

## B2.2 Commutative Algebra

### Sheet 3 — HT25

#### Sections 1-10

#### Section A

1. Let  $R$  be a subring of a ring  $T$ . Suppose that  $T$  is integral over  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  and let  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2$  be prime ideals of  $T$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap R = \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ . Show that if  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$  then  $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{q}_2$ .

**Solution:** The ring  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  can be viewed as a subring of  $T/\mathfrak{q}_1$  and by assumption we have  $(\mathfrak{q}_2 \bmod \mathfrak{q}_1) \cap R/\mathfrak{p} = (0)$ . We may thus assume without loss of generality that  $R$  and  $T$  to be domains and that  $\mathfrak{q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  are zero ideals.

Now let  $e \in \mathfrak{q}_2 \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $P(x) \in R[x]$  be a non-zero monic polynomial such that  $P(e) = 0$ . Since  $T$  is a domain, we may assume that the constant coefficient of  $P(x)$  is non-zero (otherwise, replace  $P(x)$  by  $P(x)/x^k$  for a suitable  $k \geq 1$ ). But then the constant term  $P(0)$  is a linear combination of positive powers of  $e$  (since  $P(e) = 0$ ), so  $P(0) \in R \cap \mathfrak{q}_2 = (0)$ . This is a contradiction.

## Section B

2. Let  $R$  be a ring. Show that the two following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $R$  is a Jacobson ring.
- (b) If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  and  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  contains an element  $b$  such that  $(R/\mathfrak{p})[b^{-1}]$  is a field, then  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field.

Here we write  $(R/\mathfrak{p})[b^{-1}]$  for the localisation of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  at the multiplicative subset  $1, b, b^2, \dots$ .

3. Let  $k$  be field and let  $R$  be a finitely generated algebra over  $k$ . Show that the two following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$  is finite.
- (b)  $R$  is finite over  $k$ .

4. Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_d \in k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . Suppose that the set

$$\{(y_1, \dots, y_d) \in k^d \mid P_i(y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d\}\}$$

is finite. Show that

$$\operatorname{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(P_1, \dots, P_d))$$

is finite.

5. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $R_0$  be the prime ring of  $R$  (see the preamble of the notes for the definition). Suppose that  $R$  is a finitely generated  $R_0$ -algebra. Suppose also that  $R$  is a field. Prove that  $R$  is a finite field.

6. Let  $k$  be a field and let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . Show that there are polynomials  $P_1(x_1), P_2(x_1, x_2), P_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), \dots, P_d(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} = (P_1, \dots, P_d)$ .

## Section C

7. Let  $R$  be a domain. Show that  $R[x]$  is integrally closed if  $R$  is integrally closed.

**Solution:** Suppose that  $R$  is integrally closed in its fraction field  $K$ . The fraction field of  $R[x]$  is  $K(x) = (K[x])(K[x] \setminus \{1\})^{-1}$ . Let  $Q(x) \in K(x)$  and suppose that  $Q(x)$  is integral over  $R[x]$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $Q(x) \notin R[x]$ , and take  $Q(x)$  of smallest possible degree. Clearly  $Q(x)$  is not the zero polynomial.

Then  $Q(x)$  is in particular integral over  $K[x]$  and we saw in the solution of Question 4, sheet 2, that  $K[x]$  is integrally closed, since it is a PID. So we deduce that  $Q(x) \in K[x]$ .

Now let

$$Q^n + P_{n-1}Q^{n-1} + \cdots + P_0 = 0$$

be a non trivial integral equation for  $Q$  with  $P_i \in R[x]$  for all  $n$ . Evaluating at  $x = 0$  shows that the constant term of  $Q(x)$  is integral over  $R$ , and hence lies in  $R$ . Since the integral closure of  $R[x]$  is a ring, we may subtract the constant term, and assume that the constant term of  $Q$  is zero. But then we can also divide by a power of  $x$ , and decrease the degree of  $Q$ . Contradiction.