

## B2.2 Commutative Algebra

### Sheet 2 — HT25

#### Sections 1-8

#### Section A

1. Consider the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (x, y)$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2 = (x, z)$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)$  of  $K[x, y, z]$ , where  $K$  is a field. Show that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  is a minimal primary decomposition of  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2$ . Determine the isolated and the embedded prime ideals of  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2$ .

**Solution:** For future reference, note that we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^2 = ((x) + (y) + (z))^2 = (x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz, yz)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 = ((x) + (y))((x) + (z)) = (x^2, xz, yx, yz).$$

We have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$  and we also clearly have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$  since  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  are prime since the rings  $K[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{p}_1 \simeq K[z]$  and  $K[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{p}_2 \simeq K[y]$  are domains. Note also that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal, since  $K[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{m} \simeq K$  is a field. Thus  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  are primary (see after Lemma 6.4 for the latter). The radicals of the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  are  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  (see again Lemma 6.4 for the latter). These three ideals are distinct. Finally, we have  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  (because  $z^2 \notin \mathfrak{p}_1$  but  $z^2 \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ ),  $\mathfrak{p}_2 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  (because  $y^2 \notin \mathfrak{p}_2$  but  $y^2 \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ ) and  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \not\supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$  (because  $x \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$  but  $x \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{p}_1$ ). Hence if  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$  then this decomposition is indeed primary and minimal. Thus we only have to show that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \mathfrak{m}^2$ .

From the above, we have to show that

$$(x, y) \cap (x, z) \cap (x^2, y^2, z^2, xy, xz, yz) \subseteq (x^2, xz, yx, yz).$$

This is immediate, since all the ideals we are considering have the property that a polynomial lies in such an ideal if and only if all of the monomial summands of the polynomial lie in the ideal.

## Section B

2. Let  $K$  be a field. Show that the ideal  $(x^2, xy, y^2) \subseteq K[x, y]$  is a primary ideal, which is not irreducible.
3. Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring and let  $T$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra. Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of the group of automorphisms of  $T$  as a  $R$ -algebra. Let  $T^G$  be the fixed point set of  $G$  (ie the subset of  $T$ , which is fixed by all the elements of  $G$ ).
  - (a) Show that  $T$  is integral over  $T^G$ .
  - (b) Show that  $T^G$  is a subring of  $T$ , which contains the image of  $R$  and that  $T^G$  is finitely generated over  $R$ .
4. Show that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is integrally closed and that the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .
5. Let  $S$  be a ring and let  $R \subseteq S$  be a subring of  $S$ . Suppose that  $R$  is integrally closed in  $S$ . Let  $P(x) \in R[x]$  and suppose that  $P(x) = Q(x)J(x)$ , where  $Q(x), J(x) \in S[x]$  and  $Q(x)$  and  $J(x)$  are monic. Show that  $Q(x), J(x) \in R[x]$ . Use this to give a new proof of the fact that if  $T(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $T(x) = T_1(x)T_2(x)$ , where  $T_1(x), T_2(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  are monic polynomials, then  $T_1(x), T_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .
6. Let  $R$  be a subring of a ring  $T$  and suppose that  $T$  is integral over  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be prime ideal of  $R$  and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime ideal of  $T$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_k$  be primes ideal of  $R$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}$ . Show that there are prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_k$  of  $T$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{q}$  and such that  $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .
7. Let  $R$  be a ring. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of ideals in  $R$  that are not finitely generated; assume that  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\mathcal{S}$  has at least one maximal element.
  - (b) Let  $I$  be maximal element of  $\mathcal{S}$  (with respect to the relation of inclusion). Show that  $I$  is prime.
  - (c) Suppose that all the prime ideals of  $R$  are finitely generated. Prove that  $R$  is noetherian.

[Hint: exploit the fact that  $R/I$  is noetherian.]

## Section C

8. Let  $R$  be a ring. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of non-principal ideals in  $R$ ; assume that  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{S}$  admits maximal elements, and that every such element is a prime ideal.

**Solution:** The existence of maximal elements follows from Zorn's lemma. Let  $I$  be one such. Let  $x, y \notin I$  and suppose for contradiction that  $xy \in I$ . Let  $I_x = (x) + I$ . By assumption, we have  $I_x = (g_x)$  for some  $g_x \in R$ . Let  $\phi: R \rightarrow I_x$  be the surjection of  $R$ -modules given by the formula  $\phi(r) = rg_x$ . We then have  $I \subseteq \phi^{-1}(I)$ .

Suppose first that  $I = \phi^{-1}(I)$ . In other words, for all  $r \in R$ , we have  $rg_x \in I$  if and only if  $r \in I$ . This contradicts the fact that  $yg_x \in I$ . So we conclude that  $I \subset \phi^{-1}(I)$ . From the definition of  $I$ , we then see that  $\phi^{-1}(I)$  is a principal ideal of  $R$ , and hence so is  $I = \phi(\phi^{-1}(I))$ . This is a contradiction.