## Exercise sheet 3. Chapters 1-12.

## Part A

**Question 3.1.** Show that  $k^2$  is not homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$ .

**Solution.** Suppose for contradiction that there is a homeomorphism  $h : k^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2(k)$ . Let  $V_0 := \mathbb{Z}(x_1)$  and  $V_1 = \mathbb{Z}(x_1-1)$ . We have  $\dim(V_0) = \dim(V_1) = 1$  and  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  are irreducible. Noting that both dimension and irreducibility only depend on the underlying topology, we see that  $h(V_0)$  and  $h(V_1)$  are irreducible closed subsets of dimension 1 of  $\mathbb{P}^2(k)$ . Thus we have  $h(V_0) \cap h(V_1) \neq \emptyset$  by Proposition 11.2. However, by construction we have  $V_0 \cap V_1 = \emptyset$ , so this is a contradiction.

## Part B

Question 3.2. Let  $V_0 = \mathbb{Z}(x_0x_3 - x_1^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3(k)$  and  $V_1 = \mathbb{Z}(x_1x_3 - x_2^2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3(k)$ . Let  $C := V_0 \cap V_1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3(k)$ . Let  $U := \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \mathbb{Z}(x_0, x_1, x_2)$  and endow U with its structure of open subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^3(k)$ . Let  $g : U \to \mathbb{P}^2(k)$  be the morphism such that  $g([X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]) = [X_0, X_1, X_2]$  for all  $[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3] \in U$  (see question 2.3).

(1) Show that the morphism  $g|_{C\cap U}: C\cap U \to \mathbb{P}^2(k)$  extends to a morphism  $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^2(k)$ .

(2) Show that f(C) is closed and that  $f(C) = \mathbb{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3)$ .

(3) Show that the induced map  $f: C \to f(C)$  is an isomorphism.

**Solution.** (1) We have  $Z(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \{[0, 0, 0, 1]\} \subseteq C$ . So  $g|_{C \cap U}$  is only undefined at one point. Now assuming that  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \neq 0$  and  $[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3] \in C$ , we have

$$g([X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]) = [X_0, X_1, X_2] = [X_0 X_3, X_1 X_3, X_2 X_3] = [X_1^2, X_2^2, X_2 X_3]$$
  
=  $[X_1^2 X_2, X_2^3, X_2^2 X_3] = [X_1^2 X_2, X_1 X_2 X_3, X_1 X_3^2] = [X_1 X_2, X_2 X_3, X_3^2].$ 

So the map  $h: C \setminus (\mathbb{Z}(x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_3^2) \cap C) \to \mathbb{P}^2(k)$  given by the formula

$$h([X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]) = [X_1X_2, X_2X_3, X_3^2]$$

coincides with g on  $C \setminus ((Z(x_1x_2x_3) \cup Z(x_0, x_1, x_2)) \cap C)$ . Now  $[0, 0, 0, 1] \notin Z(x_1x_2, x_2x_3, x_3^2)$  so h extends  $g|_{U \cap C}$  in a neighborhood of [0, 0, 0, 1].

(2) The fact that  $f(C) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3)$  follows from the fact that if  $[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3] \in C$  then

$$X_1^3 = X_1 X_0 X_3 = X_0 (X_1 X_3) = X_0 X_2^2.$$

The fact that f(C) is closed follows from Corollary 12.10 (and the fact that projective varieties are complete). It also follows from (3) of this question.

(3) We shall construct an inverse map. Suppose that  $[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2] \in \mathbb{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3)$ . Suppose first that  $Z_0, Z_1 \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_2^2/Z_1] = [Z_0Z_1, Z_1^2, Z_2Z_1, Z_2^2] = [Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_1^2/Z_0] = [Z_0^2, Z_1Z_0, Z_2Z_0, Z_1^2]$$

(because  $Z_2^2/Z_1 = Z_1^2/Z_0$  since  $[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2] \in \mathbb{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3)$ ). Next, plugging either member of this equality into the equations for C we see that

$$[Z_0Z_1, Z_1^2, Z_2Z_1, Z_2^2] \in C$$

if either  $Z_0Z_1 \neq 0$  or  $Z_1^2 \neq 0$  or  $Z_2Z_1 \neq 0$  or  $Z_2^2 \neq 0$  and

$$[Z_0^2, Z_1 Z_0, Z_2 Z_0, Z_1^2] \in C$$

if either  $Z_0^2 \neq 0$  or  $Z_1Z_0 \neq 0$  or  $Z_2Z_0 \neq 0$  or  $Z_1^2 \neq 0$ .

