Infinite Groups

Cornelia Druțu

University of Oxford

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

11 13

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

A polycyclic group has the bounded generation property.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

A polycyclic group has the bounded generation property.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

A polycyclic group has the bounded generation property. More precisely, let G be a group with a cyclic series of length n and let t_i be such that $t_i N_{i+1}$ is a generator of N_i/N_{i+1} . Then every $g \in G$ can be written as $g = t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_n^{k_n}$, with k_1, \ldots, k_n in \mathbb{Z} .

Proposition

A polycyclic group has the bounded generation property. More precisely, let G be a group with a cyclic series of length n and let t_i be such that $t_i N_{i+1}$ is a generator of N_i/N_{i+1} . Then every $g \in G$ can be written as $g = t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_n^{k_n}$, with k_1, \ldots, k_n in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof by induction on the length of the series.

Proposition

A polycyclic group has the bounded generation property. More precisely, let G be a group with a cyclic series of length n and let t_i be such that $t_i N_{i+1}$ is a generator of N_i/N_{i+1} . Then every $g \in G$ can be written as $g = t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_n^{k_n}$, with k_1, \ldots, k_n in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof by induction on the length of the series.

Corollary

A polycyclic torsion group is finite.

Remark

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Remark

It is not true that, for G polycyclic, Tor(G) is either a subgroup or a finite set.

Remark

It is not true that, for G polycyclic, Tor(G) is either a subgroup or a finite set.

Remark

It is not true that, for G polycyclic, Tor(G) is either a subgroup or a finite set. Example: D_∞.

Remark

- It is not true that, for G polycyclic, Tor(G) is either a subgroup or a finite set. Example: D_{∞} .
- Output: Provide the second state of the sec

Remark

- It is not true that, for G polycyclic, Tor(G) is either a subgroup or a finite set. Example: D_{∞} .
- Output: Provide the second state of the sec

Remark

- It is not true that, for G polycyclic, Tor(G) is either a subgroup or a finite set. Example: D_{∞} .
- e However, every polycyclic group is virtually torsion-free (proof to follow).

Definition

A group is said to have property * virtually if some finite-index subgroup of it has the property *.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

4/ 13

Proposition

Any subgroup H of a polycyclic group G is polycyclic (hence, finitely generated).

Proposition

Any subgroup H of a polycyclic group G is polycyclic (hence, finitely generated).

Proposition

- Any subgroup H of a polycyclic group G is polycyclic (hence, finitely generated).
- **2** If $N \triangleleft G$, then G/N is polycyclic.

Proposition

- Any subgroup H of a polycyclic group G is polycyclic (hence, finitely generated).
- **2** If $N \triangleleft G$, then G/N is polycyclic.
- § If $N \lhd G$ and both N and G/N are polycyclic then G is polycyclic.

Proposition

- Any subgroup H of a polycyclic group G is polycyclic (hence, finitely generated).
- **2** If $N \triangleleft G$, then G/N is polycyclic.
- **③** If $N \lhd G$ and both N and G/N are polycyclic then G is polycyclic.
- Properties (1) and (3) hold with 'polycyclic' replaced by 'poly-C_∞', but not (2): Z_k is a quotient of Z.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

2 13

Proof. (1) and (2): See Section A in Ex. Sheet 3.(3). Consider the cyclic series

 $G/N = Q_0 \geqslant Q_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant Q_n = \{\overline{1}\}$

Proof. (1) and (2): See Section A in Ex. Sheet 3.(3). Consider the cyclic series

$$G/N = Q_0 \geqslant Q_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant Q_n = \{\overline{1}\}$$

and

$$N = N_0 \ge N_1 \ge \cdots \ge N_k = \{1\}.$$

5

Proof. (1) and (2): See Section A in Ex. Sheet 3.(3). Consider the cyclic series

$$G/N = Q_0 \geqslant Q_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant Q_n = \{\overline{1}\}$$

and

$$N = N_0 \ge N_1 \ge \cdots \ge N_k = \{1\}.$$

Given $\pi: G \to G/N$

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

² 5/ 13

Proof. (1) and (2): See Section A in Ex. Sheet 3.(3). Consider the cyclic series

$$G/N = Q_0 \geqslant Q_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant Q_n = \{\overline{1}\}$$

and

$$N = N_0 \ge N_1 \ge \cdots \ge N_k = \{1\}.$$

Given $\pi: G \to G/N$ and $H_i := \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$,

Proof. (1) and (2): See Section A in Ex. Sheet 3.(3). Consider the cyclic series

$$G/N = Q_0 \geqslant Q_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant Q_n = \{\overline{1}\}$$

and

$$N=N_0 \geqslant N_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant N_k=\{1\}.$$

Given $\pi: G \to G/N$ and $H_i := \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$, a cyclic series for G is:

$$G \ge H_1 \ge \ldots \ge H_n = N = N_0 \ge N_1 \ge \ldots \ge N_k = \{1\}.$$

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof Consider the lower central series, with terms $C^k G$.



Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof Consider the lower central series, with terms $C^k G$.

• For every $k \ge 1$, $C^k G / C^{k+1} G$ is finitely generated abelian,

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof Consider the lower central series, with terms $C^k G$.

• For every $k \ge 1$, $C^k G / C^{k+1} G$ is finitely generated abelian,

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof Consider the lower central series, with terms $C^k G$.

 For every k ≥ 1, C^kG/C^{k+1}G is finitely generated abelian, hence there exists a finite subnormal descending series

$$C^k G = A_0 \geqslant A_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant A_n \geqslant A_{n+1} = C^{k+1} G$$

such that every quotient A_i/A_{i+1} is cyclic.

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof Consider the lower central series, with terms $C^k G$.

 For every k ≥ 1, C^kG/C^{k+1}G is finitely generated abelian, hence there exists a finite subnormal descending series

$$C^k G = A_0 \geqslant A_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant A_n \geqslant A_{n+1} = C^{k+1} G$$

such that every quotient A_i/A_{i+1} is cyclic.

• By inserting all these finite descending series into the lower central series, we obtain a finite subnormal cyclic series for *G*.
Two key examples of polycyclic groups

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof Consider the lower central series, with terms $C^k G$.

 For every k ≥ 1, C^kG/C^{k+1}G is finitely generated abelian, hence there exists a finite subnormal descending series

$$C^k G = A_0 \geqslant A_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant A_n \geqslant A_{n+1} = C^{k+1} G$$

such that every quotient A_i/A_{i+1} is cyclic.

• By inserting all these finite descending series into the lower central series, we obtain a finite subnormal cyclic series for *G*.

Two key examples of polycyclic groups

Proposition

Every finitely generated nilpotent group is polycyclic.

Proof Consider the lower central series, with terms $C^k G$.

 For every k ≥ 1, C^kG/C^{k+1}G is finitely generated abelian, hence there exists a finite subnormal descending series

$$C^k G = A_0 \geqslant A_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant A_n \geqslant A_{n+1} = C^{k+1} G$$

such that every quotient A_i/A_{i+1} is cyclic.

• By inserting all these finite descending series into the lower central series, we obtain a finite subnormal cyclic series for *G*.

Proposition

Given any homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^m)$, the semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}^m \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}^n$ is poly- C_{∞} .

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Finite presentation is proved using a general property:

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Finite presentation is proved using a general property:

Proposition

Let $N \lhd G$. If both N and G/N are finitely presented then G is finitely presented.

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Finite presentation is proved using a general property:

Proposition

Let $N \lhd G$. If both N and G/N are finitely presented then G is finitely presented.

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Finite presentation is proved using a general property:

Proposition

Let $N \lhd G$. If both N and G/N are finitely presented then G is finitely presented.

Proof. Let $N = \langle X | r_1, ..., r_k \rangle$, and $G/N = \langle \overline{Y} | \rho_1, ..., \rho_m \rangle$ be finite presentations, where Y is a finite subset of G s. t. $\overline{Y} = \{yN | y \in Y\}$.

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Finite presentation is proved using a general property:

Proposition

Let $N \lhd G$. If both N and G/N are finitely presented then G is finitely presented.

Proof. Let $N = \langle X | r_1, ..., r_k \rangle$, and $G/N = \langle \overline{Y} | \rho_1, ..., \rho_m \rangle$ be finite presentations, where Y is a finite subset of G s. t. $\overline{Y} = \{yN | y \in Y\}$. G is generated by $S = X \cup Y$.

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Finite presentation is proved using a general property:

Proposition

Let $N \lhd G$. If both N and G/N are finitely presented then G is finitely presented.

