrically isomorphic to Y . The proof is essentially the same as the canonical isometric isomorphism between ℓ^1 and ℓ^∞ which you may well have seen previously.

How would you define ℓ^p direct sums of Banach spaces, and the c_0 sum, and what would you expect the duals to be?

4. Let X be a Banach space.

- (a) What does it mean to say that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is *invertible*?
- (b) Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and that $\|T\| < 1$. Show that $I - T$ is invertible.
- (c) Let $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and suppose that T is invertible and that $\|S\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$. Prove that $S + T$ is invertible and that

$$(S + T)^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (T^{-1}S)^n T^{-1},$$

where the series converges in the norm of $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

- (d) Deduce that the set of invertible operators is an open subset of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and that the spectrum

$$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{F} : \lambda - T \text{ is not invertible}\}$$

of any operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a compact subset of the field \mathbb{F} .

- (e) Given a non-empty compact subset K of \mathbb{F} , show that there exist a Banach space X and $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\sigma(T) = K$. What can you say if K is empty? [Does it make a difference whether \mathbb{F} is \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} ?]

Solution:

- (a) An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is invertible if there exists an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $ST = TS = I$, the identity operator on X . In this case we write $S = T^{-1}$.
- (b) Since X is complete so is $\mathcal{B}(X)$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ satisfies $\|T\| < 1$, then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$ is absolutely convergent and therefore convergent in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Denote the limit by S and let $S_n = I + \dots + T^{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$. Then $(I - T)S_n = S_n(I - T) = I - T^n$, $n \geq 1$, and hence

$$\|S(I - T) - I\| \leq \|(S - S_n)(I - T)\| + \|T^n\| \leq \|S - S_n\| \|I - T\| + \|T\|^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we see that $S(I - T) = I$. Similarly $(I - T)S = I$, so $I - T$ is invertible with inverse S .

- (c) If $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and T is invertible, then $S + T = T(I + T^{-1}S)$. Let $Q = I + T^{-1}S$. If $\|S\| < \|T^{-1}\|^{-1}$, then $\|ST^{-1}\| < 1$ and part (b) gives that Q is invertible. If we let $R = Q^{-1}T^{-1}$, then $R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $(S + T)R = R(S + T) = I$, so $S + T$ is invertible. The formula for $(S + T)^{-1}$ follows from the argument in part (b).
- (d) It is clear from part (c) that the set of invertible operators is an open subset of $\mathcal{B}(X)$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \sigma(T)$, then $\lambda - T$ is invertible, and hence for $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$|\mu - \lambda| < \|(\lambda - T)^{-1}\|^{-1}$ the operator $\mu - T$ is invertible by part (c). Hence $\mathbb{F} \setminus \sigma(T)$ is open, so $\sigma(T)$ is closed. Since $\lambda - T = \lambda(I - \lambda^{-1}T)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, it follows from part (b) that $\lambda - T$ is invertible when $|\lambda| > \|T\|$. Thus $\sigma(T) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{F} : |\lambda| \leq \|T\|\}$. In particular, $\sigma(T)$ is bounded and hence compact.

- (e) If K is non-empty, we may consider a dense subset K_0 of K which is at most countably infinite. Suppose that $K_0 = \{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is eventually constant in case K_0 is finite. Now let $X = \ell^1$ and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be given by $T((x_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = (\lambda_n x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ for $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in X$. Then⁵ $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and each λ_n , $n \geq 1$, is an eigenvalue of T , so $K_0 \subseteq \sigma(T)$. Since the spectrum is closed it follows that $K \subseteq \sigma(T)$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{F} \setminus K$, then $\delta = \text{dist}(\lambda, K) > 0$. Thus the sequence $((\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1})_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded, so similarly defines a bounded operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ by $S((x_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = ((\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1} x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. It's easy to check that S is the inverse of $\lambda - T$, so $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. Thus $\sigma(T) = K$.

If K is empty and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ then K cannot be the spectrum of any operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, since over the complex field the spectrum is always non-empty.⁶ On the other hand, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ we may consider $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the Euclidean norm, say, and $T(x, y) = (y, -x)$ for $(x, y) \in X$. Then X is a Banach space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The characteristic polynomial of T is $c_T(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$, so $\sigma(T) = \emptyset$.

For many of us most of this exercise will be bookwork from an earlier course. However if not, spectral theory will not be a major part of this course (and certainly not at the beginning). We will start to use it towards the end of Section 10. The difference between the real and complex field in (e), is one of the main reasons why many mathematicians studying operators on Hilbert or Banach spaces, typically prefer to work with complex scalars. When one's just looking at Banach spaces, and not focusing on the operators between them, this matters less, and one often uses real scalars (having the slight advantage that one doesn't need to take real parts in the separation theorems).

5. Let X be a Banach space, Y a normed vector space and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$.

- (a) Suppose there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $M > 0$ such that $\text{dist}(y, T(B_X^\circ(M))) < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in B_Y^\circ$. Prove that $B_Y^\circ \subseteq T(B_X^\circ(M(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1}))$. [Take $y_1 = y \in B_Y^\circ$ and take $x_1 \in B_X^\circ(M)$ with $\|Tx_1 - y_1\| < \varepsilon$. Now take $y_2 = Tx_1 - y_1$. How well can you approximate y_2 by something in the range of T ?]
- (b) Deduce that if $T(B_X^\circ(M))$ contains a dense subset of B_Y° then $B_Y^\circ \subseteq T(B_X^\circ(M))$.

[In this course the notation $B_X^\circ(r)$ is used for the open unit ball in X of radius r . This result is the successive approximation lemma - if you get stuck, you might have a look at the proof of the open mapping theorem from B.4.2.]

Solution:

- (a) Let $y \in B_Y^\circ$. We recursively define sequences $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in X and $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in Y as follows. Set $y_1 = y$ and let $x_1 \in B_X^\circ(M)$ be such that $\|Tx_1 - y_1\| < \varepsilon$. Supposing we have $x_n \in X$ and $y_n \in Y$ such that $\|y_n\| < \varepsilon^{n-1}$, $\|x_n\| < M\varepsilon^{n-1}$ and $\|Tx_n - y_n\| < \varepsilon^n$, we set $y_{n+1} = y_n - Tx_n$. Since $\varepsilon^{-n}\|y_{n+1}\| < 1$ there exists $x'_{n+1} \in B_X^\circ(M)$ such that $\|Tx'_{n+1} - \varepsilon^{-n}y_{n+1}\| < \varepsilon$. If we let $x_{n+1} = \varepsilon^n x'_{n+1}$ then $\|x_{n+1}\| < M\varepsilon^n$ and we may continue inductively. Since $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n\| < \infty$ and X is complete, the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$ converges to some $x \in X$ satisfying

$$\|x\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n\| < \frac{M}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$

Moreover

$$\left\| y - \sum_{k=1}^n Tx_k \right\| = \|y_{n+1}\| < \varepsilon^n \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By continuity of T we obtain that $Tx = y$.

- (b) If $T(B_X^\circ(M))$ contains a dense subset of B_Y° , then $B_Y^\circ \subseteq T(B_X^\circ(M)) + B_Y^\circ(\varepsilon)$ and hence by the first part $B_Y^\circ(1 - \varepsilon) \subseteq T(B_X^\circ(M))$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. It follows that

$$B_Y^\circ = \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} B_Y^\circ(1 - \varepsilon) \subseteq T(B_X^\circ(M)).$$

Part (a) is the successive approximation lemma; it'll appear at the end of section 4 of the lecture notes and is in the video 4.3. This trick of repeatedly approximating to obtain an exact solution is a useful idea in analysis, and is well worth filing away.