Let  $\iota_2: \mathbb{P}^2(k) \setminus \mathbb{Z}(z_0 z_1, z_1^2, z_2 z_1, z_2^2) \to \mathbb{P}^3(k)$  be the map such that

$$\iota_2([Z_0, Z_1, Z_2]) = [Z_0 Z_1, Z_1^2, Z_2 Z_1, Z_2^2]$$

and  $\iota_1:\mathbb{P}^2(k)\backslash \mathcal{Z}(z_0^2,z_1z_0,z_2z_0,z_1^2)\to \mathbb{P}^3(k)$  be the map such that

$$\iota_1([Z_0, Z_1, Z_2]) = [Z_0^2, Z_1 Z_0, Z_2 Z_0, Z_1^2].$$

We have shown that these two maps coincide on  $Z(z_0z_2^2-z_1^3)$  whenever  $Z_0, Z_1 \neq 0$ . If  $[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2] \in Z(z_0z_2^2-z_1^3)$  and  $Z_0 = 0$  then  $Z_1 = 0$  so  $[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2] = [0, 0, 1]$ . By the above  $\iota_2$  is defined at [0, 0, 1]. On the other hand, if  $Z_1 = 0$  then either  $Z_0 = 0$  or  $Z_2 = 0$  so that either  $[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2] = [0, 0, 1]$  or  $[Z_0, Z_1, Z_2] = [1, 0, 0]$ . Again,  $\iota_1$  is defined at [1, 0, 0]. Hence  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_2$  together define a map  $\phi : Z(z_0z_2^2 - z_1^3) \to C$ . Also, by construction, we have

$$\phi|_{\mathbf{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3) \setminus \mathbf{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3, z_0 z_1)} \circ f|_{C \setminus \mathbf{Z}(x_0 x_1)} = \mathrm{Id}_{C \setminus \mathbf{Z}(x_0 x_1)}$$

and

$$f|_{C \setminus \mathbf{Z}(x_0 x_1)} \circ \phi|_{\mathbf{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3) \setminus \mathbf{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3, z_0 z_1)} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3) \setminus \mathbf{Z}(z_0 z_2^2 - z_1^3, z_0 z_1)}$$

(use the equalities at the beginning of the solution to (3)). Finally, we check by hand

$$f(\phi([1,0,0])) = f(\iota_1([1,0,0])) = f([1,0,0,0]) = [1,0,0]$$

$$f(\phi([0,0,1])) = f(\iota_2([0,0,1])) = f([0,0,0,1]) = h([0,0,0,1]) = [0,0,1].$$

Suppose  $[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3] \in C$ . If  $X_0 = 0$  then  $X_1 = X_2 = 0$ . Also, if  $X_1 = 0$  then  $X_2 = 0$  and either  $X_0 = 0$  or  $X_3 = 0$ . So we have either  $[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3] = [0, 0, 0, 1]$  or  $[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3] = [1, 0, 0, 0]$ . Again we check

$$\phi(f([0,0,0,1])) = \phi([0,0,1]) = \iota_2([0,0,1]) = [0,0,0,1]$$
  
$$\phi(f([1,0,0,0])) = \phi([1,0,0]) = \iota_1([1,0,0]) = [1,0,0,0]$$

So we have shown that  $f: C \to f(C)$  and  $\phi$  are inverse to each other.

**Question 3.3.** (1) Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a surjective morphism of quasi-projective varieties. Suppose that X is complete. Show that Y is also complete.

(2) Show that a noetherian topological space only has finitely many connected components.

(3) Let  $(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$  be a projective variety. Show that the k-vector space  $\mathcal{O}_V(V)$  is finite-dimensional.