Proof. Let $N = \langle X | r_1, ..., r_k \rangle$, and $G/N = \langle \overline{Y} | \rho_1, ..., \rho_m \rangle$ be finite presentations, where Y is a finite subset of G s. t. $\overline{Y} = \{yN | y \in Y\}$. G is generated by $S = X \cup Y$. S satisfies the following relations:

$$r_i(X) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq k, \rho_j(Y) = u_j(X), 1 \leq j \leq m,$$
(1)

$$x^{y} = v_{xy}(X), \ x^{y^{-1}} = w_{xy}(X).$$
 (2)

Proposition

Polycyclic groups are finitely presented and residually finite.

Finite presentation is proved using a general property:

Proposition

Let $N \triangleleft G$. If both N and G/N are finitely presented then G is finitely presented.

Proof. Let $N = \langle X \mid r_1, \ldots, r_k \rangle$, and $G/N = \langle \overline{Y} \mid \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m \rangle$ be finite presentations, where Y is a finite subset of G s. t. $\overline{Y} = \{yN \mid y \in Y\}$. G is generated by $S = X \cup Y$. S satisfies the following relations:

$$r_i(X) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq k, \rho_j(Y) = u_j(X), 1 \leq j \leq m,$$
(1)

$$x^{y} = v_{xy}(X), x^{y^{-1}} = w_{xy}(X).$$
 (2)

We denote the above finite set of relations by T.

Cornelia Drutu (University of Oxford)

Infinite Groups

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

We claim that $G = \langle S \mid T \rangle$.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S).

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$.

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism.

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker (φ) , w word in S.

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker (φ) , w word in S. Relations (2) imply that there exist a word $w_1(X)$ in X and a word $w_2(Y)$ in Y, such that $wK = w_1(X)w_2(Y)K$.

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker (φ) , w word in S. Relations (2) imply that there exist a word $w_1(X)$ in X and a word $w_2(Y)$ in Y, such that $wK = w_1(X)w_2(Y)K$. Applying the projection $\pi : G \to G/N$, we see that $\pi(\varphi(wK)) = 1$, i.e. $\pi(\varphi(w_2(Y)K)) = 1$.

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker (φ) , w word in S. Relations (2) imply that there exist a word $w_1(X)$ in X and a word $w_2(Y)$ in Y, such that $wK = w_1(X)w_2(Y)K$. Applying the projection $\pi : G \to G/N$, we see that $\pi(\varphi(wK)) = 1$, i.e. $\pi(\varphi(w_2(Y)K)) = 1$. Therefore $w_2(Y)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $\rho_i(Y)$, hence

 $w_2(Y)K$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $u_j(X)K$, by (1).

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S: F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi: F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker(φ), w word in S. Relations (2) imply that there exist a word $w_1(X)$ in X and a word $w_2(Y)$ in Y, such that $wK = w_1(X)w_2(Y)K$. Applying the projection $\pi: G \to G/N$, we see that $\pi(\varphi(wK)) = 1$, i.e. $\pi(\varphi(w_2(Y)K)) = 1.$ Therefore $w_2(Y)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $\rho_i(Y)$, hence $w_2(Y)K$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $u_i(X)K$, by (1). This and the relations (2) imply that $w_1(X)w_2(Y)K = v(X)K$ for some word v(X) in X.

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S: F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi: F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker(φ), w word in S. Relations (2) imply that there exist a word $w_1(X)$ in X and a word $w_2(Y)$ in Y, such that $wK = w_1(X)w_2(Y)K$. Applying the projection $\pi: G \to G/N$, we see that $\pi(\varphi(wK)) = 1$, i.e. $\pi(\varphi(w_2(Y)K)) = 1.$ Therefore $w_2(Y)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $\rho_i(Y)$, hence $w_2(Y)K$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $u_i(X)K$, by (1).

This and the relations (2) imply that $w_1(X)w_2(Y)K = v(X)K$ for some word v(X) in X.

Then the image $\varphi(wK) = \varphi(v(X)K)$ is in N;

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker (φ) , w word in S. Relations (2) imply that there exist a word $w_1(X)$ in X and a word $w_2(Y)$ in Y, such that $wK = w_1(X)w_2(Y)K$. Applying the projection $\pi : G \to G/N$, we see that $\pi(\varphi(wK)) = 1$, i.e. $\pi(\varphi(w_2(Y)K)) = 1$. Therefore $w_2(Y)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $\rho_i(Y)$, hence

 $w_2(Y)K$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $u_j(X)K$, by (1). This and the relations (2) imply that $w_1(X)w_2(Y)K = v(X)K$ for some word v(X) in X.

