

## C2.6 Introduction to Schemes Sheet 1

Hilary 2024

- (1) (A) Describe all the points of the spaces  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , and compute their residue fields.  
 What are the closed points?  
 What are the generic points?

**Solution.** Since  $\mathbb{R}[x], \mathbb{C}[x]$  are both UFDs of Krull dimension 1, the unique generic point in both cases is  $\eta = (0)$  and the closed points are in bijection with nonzero monic irreducibles.

Hence for  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ , the closed points are  $\{(x - a) : a \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , and for  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  they are

$$\{(x - a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(x - b)(x - \bar{b}) : b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}\}.$$

For  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  one has residue fields  $\kappa((x - a)) = \mathbb{C}[x]_{(x-a)}/(x - a) \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $\kappa(\eta) = \mathbb{C}(x)$ .

For  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$  one has residue fields  $\kappa((x - a)) = \mathbb{R}[x]_{(x-a)}/(x - a) \cong \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\kappa(\eta) \cong \mathbb{R}(x)$ , and for  $\mathfrak{p} = ((x - b)(x - \bar{b}))$  one has

$$\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathbb{R}[x]_{\mathfrak{p}}/((x - b)(x - \bar{b})) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

- (2) (A) Prove that for rings  $R_1, R_2$ ,

$$\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2) \cong \text{Spec } R_1 \sqcup \text{Spec } R_2.$$

**Solution.** Consider the elements  $e_1 = (1_{R_1}, 0)$  and  $e_2 = (0, 1_{R_2}) \in R_1 \times R_2$ . Since  $e_1 + e_2 = 1_{R_1 \times R_2}$  and  $e_1 e_2 = 0$  one has  $\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2) \cong Z(e_2) \sqcup Z(e_1)$ . Now one has an isomorphism

$$R_1 \cong (R_1 \times R_2)/e_2,$$

and there is a canonical continuous bijection

$$\text{Spec}((R_1 \times R_2)/e_1) \cong \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2) : \mathfrak{p} \ni e_2\} = Z(e_2).$$

Hence  $\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2) \cong \text{Spec } R_1 \sqcup \text{Spec } R_2$ .

- (3) (B) (a) Prove the following Proposition from the lectures:

**Proposition.** 1)  $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$  prime  $\implies \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = Z(\mathfrak{p})$ , and  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  is the only generic point of  $Z(\mathfrak{p})$ .

2) A closed subset  $Z \subset \text{Spec } R$  is irreducible<sup>1</sup> if and only if  $Z = Z(\mathfrak{p})$  for some  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

3)  $\text{Spec } R$  is irreducible if and only if the nilradical  $\text{Nil } R := \sqrt{(0)}$  is prime.

- (b) Hence, if  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is irreducible. Is the converse true?

---

<sup>1</sup>Not a union of two closed proper subsets.

**Solution** (a). 1) It is clear that  $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{p})$ . Conversely if  $\mathfrak{p} \in Z(I)$  then  $Z(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq Z(I)$ . So  $Z(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$ . For the last part, if  $Z(\mathfrak{p}) = Z(\mathfrak{q})$  then  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ , since  $Z(I) \subseteq Z(J)$  if and only if  $\sqrt{J} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

2) For the “if” part, note that  $Z(\mathfrak{p}) = \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$  is irreducible because  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  is. For the “only if” part, let  $Z(I) \subset \text{Spec } R$  be a proper closed subset. One has  $f, g \notin \sqrt{I}$  if and only if  $D_f \cap Z(I), D_g \cap Z(I) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence by the irreducibility  $D_{fg} \cap Z(I) \neq \emptyset$  and so  $fg \notin \sqrt{I}$ . So  $\sqrt{I}$  is prime and hence, using the formula  $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I} \mathfrak{p}$ , we deduce that  $I$  is prime and  $Z(I)$  is irreducible.

3) This follows from 2) since  $\text{Spec } R = Z(\text{Nil } R)$ .

(b) This is not true, e.g., consider  $R = k[x]/(x^2)$  - one has  $\text{Spec } R = \text{pt}$  is irreducible, but  $R$  is not a domain.

(4) (B) (a) Prove the following Proposition from the lectures:

**Proposition.** *There is a contravariant functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec} : \text{Ring}^{op} &\rightarrow \text{Top} \\ R &\mapsto \text{Spec } R \\ (\varphi : R \rightarrow S) &\mapsto \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \varphi^* : \text{Spec } S & \rightarrow & \text{Spec } R \\ & \mathfrak{p} & \mapsto \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, show that  $\varphi^*$  is a continuous map of topological spaces. (Hint: show that the preimage of a distinguished open set is a distinguished open set).

(b) Is the image of a closed set under  $\varphi^*$  always closed? If not, what can we say about its closure?