**Solution.** (1) We have to show that for any quasi-projective variety B and any closed subset  $C \subseteq Y \times B$ the projection  $\pi_B(C)$  of C on the second factor is closed. Now the natural map  $f \times \mathrm{Id}_B : X \times B \to Y \times B$  is surjective, so we have  $\pi_B(C) = \pi_B((f \times \mathrm{Id}_B)^{-1}(C))$  and  $\pi_B((f \times \mathrm{Id}_B)^{-1}(C))$  is closed since X is complete.

(2) Recall that the connected components of a topological space T are the connected subsets of T, which are maximal (with respect to inclusion) among all such subsets. One can show that the connected components of T cover T (if this is not known to the students, this can be part of the exercise. See any standard textbook in topology for the solution, which is not difficult).

Note that if  $C \subseteq T$  is connected then so is its closure  $\overline{C}$ . Indeed, if  $\overline{C} = C_1 \cup C_2$  where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are disjoint, non empty and open in  $\overline{C}$ , then  $C = (C_1 \cap C) \cup (C_2 \cap C)$  and again  $C_1 \cap C$  and  $C_2 \cap C$  are disjoint, non empty and open in C. Hence the connected components of T are closed. This fact is not needed for (2) but will be used in (3).

Now suppose for contradiction that T has infinitely many connected components. In particular, T is not connected. So  $T = T_1 \cup T_2$ , where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are open, non empty and disjoint. In particular  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are closed. Now either  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  is not connected. Indeed, from the definitions any connected components of T is contained in either  $T_1$  or  $T_2$ . If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are connected, then each component is equal to either  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  (by maximality) and so there would be only finitely many. So suppose that  $T_1$  is not connected. Repeating the same reasoning, we obtain a closed and open subset  $T_{11}$  in  $T_1$ , which is not equal to  $T_1$  and which is not connected (otherwise all the connected components would be one of  $T_2$ ,  $T_{11}$  or  $T_{12}$ ). Continuing in this way, we obtain a decreasing sequence

$$T \supseteq T_1 \supseteq T_{11} \supseteq T_{111} \supseteq \dots$$

of closed subsets, contradicting the noetherian condition.

(3) Recall that a regular function on a variety V defines a morphism  $f: V \to k$ . The image of f is a closed subset of k because V is complete. See Corollary 12.10 for this. Hence f(V) is either finite or it is k (see question 1.1). The second case cannot occur because by (1) the variety k would then be complete, which is not true. To see that k is not complete, let  $\pi_2: k^2 \to k$  be the second projection. Note that  $\pi_2(\mathbb{Z}(x_1x_2-1)) = k \setminus \{0\}$  and that  $k \setminus \{0\}$  is not closed in k. Hence k is not complete (see Definition 12.7).<sup>1</sup> Now if C is a connected component of V, then f(C) is connected and thus f(C) is a point. So f is constant on each connected component of V (use Lemma 12.8). On the other hand, we know by (2) that V only has finitely many connected components so the connected components of V are also open.

Hence we have an isomorphism of k-algebras

$$\mathcal{O}_V(V) \simeq \bigoplus_{C \text{ connected comp. of } V} \mathcal{O}_V(C) \simeq \bigoplus_{C \text{ connected comp. of } V} k$$

**Question 3.4.** Let V and W be quasi-projective varieties. Suppose that V is irreducible. Let Mor(V, W) be the set of morphisms from V to W and let  $\rho : Mor(V, W) \to Rat(V, W)$  be the natural map (ie  $\rho$  sends a morphism to the rational map it represents). Show that  $\rho$  is injective.

**Solution.** We have to show that if  $U \subseteq V$  is an open subvariety and  $f, g: V \to W$  are two morphisms such that  $f|_U = g|_U$ , then f = g. Now suppose that there is  $v_0 \in V \setminus U$  such that  $f(v_0) \neq g(v_0)$ . Let  $f \times g: V \to W \times W$  be the morphism of varieties such that  $(f \times g)(v) = (f(v), g(v))$  for all  $v \in V$ . Let  $\Delta_W \subseteq W \times W$  be the diagonal, which we know to be closed because W is separated (by Proposition 12.5). In particular, the set  $(f \times g)^{-1}(W \times W \setminus \Delta_W)$  is open and contains  $v_0$ . In particular, there is an open set  $O \subseteq V$  such that  $f(v) \neq g(v)$  for all  $v \in O$ . But O must meet U, since V is irreducible. This is a contradiction so f(v) = g(v) for all  $v \in V$ .

