## Infinite groups: Sheet 4

## November 14, 2024

*Exercise* 1. Let G be a polycyclic group. Suppose that every finite quotient group of G is nilpotent. Prove that G is nilpotent. [*Hint*: use 'Noetherian induction'. If  $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$  is an abelian normal subgroup of G, think about the lower central series of  $G/A^p$  for a prime p.]

**Solution.** Arguing by 'Noetherian induction' we may suppose that G is not nilpotent, but G/K is nilpotent whenever  $1 \neq K \triangleleft G$ . Since G is not nilpotent, it is infinite, hence it has an abelian normal subgroup  $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$  for some  $d \ge 1$ . Also G/A is nilpotent, so  $C^c(G) \le A$  for some c.

Let p be a prime. We have that  $1 < A^p \lhd G$  so  $G/A^p$  is nilpotent. Since  $A/A^p \cong \mathbb{F}_p^d$ , G acts as a unipotent linear group on  $A/A^p$  and its image by this representation is nilpotent of class d. Therefore G satisfies

$$C^{c+d}(G) \le [\dots [[A,G],G,],\dots,G] \le A^p$$

(d brackets). But  $\bigcap_{p} A^{p} = 1$  so  $C^{c+d}(G) = 1$ , the desired contradiction.

*Exercise* 2. Suppose that  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$  is completely reducible and that  $g^e = 1$ ,  $\forall g \in G$ . Prove that  $|G| \leq e^{n^3}$ . [*Hint*: first consider the irreducible case.]

**Solution.** Suppose first that G is *irreducible*. If  $g \in G$  then each eigenvalue of g is an eth root of unity, so tr(g) is the sum of n eth roots of unity. There are at most e such roots of unity, so there are at most  $e^n$  possible values for tr(g). It follows by a result in lectures that  $|G| \leq (e^n)^{n^2} = e^{n^3}$ .

 $NB\colon$  In lectures and in Lecture Notes, the convention has been that the field  $\mathbbm{K}$  is always algebraically closed.

General case: G is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\pi_1(G) \times \cdots \times \pi_r(G)$  where each  $\pi_i(G)$  is an irreducible group of degree  $n_i$  and  $n_1 + \cdots + n_r = n$ , and  $\pi_i$  is a homomorphism. Then  $\pi_i(G)^e = 1$  so  $|\pi_i(G)| \le e^{n_i^3}$  for each i, and so

$$|G| \le \prod e^{n_i^3} = e^{\sum n_i^3} \le e^{n^3}$$

since  $n^3 = (\sum n_i)^3 \ge \sum n_i^3$ .

*Exercise* 3. Let G be a linear group. Prove that G is solvable if one of the following holds:

- (i) every finitely generated subgroup of G is solvable;
- (ii) G is finitely generated and every finite quotient group of G is solvable.

**Solution.** (i) Say G is linear of degree n. Then by the Zassenhaus Theorem every solvable subgroup of G has derived length at most  $\beta = \beta(n)$ . So  $H^{(\beta)} = 1$  for every finitely generated subgroup H of G. It follows that  $G^{(\beta)} = 1$ , so G is solvable.

(ii) Now G is finitely generated. So G is residually finite. If  $N \triangleleft G$  and G/N is linear of degree n over a finite field then G/N is finite, also solvable by hypothesis, so satisfies  $(G/N)^{(\beta)} = 1$ . Hence  $G^{(\beta)} \leq N$ , and as the subgroups N intersect in  $\{1\}$  it follows that  $G^{(\beta)} = 1$ .

*Exercise* 4. Consider a semidirect product  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}$ , defined by a homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n) = GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ , hence by the matrix  $\varphi(1) = M \in GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ . In what follows we use the notation  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z}$  instead of  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}$ .

- 1. Prove, by induction on n, that if M has all eigenvalues equal to 1 then  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z}$  is nilpotent.
- 2. Deduce that, if M has all eigenvalues roots of unity, then  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z}$  is virtually nilpotent.

**Solution.** (1) For n = 0 there is nothing to prove; we assume, therefore, that the statement holds for n - 1. The matrix M has only eigenvalues equal to 1. Lemma 7.16 in the Lecture Notes then implies that there exists a finite ascending series

$$\{1\} = H_n \leqslant H_{n-1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant H_1 \leqslant A = H_0 = \mathbb{Z}^n$$

such that  $H_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-i}$ , each quotient  $H_i/H_{i+1}$  is cyclic, the automorphism  $\theta$  preserves each  $H_i$  and induces the identity automorphism on  $H_i/H_{i+1}$ . Thus,  $\theta$  acts via the identity on  $H_{n-1}$ . In particular, the subgroup  $H_{n-1}$  is central in G; the automorphism  $\theta$  projects to an automorphism  $\bar{\theta} : \bar{A} \to \bar{A}, \bar{A} = A/H_{n-1}$ . The automorphism  $\bar{\theta}$  preserves the central series

$$\{1\} = \bar{H}_{n-1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \bar{H}_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$$

(where  $\bar{H}_i = H_i/H_{n-1}$ ) and induces trivial automorphism of each quotient

$$H_i/H_{i+1} \cong H_i/H_{i+1}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, the group

$$\bar{G} = \bar{A} \rtimes_{\bar{\theta}} \mathbb{Z} \cong G/H_{n-1},$$

is nilpotent. Since central coextensions of nilpotent groups are again nilpotent, we conclude that the group G is nilpotent as well.