**Solution.** (a) If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } S$  is prime, then  $\varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  is also prime: one has  $ab \in \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \iff \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in \mathfrak{p} \iff \varphi(a) \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $\varphi(b) \in \mathfrak{p} \iff a \in \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \in \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ . Moreover one has  $(\varphi\psi)^* = \psi^*\varphi^*$  since  $\psi^{-1}\varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = (\varphi\psi)^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ . Clearly  $\text{id}^* = \text{id}$ . Hence, the only thing to show is continuity. Setting  $\Phi := \varphi^*$ , one has, for  $f \in \text{Spec } R$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}(Z(f)) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } S : \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \in Z(f)\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } S : f \in \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{p}\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } S : \varphi(f) \in \mathfrak{p}\} = Z(\varphi(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Phi^{-1}(D_f) = D_{\varphi(f)}$ . Since the basic opens form a basis for the topology, the result follows.

(b) The image of a closed subset need not be closed. For instance one can consider the inclusion of the generic point  $\eta : \text{Spec } k(x) \rightarrow \text{Spec } k[x]$ .

We claim that for any closed subset  $Z(I) \subseteq \text{Spec } S$ , one has

$$\overline{\Phi(Z(I))} = Z(\varphi^{-1}(I)).$$

Indeed, for any  $f \in S$  one has  $\Phi(V(I)) \subseteq Z(f) \iff V(I) \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(Z(f)) = Z(\varphi(f))$ , by the previous part; which holds if and only if  $\sqrt{(\varphi(f))} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . In turn, this holds if and only if there exists  $n$  such that  $\varphi(f)^n = \varphi(f^n) \in I \iff f^n \in \varphi^{-1}(I) \iff Z(\varphi^{-1}(I)) \subseteq Z(f^n) = Z(f)$ . Since subsets of the form  $Z(f)$  are a basis for the closed subsets, one has  $\overline{\Phi(Z(I))} = Z(\varphi^{-1}(I))$ .

(5) (B) Prove the following Proposition from the lectures:

**Proposition.** Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism, with  $\Phi := \varphi^* : \text{Spec } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ .

1) If  $\varphi$  is surjective, then

$$\Phi : \text{Spec } S \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(\text{Ker } \varphi) \subseteq \text{Spec } R.$$

where the first arrow is a homeomorphism.

2) If  $\varphi$  is injective, then  $\Phi(\text{Spec } S) \subseteq \text{Spec } R$  is dense.

Moreover,  $\text{Im } \Phi$  is dense if and only if  $\text{Ker } \varphi \subseteq \text{Nil } R$ .

**Solution.** 1) If  $\varphi$  is surjective then  $S \cong R/\text{Ker } \varphi$ . The canonical bijection between sets of ideals

$$\{\bar{J} \subset R/\text{Ker } \varphi\} \leftrightarrow \{J \subset R : J \supset \text{Ker } \varphi\},$$

respects inclusions and sends prime ideals to prime ideals. In particular, it induces a homeomorphism  $\text{Spec}(R/\text{Ker } \varphi) \cong Z(\text{Ker } \varphi)$ .

2) Note that  $\text{Spec } S = Z(\{0\})$ . Hence, using the previous question, one has

$$\overline{\Phi(\text{Spec } S)} = Z(\varphi^{-1}(0)) = Z(\{0\}) = \text{Spec } R,$$

since  $\varphi$  is injective.

For the second part we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi(\text{Spec } S)} = \text{Spec } R &\iff \overline{\Phi(Z(\{0\}))} = \text{Spec } R \\ &\iff Z(\text{Ker } \varphi) = Z(\{0\}) \\ &\iff \text{Nil}(R) = \sqrt{\text{Ker } \varphi} \\ &\iff \text{Nil}(R) \supseteq \text{Ker } \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

since the inclusion  $\text{Nil}(R) \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ker } \varphi}$  always holds, and  $\text{Nil } R$  is radical.

(6) (B) Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism in  $\text{Ab}(X)$ , the category of sheaves of abelian groups on  $X$ .

1) Prove that

$$(\text{Ker } \varphi)_x \cong \text{Ker}(\varphi_x) \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{Im } \varphi)_x \cong \text{Im}(\varphi_x),$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

2) Prove that  $\varphi$  is injective (resp. surjective), if and only if  $\varphi_x$  is injective (resp. surjective) for all  $x \in X$ .

3) Deduce the following Corollary:

**Corollary.** A sequence  $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{H}$  in  $\text{Ab}(X)$  is exact<sup>2</sup> if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x$  is exact for all  $x \in X$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>i.e.,  $\text{Im } \varphi = \text{Ker } \psi$ .

**Solution.** Before starting, we prove a useful Lemma:

**Lemma.** *If  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  are subsheaves, then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$  iff  $\mathcal{F}_x = \mathcal{G}_x$ , for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* By considering the sheaf  $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  we reduce to the case when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $U \subseteq X$  be an open subset. All we need to show is that the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(U)$ , is surjective.