**Question 3.5.** (1) Show that for any  $m, n \ge 0, k^m \prod k^n \simeq k^{n+m}$ .

(2) Let  $V \subseteq k^m$  and  $W \subseteq k^n$  be algebraic sets. Show that  $V \times W \subseteq k^{n+m}$  is an algebraic set and describe  $\mathcal{I}(V \times W)$ . Show that the affine variety associated with the algebraic set  $V \times W \subseteq k^{n+m}$  is a product of the affines varieties associated with V and W.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>I am grateful to Dragos Crisan for providing this argument.

**Solution.** (1) We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 10.2. The projections from  $\pi_1 : k^{n+m} \to k^n$  and  $\pi_2 : k^{n+m} \to k^m$  are clearly morphisms since they are polynomial maps. Now let V be a variety and let  $a: V \to k^n$  and  $b: V \to k^m$  be morphisms. If there is a morphism of varieties  $a \prod b : V \to k^{n+m}$  such that  $\pi_1 \circ a \prod b = a$  and  $\pi_2 \circ a \prod b = b$  then  $a \prod b = a \times b$ , since as a set  $k^{n+m}$  is the Cartesian product of  $k^n$  and  $k^m$ . Hence we only have to show that  $a \times b$  is a morphism a varieties. Since a map between varieties is a morphism iff it is a morphism in a neighborhood of all points of the source, we may assume without restriction of generality that V is affine. So suppose that V is an algebraic set in  $k^t$  (say). By definition a (resp. b) is then the restriction to V of a polynomial map  $A: k^t \to k^n$  (resp.  $B: k^t \to k^m$ ). The map  $A \times B: k^t \to k^{n+m}$  is polynomial by definition and  $a \times b$  is the restriction to V of  $A \times B$ . Hence  $a \times b$  is a morphism.

(2) The ideal  $\mathcal{I}(V \times W)$  is described at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 10.8 and it is also shown there that  $V \times W$  is closed in  $k^{n+m}$  (because it is a vanishing set of an explicit ideal). It then follows from Corollary 10.6 that  $V \times W \subseteq k^{n+m}$  is a product of V and W.

**Question 3.6.** Let  $a: X \to Y$  be a rational map between two quasi-projective varieties. Suppose that Y is quasi-projective. Show that there is a unique representative  $f: O \subseteq X$  of a (where  $O \subseteq X$  is an open subvariety of X) such that if  $f: U \to Y$  is a representative of a then  $U \subseteq O$ . The open set O is called the open set of definition of a.

**Solution.** Let  $\{f_i : O_i \to Y\}$  be the set of all representatives of a. Let  $O := \bigcup_i O_i$ . Define the morphism  $f : O \to Y$  in the following way. Let  $o \in O$  and let  $f_i : O_i \to Y$  be a representative of a such that  $o \in O_i$ . Define  $f(o) := f_i(o)$ . To show that this definition makes sense, we have to show that  $f_i(o) = f_j(o)$  if  $f_j : O_j \to Y$  is any other representative of a such that  $o \in O_j$ . To see this, note that by definition we have  $f_i|_{O_i \cap O_j} = f_j|_{O_i \cap O_j}$  by (a small variant of) Question 3.4 so that  $f_i(o) = f_j(o)$ . To see that f is a morphism note that by construction  $f|_{O_i} = f_i$  is a morphism for all i. Since the  $O_i$  cover O, f is a morphism because a (ordinary) map between varieties is a morphism iff it is everywhere locally a morphism.

Question 3.7. Let  $n \ge 0$  and let  $q: k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be the map such that  $q(\bar{v}) = [\bar{v}]$  for all  $\bar{v} \in k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be a closed subset. Endow  $k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  with the structure of variety it inherits from  $k^{n+1}$  as an open subset.

- (1) Show that q is a morphism of varieties.
- (2) Show that  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is prime iff V is irreducible.
- (3) Show that  $q^{-1}(V)$  is irreducible iff V is irreducible.

