## Infinite Groups

Cornelia Druțu

University of Oxford

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

 $\frac{1}{11}$ 

## Nilpotent Groups

We generalize  $[C^{i}G, G] = C^{i+1}G$  to: the lower central series is graded, that is

Proposition

For every  $i, j \ge 1$ 

 $\left[C^{i}G,C^{j}G\right]\leqslant C^{i+j}G.$ 

(1)

2 /

First, recall that  $[a, b]^{-1} = [b, a]$ , whence [A, B] = [B, A].

#### Lemma

If A, B, C normal subgroups in G, then  $[A, B, C] \lhd G$  and it is generated by [a, b, c] with  $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ .

**Proof.**  $[A, B, C] \lhd G$  follows from  $[x, y]^g = [x^g, y^g]$ .

[A, B, C] generated by [k, c],  $c \in C$ , k product of n commutators [a, b] or inverses.

We prove, by induction on n, that [k, c] is a product of finitely many [a, b, c] and inverses.

n = 1: consider the case  $[t^{-1}, c]$ , where t = [a, b].

$$[t^{-1}, c] = [c, t]^{t^{-1}} = [c^{t^{-1}}, t] = [c', t] = [t, c']^{-1} = [a, b, c']^{-1}.$$

# Generation of [A, B, C] 2

Assume the statement is true for *n*, let  $k = k_1 t$ , where *t* is [a, b] or  $[a, b]^{-1} = [b, a]$ , and  $k_1$  product of *n* commutators.

$$[k_1t,c] = [t,c]^{k_1}[k_1,c].$$

Both  $[t, c]^{k_1}$  and  $[k_1, c]$  are products of commutators [a, b, c] and inverses, by the induction assumption and the fact that A, B, C are normal subgps.

### Exercise

Prove the same result for  $[H_1, \ldots, H_n]$ , where all  $H_i$  are normal subgroups of G.

## Second Key Lemma

### Lemma

Assume that A, B, C are normal subgroups in G. Then

$$[A, B, C] \leq [B, C, A][C, A, B].$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Proof Uses the previous Lemma and the Hall identity:

$$\left[x^{-1}, y, z\right]^{x} \left[z^{-1}, x, y\right]^{z} \left[y^{-1}, z, x\right]^{y} = 1.$$
(3)

The latter identity implies

$$[a,b,c]^{a^{-1}} \leqslant [B,C,A][C,A,B].$$

11 '

### Proof of Proposition

We prove by induction on  $i \ge 1$  that for every  $j \ge 1$ ,

 $\left[C^{i}G, C^{j}G\right] \leqslant C^{i+j}G.$ (4)

For i = 1: definition of  $C^{j+1}G$ .

Assume true for i and prove for i + 1.

Consider  $j \ge 1$  arbitrary.

 $[C^{i+1}G, C^{j}G] = [C^{i}G, G, C^{j}G] \leq [G, C^{j}G, C^{i}G][C^{j}G, C^{i}G, G] \leq$ 

 $[C^{j+1}G, C^{i}G][C^{j+i}G, G] = [C^{i}G, C^{j+1}G]C^{j+i+1}G \leqslant C^{j+i+1}G,$ 

since  $[C^{i}G, C^{j+1}G] \leq C^{j+i+1}G$  by the inductive assumption.

### Back to a more global picture

We study the following classes of groups:

Nilpotent finitely generated  $\subset$  Polycyclic  $\subset$  Solvable finitely generated

### Definition

Given a class  $\mathcal{X}$  of groups, a group G is said to be poly- $\mathcal{X}$  if it admits a subnormal descending series:

$$G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright \ldots \triangleright G_k \triangleright G_{k+1} = \{1\},\$$

such that each  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Polycyclic if  $\mathcal{X} =$  all cyclic groups.

Poly- $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}$  if  $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbb{Z}\}.$ 

Solvable if  $\mathcal{X} =$  all abelian groups.

### Differences and similarities

Nilpotent finitely generated groups= the only groups with polynomial growth.

Polycyclic (hence also nilpotent f.g.) groups = finitely presented, linear (therefore residually finite) while solvable groups are not necessarily finitely presented, linear or residually finite.

A different behaviour of the torsion:

$$\operatorname{Tor} G = \{ g \in G \mid \exists n \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } g^n = 1 \}.$$

When G nilpotent, Tor G is a characteristic subgroup of G.

When G nilpotent and moreover f.g., Tor G is a finite characteristic subgroup of G.

When G polycyclic, Tor G not necessarily a subgroup of G, nor a finite subset of G. Examples in Ex. Sheet 2.

## Torsion for nilpotent groups

### Theorem

When G is nilpotent (not necessarily finitely generated), TorG is a characteristic subgroup.

Proof by induction on the nilpotency class.

A key result for this induction:

#### Lemma

Let G be nilpotent of class k. For every  $x \in G$  the subgroup H generated by x and  $C^2G$  is a normal subgroup, nilpotent of class  $\leq k - 1$ .

### Proof that $H = \langle x, C^2 G \rangle$ of class $\leq k - 1$ .

Since  $C^2G \triangleleft G$ ,

$$H = \{x^m c \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, c \in C^2 G\}.$$

*H* normal:  $\forall g \in G$ , and  $h \in H$ ,  $h = x^m c$ ,  $ghg^{-1} = x^m[x^{-m}, g]gcg^{-1}$ . The last two factors are in  $C^2G \Rightarrow$  the product is in *H*. We prove  $C^2H \leq C^3G$  (implying *H* is of class  $\leq k - 1$ ). Let  $h = x^m c_1$ ,  $h' = x^n c_2$  with  $c_i \in C^2G$ .

$$[h, h'] = [h, x^n c_2] = [h, x^n] [x^n, [h, c_2]] [h, c_2].$$

The last term is in  $C^3G$ , hence the middle term is in  $C^4G$ . The first term can be rewritten as

$$[h, x^n] = [x^m c_1, x^n] = [x^m, [c_1, x^n]][c_1, x^n].$$

The last term is in  $C^3G$  and the first in  $C^4G$ .

 $\frac{10}{11}$ 

## Torsion for nilpotent groups

### Theorem

When G is nilpotent (not necessarily finitely generated), TorG is a characteristic subgroup.

Proof by induction on the nilpotency class, using:

#### Lemma

Let G be nilpotent of class k. For every  $x \in G$ , the subgroup H generated by x and  $C^2G$  is a normal subgroup, nilpotent of class  $\leq k - 1$ .

For k = 1, G is abelian, statement immediate.