Let  $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  and  $x \in U$ . Then (by assumption) there exists  $s_x \in \mathcal{F}_x$  with  $s_x = t_x$ . Say that  $s_x$  is represented by  $s^x$  on a neighbourhood  $V_x$  of  $x$ . Then  $s^x$  and  $t|_{V_x}$  are two elements of  $V_x$  whose germs at  $x$  are the same. Thus replacing  $V_x$  by a smaller neighbourhood of  $x$ . (if necessary), we may assume that  $s^x = t|_{V_x}$  in  $\mathcal{G}(V_x)$ . Now  $U$  is covered by the open sets  $V_x$ , and on each  $V_x$  we have a section  $s^x \in \mathcal{F}(V_x)$ . If  $x, y$  are two points, then  $s^x|_{V_x \cap V_y} = t|_{V_x \cap V_y} = s^y|_{V_x \cap V_y}$ , so by the sheaf property, there exists  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $s|_{V_x} = s^x$ , for each  $x$ . Finally,  $s = t$ , since their restrictions to the  $V_x$  agree, by the sheaf property again.  $\square$

1) It is obvious that  $(\ker \varphi)_x = \varinjlim_{U \ni x} \ker \varphi_U \subseteq \ker(\varphi_x)$ . We now show that  $(\ker \varphi)_x \supseteq \ker(\varphi_x)$ . Let  $s_x \in \ker(\varphi_x)$ . Then there exists an open  $U \ni x$  and a section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $s|_x = s_x$  and  $\varphi_U(s)|_x = 0$ . Then there exists an open  $V \ni x$ ,  $U \subseteq V$ , with  $\varphi_U(s)|_V = 0$ . So  $\varphi_V(s|_V) = 0$ , (as  $\varphi$  is a morphism of sheaves), so  $s|_V \in \ker \varphi_V = (\ker \varphi)(V)$ .

It is obvious that  $(\text{im } \varphi)_x \subseteq \text{im}(\varphi_x)$ . The reason for this, is that the sheafification functor preserves stalks, and so  $(\text{im } \varphi)_x = \varinjlim_{U \ni x} \varphi(U)$ , which is clearly contained in  $\text{im}(\varphi_x)$ . For the converse, let  $\varphi_x(s_x) \in \text{im}(\varphi_x)$ . Then there exists an open  $U \ni x$  and  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $s|_x = s_x$ . Then  $\varphi_U(s)|_x = \varphi_x(s_x)$ , so  $\varphi_x(s_x) \in (\text{im } \varphi)_x$ .

2) Using the Lemma,  $\varphi$  is injective iff  $\ker \varphi = 0$  iff  $(\ker \varphi)_x = \ker(\varphi_x) = 0$  (for all  $x$ ).  $\varphi$  is surjective iff  $\text{im } \varphi = \mathcal{G}$ , which is true iff  $(\text{im } \varphi)_x = \mathcal{G}_x$  for all  $x$ , (by the Lemma), i.e.,  $\text{im}(\varphi_x) = \mathcal{G}_x$  for all  $x$ , by 1).

3) The sequence is exact iff  $\text{im } \varphi = \ker \psi$ . Since these are both subsheaves of  $\mathcal{G}$ , by the Lemma, this holds if and only if  $(\text{im } \varphi)_x = (\ker \psi)_x$ , for all  $x \in X$ , i.e., if and only if  $\text{im}(\varphi_x) = \ker(\psi_x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ , i.e. if and only if the sequence is exact on stalks.

(7) (C) Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf of sets on  $X$ . For each open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , we define

$$\mathcal{F}^+(U) := \left\{ s = (s_x)_x \in \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x : \text{“locally } s \text{ is a section of } \mathcal{F} \text{”} \right\},$$

where “locally  $s$  is a section of  $\mathcal{F}$ ” means that, for all  $x \in U$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $x \in V \subseteq U$ , and a section  $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , such that for all  $y \in V$  we have  $s_y = t_y$  in  $\mathcal{F}_y$ .

- 1) Briefly explain why  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is equipped with natural restriction morphisms making it into a presheaf, and why there is a canonical morphism of presheaves  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$ .
- 2) Prove that  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is a sheaf on  $X$  and that  $\mathcal{F}_x = \mathcal{F}_x^+$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(This in fact defines a functor  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^+$ , called *sheafification*, which is left adjoint to the inclusion of the full subcategory  $\text{Sh}(X) \subseteq \text{PSh}(X)$ ).

**Solution.** 1) I have just copied this from [Sta18, Tag 007X]. Note that the condition “locally  $s$  is a section of  $\mathcal{F}$ ” is a condition for each  $x \in U$ , and that given  $x \in U$  the truth value of this condition only depends on the values  $s_y$  for  $y$  in any open neighbourhood of  $x$ . Thus, it is clear that, if  $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$  are open, the projection maps  $\prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \prod_{y \in V} \mathcal{F}_y$ , map elements of  $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$  into elements of  $\mathcal{F}^+(V)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is a presheaf. The morphism  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x$ , sending a section to the collection of its stalks, clearly has image in  $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$ , and if  $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$  are opens then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^+(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^+(V) \end{array}$$

commutes, and so we obtain a morphism of presheaves  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$ .

2) This is obvious from the definitions! If you need convincing read [Sta18, Tag 007Z], or read something about the espace étalé of a presheaf.

## References

- [Sta18] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.