Then the image $\varphi(wK) = \varphi(v(X)K)$ is in *N*; therefore, $\varphi(v(X)K) = 1 \Leftrightarrow v(X)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $r_i(X)$.

We claim that $G = \langle S | T \rangle$. Let $K = \langle \langle T \rangle \rangle$ in F(S). The epimorphism $\pi_S : F(S) \to G$ defines an epimorphism $\varphi : F(S)/K \to G$. Goal: to prove φ is an isomorphism. Let wK be an element in ker (φ) , w word in S. Relations (2) imply that there exist a word $w_1(X)$ in X and a word $w_2(Y)$ in Y, such that $wK = w_1(X)w_2(Y)K$. Applying the projection $\pi : G \to G/N$, we see that $\pi(\varphi(wK)) = 1$, i.e. $\pi(\varphi(w_2(Y)K)) = 1$. Therefore $w_2(Y)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $\varphi(Y)$ hence

Therefore $w_2(Y)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $\rho_i(Y)$, hence $w_2(Y)K$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $u_j(X)K$, by (1). This and the relations (2) imply that $w_1(X)w_2(Y)K = v(X)K$ for some word v(X) in X.

Then the image $\varphi(wK) = \varphi(v(X)K)$ is in N; therefore, $\varphi(v(X)K) = 1 \Leftrightarrow v(X)$ is a product of finitely many conjugates of $r_i(X)$. This implies that v(X)K = K.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Remark

G finitely presented does not imply $H \leq G$ finitely presented or G/N finitely presented, for $N \triangleleft G$.

Remark

G finitely presented does not imply $H \leq G$ finitely presented or G/N finitely presented, for $N \triangleleft G$.

Remark

G finitely presented does not imply $H \leq G$ finitely presented or G/N finitely presented, for $N \triangleleft G$.



Remark

G finitely presented does not imply $H \leq G$ finitely presented or G/N finitely presented, for $N \triangleleft G$.



Theorem

Every finitely generated recursively presented group can be embedded as a subgroup of some finitely presented group.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Infinite Groups

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

Let G be a group, and $H \leq G$ such that |G : H| is finite. Then G is FP if and only if H is FP.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

Let G be a group, and $H \leq G$ such that |G : H| is finite. Then G is FP if and only if H is FP.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Proposition

Let G be a group, and $H \leq G$ such that |G : H| is finite. Then G is FP if and only if H is FP.

Proof. See Section A in Ex. Sheet 4.

Brief incursion into residual finiteness

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Brief incursion into residual finiteness

The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients.

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

11 / 13
The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients. So one needs to have enough finite quotients.

The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients. So one needs to have enough finite quotients.

Proposition

Let G be a group. The following are equivalent:

The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients. So one needs to have enough finite quotients.

Proposition

1

Let G be a group. The following are equivalent:

 $\bigcap_{i\in I}H_i=\{1\},$

where $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of all finite-index subgroups in G;

The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients. So one needs to have enough finite quotients.

Proposition

1

Let G be a group. The following are equivalent:

 $\bigcap_{i\in I}H_i=\{1\},$

where $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of all finite-index subgroups in G;

Our every g ∈ G \ {1}, there exists a finite group Φ and a homomorphism φ : G → Φ, such that φ(g) ≠ 1.

The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients. So one needs to have enough finite quotients.

Proposition

1

Let G be a group. The following are equivalent:

 $\bigcap_{i\in I}H_i=\{1\},$

where $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of all finite-index subgroups in G;

Our every g ∈ G \ {1}, there exists a finite group Φ and a homomorphism φ : G → Φ, such that φ(g) ≠ 1.

The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients. So one needs to have enough finite quotients.

Proposition

1

Let G be a group. The following are equivalent:

 $\bigcap_{i\in I}H_i=\{1\},$

where $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of all finite-index subgroups in G;

Of the every g ∈ G \ {1}, there exists a finite group Φ and a homomorphism φ : G → Φ, such that φ(g) ≠ 1.

Proof. See Section A in Ex. Sheet 4.

The idea: approximate an infinite group by its finite quotients. So one needs to have enough finite quotients.