**Solution.** (1) Let  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ . We then have

$$q^{-1}(U_i) = \{ \langle X_0, \dots, X_n \rangle \in k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \mid X_i \neq 0 \}$$

and so  $q^{-1}(U_i)$  is an open subset of  $k^{n+1}$ . The map  $q|_{q^{-1}(U_i)} : q^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i$  is given by the formula  $\langle X_0, \ldots, X_n \rangle \mapsto \langle X_0/X_i, \ldots, X_i/X_i, \ldots, X_n/X_i \rangle$  and so by Proposition 4.5,  $q|_{q^{-1}(U_i)} : q^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i$  is a morphism. Since the  $q^{-1}(U_i)$  cover  $k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ , we conclude that q is a morphism.

(2) We first show that the minimal prime ideals containing  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  are homogenous. So let  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i\in I}$  be the minimal prime ideals containing  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ . Write c := #I. We proceed as in question 2.4. So let  $t \in K \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $\rho_t : k[x_0, \ldots, x_n] \to k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$  be the map of k-algebras sending  $x_i$  to  $tx_i$ . Since  $\rho_{1/t} \circ \rho_t = \mathrm{Id}$ , the

map  $\rho_t$  is a bijection. Note that since  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is homogenous, we have  $\rho_t(\mathcal{I}(V)) = \mathcal{I}(V)$ . Now

$$\rho_t(\mathcal{I}(V) = \rho_t(\cap_i \mathfrak{p}_i) = \cap_i \rho_t(\mathfrak{p}_i)$$

and thus by unicity  $\rho_t$  permutes the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  (use Theorem 2.4). We conclude that  $\rho_{t^{c!}}(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \mathfrak{p}_i$  for all  $i \in I$  (since the permutation group on c elements has c! elements). We now reason as in question 2.4. Let  $P \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Let  $\delta := \deg(P)$ . Since k is infinite, we can find  $t_0, \ldots, t_{\delta} \in k$  such that the elements  $t_0^{c!}, \ldots, t_{\delta}^{c!} \in k$  are distinct. Then we have

$$\rho_{t_l^{c!}}(P) = \sum_{j \geqslant 0} t_l^{j \cdot c!} P_{[j]} \in \mathfrak{p}_i$$

for all  $l = 0, ..., \delta$ . This gives a linear system (a Vandermonde matrix) with a unique solution in the  $P_{[j]}$ and so we conclude that  $P_{[j]} \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a homogenous ideal.

So now suppose that  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is a prime ideal. Suppose for contradiction that V is not irreducible. By the discussion after Lemma 7.4, we see that there is a r > 1 and radical ideals  $I_1, \ldots, I_r$  such that  $\mathcal{I}(V) = \bigcap_i I_i$  and  $I_i \supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} I_j$  and  $I_i \subseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} I_j$  for all i. In particular, there are elements  $r_1 \in I_1$  and  $r_2 \in \bigcap_{j \neq 1} I_j$  such that  $r_1 \notin \bigcap_{j \neq 1} I_j$  and  $r_2 \notin I_1$ . In particular,  $r_1, r_2 \notin \mathcal{I}(V) = \bigcap_i I_i$ . However we have  $r_1 r_2 \in \mathcal{I}(V)$  so  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is not prime. This is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is not a prime ideal. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  be the minimal prime ideals of  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ . By assumption, we have r > 1 and by the above claim, the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are also homogenous. By Theorem 2.4, we know that  $\mathcal{I}(V) = \bigcap_i \mathfrak{p}_i$  and that for all i we have  $\mathfrak{p}_i \supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$ . Now note that neither  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  nor  $\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$  is the irrelevant ideal. Indeed, the irrelevant ideal contains all the non trivial homogenous ideals and if either  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  or  $\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$  were the irrelevant ideal then the previous two equalities would not be satisfied. Thus, applying  $Z(\cdot)$  to the same last two last equalities, we conclude that V is the union of two proper closed subsets which are not contained in each other. So V is reducible.