(2) There exists a power  $M^k$  with all eigenvalues 1 and  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{M^k} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_M (k\mathbb{Z})$  is a finite index subgroup in  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Exercise* 5. Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group and let  $\varphi \in Aut(G)$ . Prove that the polycyclic group  $P = G \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}$  is

1. either virtually nilpotent;

2. or has exponential growth.

**Solution.** We note that replacing  $\varphi$  with a power will replace P with a finite-index subgroup, and, hence, will not affect the virtual nilpotency of Pand its growth rate. The automorphism  $\varphi$  preserves the lower central series of G; let  $\theta_i$  denote the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $C^iG$ ,  $i \ge 1$ . Then  $\theta_i$  projects to an automorphism  $\varphi_i$  of the finitely generated abelian group  $B_i := C^i G/C^{i+1}G$ . The automorphism  $\varphi_i$  induces an automorphism  $\psi_i$  of Tor  $B_i$  and an automorphism  $\overline{\varphi}_i$  of  $B_i/\text{Tor } B_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{m_i}$ . Each choice of a basis for  $B_i/\text{Tor } B_i$  associates to the automorphism  $\overline{\varphi}_i$  a matrix  $M_i$  in  $GL(m_i, \mathbb{Z})$ . All the conditions below are independent of the choice of a basis, therefore in what follows we assume that an arbitrary fixed basis is chosen in each  $B_i/\text{Tor } B_i$ .

We have two cases to consider:

(1) All matrices  $M_i$  only have eigenvalues of absolute value 1; hence, all the eigenvalues are roots of unity (Lecture Notes). Then there exists N such that the matrices of the automorphisms  $\bar{\varphi}_i^N$  have only eigenvalues equal to 1 and the induced automorphisms of finite abelian groups

$$\psi_i : \operatorname{Tor} B_i \to \operatorname{Tor} B_i$$

are all equal to the identity. Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that the matrices  $M_i$  of all the  $\varphi_i$ 's have all eigenvalues equal to 1, and that all the  $\psi_i$  are the identity automorphisms.

Lemma 7.16 from Lecture Notes applied to each  $\overline{\varphi}_i$  and to each  $\psi_i = \operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Tor} B_i}$ , imply that the lower central series of G is a sub-series of a cyclic series

$$\{1\} = H_n \leqslant H_{n-1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant H_1 \leqslant H_0 = G,$$

where each  $H_i/H_{i+1}$  is cyclic,  $\varphi$  preserves each  $H_i$  and induces the identity map on  $H_i/H_{i+1}$ . We denote by t the generator of the semidirect factor  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the decomposition  $P = G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ . By the definition of the semidirect product, for every  $g \in G$ ,  $tgt^{-1} = \varphi(g)$ . The fact that  $\varphi$  acts as the identity on each  $H_i/H_{i+1}$ implies that  $t^k(hH_{i+1})t^{-k} = hH_{i+1}$  for every h in  $H_i$ ; equivalently

$$[t^{\kappa},h] \in H_{i+1} \tag{1}$$

for every such h.

Since G contains the kernel  $C^2P = [P, P]$  of the abelanization map  $G \to \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that  $C^2P \leq G$ . We claim that for every  $i \geq 0$ ,  $[P, H_i] \subseteq H_{i+1}$ . Indeed, consider an arbitrary commutator [h, s],  $h \in H_i, s \in P$ . Since s has the form  $s = gt^k$ , with  $g \in G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain:

$$[h,s] = [h,gt^k] = [h,g][g,[h,t^k]][h,t^k]$$
.

According to (1),  $[h, t^k] \in H_{i+1}$ . Also, since the lower central series of G is a subseries of  $(H_i)$ , there exists  $r \ge 1$  such that  $C^r G \ge H_i \ge H_{i+1} \ge C^{r+1} G$ . Then,  $h \in H_i \le C^r G$  and

$$[h,g] \in C^{r+1}G \leqslant H_{i+1}.$$

Likewise, as  $[h, t^k] \in H_{i+1} \leq C^r G$ , the commutator

$$[g, [h, t^k]] \in C^{r+1}G \leqslant H_{i+1}.$$

By putting it all together, we conclude that  $[h, s] \in H_{i+1}$  and, hence,  $[P, H_i] \subseteq H_{i+1}$ .

An easy induction now shows that  $C^{i+2}P \leq H_i$  for every  $i \geq 1$ ; in particular,  $C^{n+2}P \leq H_n = \{1\}$ . Therefore, P is virtually nilpotent.