Proposition

1

Let G be a group. The following are equivalent:

 $\bigcap_{i\in I}H_i=\{1\},$

where $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of all finite-index subgroups in G;

Our every g ∈ G \ {1}, there exists a finite group Φ and a homomorphism φ : G → Φ, such that φ(g) ≠ 1.

Proof. See Section A in Ex. Sheet 4.

Definition

A group satisfying the above is called residually finite.

Cornelia Druţu (University of Oxford)

Infinite Groups

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

12 /

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

12 13

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Part C course MT 2024, Oxford

12 13

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Indeed, we take subgroups $\Gamma(p) \leq \Gamma$, $\Gamma(p) = \ker(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction modulo p.

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Indeed, we take subgroups $\Gamma(p) \leq \Gamma$, $\Gamma(p) = \ker(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction modulo p.

Assume $g \in \Gamma$ is a non-trivial element.

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Indeed, we take subgroups $\Gamma(p) \leq \Gamma$, $\Gamma(p) = \ker(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction modulo p.

Assume $g \in \Gamma$ is a non-trivial element.

If g has a non-zero off-diagonal entry $g_{ij} \neq 0$, then $g_{ij} \neq 0 \mod p$, whenever $p > |g_{ij}|$.

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Indeed, we take subgroups $\Gamma(p) \leq \Gamma$, $\Gamma(p) = \ker(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction modulo p.

Assume $g \in \Gamma$ is a non-trivial element.

If g has a non-zero off-diagonal entry $g_{ij} \neq 0$, then $g_{ij} \neq 0 \mod p$, whenever $p > |g_{ij}|$. Thus, $\varphi_p(g) \neq 1$.

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Indeed, we take subgroups $\Gamma(p) \leq \Gamma$, $\Gamma(p) = \ker(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction modulo p.

Assume $g \in \Gamma$ is a non-trivial element.

If g has a non-zero off-diagonal entry $g_{ij} \neq 0$, then $g_{ij} \neq 0 \mod p$, whenever $p > |g_{ij}|$. Thus, $\varphi_p(g) \neq 1$.

If $g \in \Gamma$ has only zero entries off-diagonal then it is a diagonal matrix with only ± 1 on the diagonal, and at least one entry -1.

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Indeed, we take subgroups $\Gamma(p) \leq \Gamma$, $\Gamma(p) = \ker(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction modulo p.

Assume $g \in \Gamma$ is a non-trivial element.

If g has a non-zero off-diagonal entry $g_{ij} \neq 0$, then $g_{ij} \neq 0 \mod p$, whenever $p > |g_{ij}|$. Thus, $\varphi_p(g) \neq 1$.

If $g \in \Gamma$ has only zero entries off-diagonal then it is a diagonal matrix with only ± 1 on the diagonal, and at least one entry -1. Then $\varphi_3(g)$ has at least one 2 on the diagonal, hence $\varphi_3(g) \neq 1$.

Example

The group $\Gamma = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ is residually finite.

Indeed, we take subgroups $\Gamma(p) \leq \Gamma$, $\Gamma(p) = \ker(\varphi_p)$, where $\varphi_p : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction modulo p.

Assume $g \in \Gamma$ is a non-trivial element.

If g has a non-zero off-diagonal entry $g_{ij} \neq 0$, then $g_{ij} \neq 0 \mod p$, whenever $p > |g_{ij}|$. Thus, $\varphi_p(g) \neq 1$.

If $g \in \Gamma$ has only zero entries off-diagonal then it is a diagonal matrix with only ± 1 on the diagonal, and at least one entry -1. Then $\varphi_3(g)$ has at least one 2 on the diagonal, hence $\varphi_3(g) \neq 1$.

Thus Γ is residually finite.

Cornelia Druțu (University of Oxford)

Theorem (A. I. Mal'cev)

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of GL(n, R), where R is a commutative ring with unity. Then Γ is residually finite.

Theorem (A. I. Mal'cev)

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of GL(n, R), where R is a commutative ring with unity. Then Γ is residually finite.

Theorem (A. I. Mal'cev)

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of GL(n, R), where R is a commutative ring with unity. Then Γ is residually finite.

Mal'cev's theorem is complemented by the following result:

Theorem (A. I. Mal'cev)

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of GL(n, R), where R is a commutative ring with unity. Then Γ is residually finite.

Mal'cev's theorem is complemented by the following result:

Theorem (Selberg's Lemma)

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of GL(n, F), where F is a field of characteristic zero. Then Γ contains a torsion-free subgroup of finite index.