(3) Suppose that V is not empty (otherwise, there is nothing to prove). Then  $V = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{I}(V))$  by Proposition 7.3. In particular,  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is a homogenous ideal which contains no non zero constants. Also, by construction  $q^{-1}(V)$  is precisely the zero set of  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  in  $k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . On the other hand, the zero set of  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  in  $k^{n+1}$  is  $q^{-1}(V) \cup \{0\}$  since any non constant homogenous polynomial vanishes at 0. Since  $q^{-1}(V)$  is not empty, it contains the intersection of a line with  $k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ . By the reasoning in the second part of the proof of Proposition 11.2, the Zariski closure of this line contains 0. Hence the Zariski closure of  $q^{-1}(V)$  is  $q^{-1}(V) \cup \{0\}$ .

Now suppose that  $q^{-1}(V)$  is irreducible (in  $k^{n+1}\setminus\{0\}$ ). We conclude from question 2.5 and the last paragraph that  $q^{-1}(V) \cup \{0\}$  is closed and irreducible. The last paragraph also implies that the ideal of  $q^{-1}(V) \cup \{0\}$  in  $k^{n+1}$  is  $\mathcal{I}(V)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is prime (by Lemma 2.5). We conclude from (2) that V is irreducible.

Conversely, suppose that V is irreducible. Then  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is prime by (2). By the first paragraph,  $q^{-1}(V) \cup \{0\}$  is then closed and irreducible. Hence  $q^{-1}(V)$  is irreducible (in  $k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ ), since it is the intersection of an irreducible set and an open set.

## Part C

**Question 3.8.** (1) Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be an open subset (for the Zariski topology). Let  $f : U \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be a morphism of varieties. Show that there exists a morphism of varieties  $g : \mathbb{P}^1(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  such  $g|_U = f$ .

(2) Show that every automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is of the form described in question 2.8.

(3) Show that k is not isomorphic to any of its proper open subvarieties (an open subvariety is proper if it is not equal to k).

**Solution.** (1) First note the following. Let  $O \subseteq U$  be an open subset. Let  $a : O \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be a morphism. Then: if a extends to a morphism  $U \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ , then this extension is unique. This follows from question 3.4. We may thus without restriction of generality replace U by one of its open subsets.

Now since the coordinate charts  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  cover  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  we know that  $f^{-1}(U_i) \neq \emptyset$  for either i = 0 or i = 1. Supposing that  $f^{-1}(U_0) \neq \emptyset$ , we may thus replace U by  $f^{-1}(U_0)$  and thus suppose that  $f(U) \subseteq U_0$ . Further, replacing U by  $U \cap U_0$  we may also suppose that  $U \subseteq U_0$ . Finally, by Lemma 4.1, we may without restriction of generality suppose that  $u_0^{-1}(U)$  is an open affine subvariety with coordinate ring isomorphic to  $k[x_1][h^{-1}]$ , where  $h \in k[x_1]$ . Let now  $j: u_0^{-1}(U) \to k$  be the map such that  $u_0 \circ j = f \circ u_0$ .

By Theorem 3.7, the map j is induced by a map of k-algebras  $j^* : k[x_1] \to k[x_1][h^{-1}]$ . Let  $P(x_1)/h^l(x_1) =: j^*(x_1)$ , where  $l \ge 0$  and where we suppose without restriction of generality that P and h are coprime. If P = 0then j and therefore f is a constant map and then g can be defined on all of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  to be the constant map with the same value. So we may suppose that  $P \ne 0$ .

Now by construction, we have

$$j(X_1) = P(X_1)/h^l(X_1)$$

for all  $X_1 \in u_0^{-1}(U) \subseteq k$  (see Corollary 4.4 for more details about this). Let  $\delta := \max(\deg(P), l \cdot \deg(h))$ . Let  $A(x_0, x_1) := x_0^{\delta} P(x_1/x_0)$  and  $B(x_0, x_1) := x_0^{\delta} h^l(x_1/x_0)$ . Note that A and B are homogenous. Note also that either  $A(0, 1) \neq 0$  or  $B(0, 1) \neq 0$  because we have either  $\delta = \deg(P)$  or  $\delta = \deg(h^l)$ .