(2) Assume that at least one matrix  $M_i$  has an eigenvalue with absolute value strictly greater than 1, in particular,  $m_i \ge 2$ . The group P contains the subgroup

$$P_i := C^i G \rtimes_{\theta_i} \mathbb{Z}$$

Furthermore, the subgroup  $C^{i+1}G$  is normal in  $P_i$  and

$$P_i/C^{i+1}G \simeq B_i \rtimes_{\varphi_i} \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $B_i = C^i G / C^{i+1} G$ . Lastly,

$$(B_i \rtimes_{\varphi_i} \mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Tor}\, B_i \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m_i} \rtimes_{M_i} \mathbb{Z}.$$

According to Proposition 7.19 from the Lecture Notes, the group  $\mathbb{Z}^{m_i} \rtimes_{M_i} \mathbb{Z}$  has exponential growth. Therefore, in view of Proposition 7.9, parts (a) and (c), the groups  $B_i \rtimes_{\varphi_i} \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $P_i/C^{i+1}G$ ,  $P_i$ , and, hence, P, all have exponential growth. Thus, in the case (2), S has exponential growth.

*Exercise* 6. Let G be a finitely generated group G of sub-exponential growth.

The goal of this exercise is to prove that for all  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m, g \in G$ , the set of conjugates

 $\{g^k\beta_ig^{-k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ 

generates a finitely generated subgroup  $N \leq G$ .

- 1. Prove that the statement for m = 1 implies the statement for every integer  $m \ge 1$ .
- 2. In what follows we therefore assume m = 1, we set  $\alpha := \beta_1$  and let  $\alpha_k$  denote  $g^k \alpha g^{-k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The goal is to prove that finitely many elements in the set  $\{\alpha_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  generate the subgroup N.

Verify that

$$g\alpha^{s_0}g\alpha^{s_1}\cdots g\alpha^{s_m} = \alpha_1^{s_0}\alpha_2^{s_1}\cdots \alpha_{m+1}^{s_m}g^{m+1}$$

3. Prove that if for every integer  $m \ge 1$  the map

$$\mu = \mu_m : \prod_{i=0}^m \mathbb{Z}_2 \to G$$
$$\mu : (s_i) \mapsto g \alpha^{s_0} g \alpha^{s_1} \cdots g \alpha^{s_m} .$$

is injective then G must have exponential growth.

4. Deduce from the fact that  $\mu_m, m \ge 1$ , cannot be all injective the fact that N is finitely generated.

**Solution.** (1) It suffices to prove the lemma for m = 1, since N is generated by the subgroups

$$N_i = \langle g^k \beta_i g^{-k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

(2) Easy calculation.

(3) If for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the map  $\mu$  is injective then for each sequence  $(s_i)$  we have  $2^{m+1}$  products as above, and if  $g, g\alpha$  are in the set of generators of G, all these products are in  $B_G(1, m+1)$ . This contradicts the hypothesis that G has sub-exponential growth.

(4) We found that there exists some m and two distinct sequences  $(s_i), (t_i)$ in  $\prod_{i=0}^{m} \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that

$$g\alpha^{s_0}g\alpha^{s_1}\cdots g\alpha^{s_m} = g\alpha^{t_0}g\alpha^{t_1}\cdots g\alpha^{t_m}.$$
 (2)

Assume that m is minimal with this property. This, in particular, implies that  $s_0 \neq t_0$  and  $s_m \neq t_m$ . In view of the exercise, the equality (2) becomes

$$\alpha_1^{s_0}\alpha_2^{s_1}\cdots\alpha_{m+1}^{s_m}=\alpha_1^{t_0}\alpha_2^{t_1}\cdots\alpha_{m+1}^{t_m}.$$

Since  $s_m \neq t_m$  and  $s_m, t_m \in \{0, 1\}$ , it follows that  $s_m - t_m = \pm 1$ . Then

$$\alpha_{m+1}^{\pm 1} = \alpha_m^{-s_{m-1}} \cdots \alpha_2^{-s_1} \alpha_1^{t_0 - s_0} \alpha_2^{t_1} \cdots \alpha_m^{t_{m-1}} \,. \tag{3}$$

If in (3) we conjugate by g, we obtain that

$$\alpha_{m+2}^{\pm 1} = \alpha_{m+1}^{-s_{m-1}} \cdots \alpha_3^{-s_1} \alpha_2^{t_0 - s_0} \alpha_3^{t_1} \cdots \alpha_{m+1}^{t_{m-1}}.$$

This and (3) imply that  $\alpha_{m+2}$  is a product of powers of  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ . Then, by induction, every  $\alpha_n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a product of powers of  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ , and the same is true for  $\alpha_n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  by replacing g with  $g^{-1}$ . Therefore, every generator  $\alpha_n$  of N belongs to the subgroup of N generated by the elements  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  and the elements  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  generate N.