## Additional Lecture Notes for W7

**Theorem 1.** Let X be a reflexive separable Banach space,  $X^*$  its dual, and M a non-empty, convex closed subset of X. Let  $F: M \to X^*$  satisfies

- (H1) F maps bounded sets in X into bounded sets in  $X^*$ .
- (H2) (Coercivity) There exists  $u_0 \in M$  such that

$$\lim_{\|u\|\to\infty} \frac{\langle F(u), u - u_0 \rangle}{\|u\|} = \infty.$$

(H3) Weak (sequential) lower semi-continuity relative to M: If  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  and  $F(u_n) \rightharpoonup \xi$ , then

$$\langle \xi, u \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \langle F(u_n), u_n \rangle,$$

and if equality holds then

$$\langle F(u) - \xi, u - v \rangle \leq 0$$
 for all  $v \in M$ .

Then there exists  $u \in M$  such that

$$\langle F(u), u - v \rangle \leq 0$$
 for all  $v \in M$ .

We will only present the proof in the case M is a subspace of X. See the lecture notes for the proof in the full generality.

**Theorem 2.** Let X be a reflexive separable Banach space,  $X^*$  its dual, and  $M \subset X$  a closed subspace of X. Let  $F : M \to X^*$  satisfies

- (H1) F maps bounded sets into bounded set.
- (H2) (Star-like at infinity): There exists  $u_0 \in M$  and K > 0 such that

$$\langle F(u), u - u_0 \rangle > 0$$
 if  $||u|| \ge K$ .

(H3) Weak (sequential) lower semi-continuity relative to M: If  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  and  $F(u_n) \rightharpoonup \xi$ , then

$$\langle \xi, u \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \langle F(u_n), u_n \rangle,$$

and if equality holds then

 $F(u) - \xi \in M^{\circ}.$ 

Then there exists  $u \in M$  such that

$$F(u) \in M^{\circ} \text{ and } ||u|| \leq K.$$

*Proof.* Step 1: Finite dimensional reduction. Suppose that the theorem is established when  $\dim X < \infty$ . We show that the theorem remains true in the general case.

Since X is separable, there exist linearly independent vectors  $e_1, e_2, \ldots$  such that X is the closed linear span of  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots\}$ . Let  $X_n = \text{Span}(\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\})$  and  $M_n = M \cap X_n$ .

For technical reason (see below), we assume that the vector  $u_0$  in (H2) belongs to  $Span(e_1) = X_1$ .

Noting that, for every  $u \in M$ , F(u) is a bounded linear functional on X and so is also a bounded linear function on  $X_n$ . Hence  $F_n := F|_{M_n} : M_n \to X_n^*$ . It's easy to see that  $F_n$  satisfies (H1) and (H3). As  $u_0 \in X_1 \subset X_n$ ,  $F_n$  satisfies (H2). We may thus apply the theorem in the finite dimensional case to obtain  $u_n \in M_n$  with  $||u_n|| \leq K$ such that

$$F(u_n) \in (M_n)^{\circ}$$
 that is  $\langle F(u_n), v \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in M_n$ . (\*)

Since  $(u_n)$  is bounded, so is  $F(u_n)$  by (H1). Thus, by reflexivity and after passing to a subsequence, we have  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  (with  $||u|| \leq K$ ) and  $A(u_n) \rightharpoonup \xi$ . We would like to show that  $F(u) \in M^{\circ}$ .

By (\*), we have that

$$\langle \xi, v \rangle = 0$$
 for all  $v \in \bigcup_n M_n$  and so all  $v \in \overline{\bigcup_n M_n} = M$ ,

that is  $\xi \in M^{\circ}$ . In particular, we have  $\langle \xi, u \rangle = 0$ . On the other hand, we have  $\langle F(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$  by (\*), and so by (H3),

$$\langle \xi, u \rangle \le \liminf \langle F(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0.$$

Hence

$$\langle \xi, u \rangle = \liminf \langle F(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0,$$

which together with (H3) implies  $F(u) - \xi \in M^{\circ}$ . Hence  $F(u) = \xi + (F(u) - \xi) \in M^{\circ}$ .

Step 2: Reduction to Hilbert setting when dim  $X < \infty$ .

Note that  $F: M \to X^* \hookrightarrow M^*$ . Thus, we may replace X by M, i.e. we may assume M = X.

Note that when dim X is finite, weak and strong convergence are equivalent. Hence (H3) reads as follows: If  $u_n \to u$  and  $F(u_n) \to \xi$ , then  $F(u) = \xi$ . Note also that, by (H1), for any bounded sequence  $u_n$ ,  $F(u_n)$  is bounded and hence every subsequence of  $(F(u_n))$  has a subsequence which converges to F(u). This implies that F is continuous.

Since dim X is finite dimensional, we can equip X with an inner product denoted by a dot, whose norm is denoted  $|\cdot|$ . By Riesz representation theorem, every linear function  $T \in X^*$  can be identify with an element  $t = J(T) \in X$  such that

$$\langle T, x \rangle = t \cdot x.$$

Let  $\tilde{F} = J \circ F : X \to X$ . Then

(H1)  $\tilde{F}$  maps bounded sets into bounded set.

(H2) (Star-like at infinity): There exists  $u_0 \in X$  and K > 0 such that

$$F(u) \cdot (u - u_0) > 0$$
 if  $||u|| \ge K$ .

(H3) Continuity: F is continuous.

We aim to show that there exists  $u \in X$  such that

$$\ddot{F}(u) = 0$$
 and  $||u|| \le K$ .

Note that if  $\tilde{F}(u) = 0$ , then by (H2),  $||u|| \leq K$ . Thus, we only need to find  $u \in X$  such that  $\tilde{F}(u) = 0$ .

Step 3: Proof of the theorem when X is a finite dimensional Hilbert space.

Consider  $T = Id - \tilde{F}$  and we aim to show that T has a fixed point. Fix some R > 0 for the moment and let  $P_R$  denote the closest point projection onto  $\bar{B}_R(0)$ , that is

$$P_R(x) = \frac{Rx}{|x|}$$

Then  $P_R \circ T$  is a continuous maps from  $\overline{B}_R$  into  $\overline{B}_R$ . By Brouwer's fixed point theorem, there exists  $x_R \in \overline{B}_R$  which is fixed by  $P_R \circ T$ . We claim that, with  $R \ge |u_0|$ , then  $|x_R| < R$ , which implies that  $x_R$  is also a fixed point of T and we are done. Suppose for a contradiction that  $|x_R| = R$  for some  $R \ge |u_0|$ . Then

$$x_R = P_R(x_R - F(x_R))$$

which implies that, for any  $v \in \bar{B}_R$ ,

$$0 \ge [(x_R - \tilde{F}(x_R)) - x_R] \cdot (v - x_R) = -\tilde{F}(x_R) \cdot (v - x_R) = \tilde{F}(x_R) \cdot (x_R - v).$$

As  $R \ge |u_0|$ , we may take  $v = u_0$  in the above inequality and obtain a contradiction to (H2).