Now define a map  $\mathbb{P}^1(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  by the formula

$$[X_0, X_1] \mapsto [B(X_0, X_1), A(X_0, X_1)]$$

for all  $X_0, X_1 \in k$ , not both zero. Note that if  $X_0 = 0$  then  $\langle A(X_0, X_1), B(X_0, X_1) \rangle \neq 0$  since either  $A(0,1) \neq 0$  or  $B(0,1) \neq 0$ . If  $X_0 \neq 0$  then  $\langle A(X_0, X_1), B(X_0, X_1) \rangle \neq 0$  for all  $X_1$  because P and h are coprime. So this map is well-defined. If  $[X_0, X_1] \in U$  then by assumption we have  $X_0 \neq 0$  and  $h(X_1/X_0) \neq 0$  so that

$$\begin{split} & [B(X_0, X_1), A(X_0, X_1)] = [X_0^{\delta} h^l(X_1/X_0), X_0^{\delta} P(X_1/X_0)] = [h^l(X_1/X_0), P(X_1/X_0)] \\ & = \quad [1, P(X_1/X_0)/h^l(X_1/X_0)] = [1, j(X_1/X_0)] = u_0(j(X_1/X_0)) = f(u_0(X_1/X_0)) = f([1, X_1/X_0]) = f([X_0, X_1]) \\ \end{split}$$

so the map  $[X_0, X_1] \mapsto [B(X_0, X_1), A(X_0, X_1)]$  is a morphism  $\mathbb{P}^1(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  extending f.

(2) Let  $A : \mathbb{P}^1(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  be an automorphism. Write  $\infty := [0,1] \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . We saw in the solution of question 2.8 that any point of  $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$  can be moved to  $\infty$  (or any other point) by an automorphism of the required type (ie given by an invertible  $2 \times 2$ -matrix). Composing A with a suitable automorphism of the required type, we may thus suppose that  $A(\infty) = \infty$ . In that case, the restriction of A to  $U_0$  gives an automorphism  $U_0 \simeq U_0$  (since  $\mathbb{P}^1(k) = U_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ ). Now note that by Theorem 3.7, an automorphism of  $U_0 \simeq k$  corresponds to a k-algebra automorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{C}(U_0) \simeq k[x_1]$ . Note that for any polynomial  $P(x_1) \in k[x_1]$ , deg $(P) = \dim_k k[x_1]/(P(x_1))$ . Since  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism of k-algebras (and hence k-vector spaces)  $k[x_1]/(P(x_1)) \simeq k[x_1]/(\phi(P(x_1)))$  we thus have deg $(\phi(P(x_1))) = \deg(P(x_1))$ . So any automorphism of  $k[x_1]$  sends  $x_1$  to  $ax_1 + b$  for some  $a, b \in k$  with  $a \neq 0$ . We conclude that there are elements  $a, b \in k$  such that  $a \neq 0$  and such that

$$A([1, X_1]) = [1, aX_1 + b]$$

for all  $X_1 \in k$ . Thus, if  $X_0 \neq 0$  we have

$$A([X_0, X_1]) = A([1, X_1/X_0]) = [1, a(X_1/X_0) + b] = [X_0, aX_1 + bX_0].$$

Now consider the matrix

$$M := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ b & a \end{array}\right)$$

This matrix has determinant a and thus lies in  $GL_2(k)$ . By construction, the automorphism  $a_M$  defined by M restricts to A on  $U_0$  and hence by question 3.4 we have  $A = a_M$ .

(3) Suppose for contradiction that  $U \subsetneq k$  is a proper open subvariety and that  $f: k \to U$  is an isomorphism. Identify k with  $U_0$ , where  $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(k)$  is the well-known coordinate chart. By composition, f induces a morphism  $\phi: U_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . By (1),  $\phi$  extends to a morphism  $g: \mathbb{P}^1(k) \to \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ , such that  $g(U_0) = U$ . We know that  $g(\mathbb{P}^1(k))$  is closed by Corollary 12.10. Since  $g(\mathbb{P}^1(k))$  also contains a non-empty subset, we see that  $g(\mathbb{P}^1(k)) = \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ . In particular, we must have g([0, 1]) = [0, 1], otherwise g is not surjective. But then  $g(\mathbb{P}^1(k))$  does not contain  $U_0 \setminus U$  and so g is not surjective, which is a contradiction.

Alternatively, note that if  $U \neq k$ , then there are non constant invertible regular functions on U. Indeed let  $a \in k \setminus U$ . Then the function x - a never vanishes on U. On the other hand there are no non constant invertible functions on k. So k cannot be isomorphic to U.