### Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations

### Endre Süli

Mathematical Institute University of Oxford 2025

Lecture 10

# Von Neumann stability

In certain situations, practical stability is too restrictive and we need a less demanding notion of stability.

## Definition (von Neumann stability)

We shall say that a finite difference scheme for the unsteady heat equation on the time interval [0, T] is **von Neumann stable** in the  $\ell_2$  norm, if there exists a positive constant C = C(T) such that

$$||U^m||_{\ell_2} \le C||U^0||_{\ell_2}, \qquad m=1,\ldots,M=\frac{T}{\Delta t},$$

where

$$||U^m||_{\ell_2} = \left(\Delta x \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |U_j^m|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Clearly, practical stability implies von Neumann stability, with stability constant C = 1.

As the **stability constant** C in the definition of von Neumann stability may dependent on T, and when it does then, typically,  $C(T) \to +\infty$  as  $T \to +\infty$ , it follows that, unlike practical stability which is meaningful for  $m=1,2,\ldots$ , von Neumann stability makes sense on finite time intervals [0,T] (with  $T<\infty$ ) and for the limited range of  $0 \le m \le T/\Delta t$ , only.

Von Neumann stability of a finite difference scheme can be easily verified by using the following result.

#### Lemma

Suppose that the semidiscrete Fourier transform of the solution  $\{U_j^m\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $m=0,1,\ldots,\frac{T}{\Delta t}$ , of a finite difference scheme for the heat equation satisfies

$$\hat{U}^{m+1}(k) = \lambda(k)\hat{U}^m(k)$$

and

$$|\lambda(k)| \leq 1 + C_0 \Delta t$$
  $\forall k \in [-\pi/\Delta x, \pi/\Delta x].$ 

Then the scheme is von Neumann stable. In particular, if  $C_0 = 0$  then the scheme is practically stable.

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{P}\mathrm{ROOF}}\xspace$  . By Parseval's identity for the semidiscrete Fourier transform

$$||U^{m+1}||_{\ell_{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} ||\hat{U}^{m+1}||_{L_{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} ||\lambda \hat{U}^{m}||_{L_{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \max_{k} |\lambda(k)| ||\hat{U}^{m}||_{L_{2}} = \max_{k} |\lambda(k)| ||U^{m}||_{\ell_{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$||U^{m+1}||_{\ell_2} \leq (1 + C_0 \Delta t) ||U^m||_{\ell_2}, \qquad m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1.$$

Therefore,

$$||U^m||_{\ell_2} \le (1 + C_0 \Delta t)^m ||U^0||_{\ell_2}, \qquad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

As  $(1 + C_0 \Delta t)^m \le e^{C_0 m \Delta t} \le e^{C_0 T}$ , it follows that

$$||U^m||_{\ell_2} \le e^{C_0 T} ||U^0||_{\ell_2}, \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

implying von Neumann stability, with  $C = e^{C_0 T}$ .  $\diamond$ 

## Boundary-value problems for parabolic problems

When a parabolic PDE is considered on a bounded spatial domain, one needs to impose boundary conditions on the boundary of the domain. We shall consider the simplest case, when a Dirichlet boundary is imposed at both endpoints of the spatial domain, which we take to be the nonempty bounded open interval (a,b).

Consider the heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \qquad a < x < b, \quad 0 < t \le T,$$

subject to the initial condition

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), \qquad x\in [a,b],$$

and the Dirichlet boundary conditions at x = a and x = b:

$$u(a, t) = A(t), \quad u(b, t) = B(t), \quad t \in (0, T].$$

### Remark

The Neumann initial-boundary-value problem for the heat equation is:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \qquad a < x < b, \quad 0 < t \le T,$$

subject to the initial condition

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), \qquad x\in [a,b],$$

and the Neumann boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(a,t) = A(t), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(b,t) = B(t), \quad t \in (0,T].$$

# $\theta$ -scheme for the Dirichlet initial-boundary-value problem

Our aim is to construct a numerical approximation of the Dirichlet initial-boundary-value problem based on the  $\theta$ -scheme.

Let  $\Delta x = (b - a)/J$  and  $\Delta t = T/M$ , and define

$$x_j := a + j\Delta x, \quad j = 0, \dots, J, \qquad t_m := m\Delta t, \quad m = 0, \dots, M.$$

We approximate the Dirichlet initial-boundary-value problem with the  $\theta$ -scheme:

$$\frac{U_{j}^{m+1}-U_{j}^{m}}{\Delta t}=\left(1-\theta\right)\frac{U_{j+1}^{m}-2U_{j}^{m}+U_{j-1}^{m}}{(\Delta x)^{2}}+\theta\frac{U_{j+1}^{m+1}-2U_{j}^{m+1}+U_{j-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^{2}},$$

for 
$$j = 1, ..., J - 1$$
,  $m = 0, 1, ..., M - 1$ ,

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \qquad j = 1, \ldots, J-1,$$

$$U_0^{m+1} = A(t_{m+1}), \quad U_1^{m+1} = B(t_{m+1}), \quad m = 0, \dots, M-1.$$

To implement this scheme it is helpful to rewrite it as a system of linear algebraic equations to compute the values of the numerical solution on time-level m+1 from those on time-level m. We have:

$$egin{array}{lll} [1- heta\mu\delta^2]U_j^{m+1} &=& [1+(1- heta)\mu\delta^2]U_j^m, \ &U_j^0 &=& u_0(x_j), &1\leq j\leq J-1, \ &U_0^{m+1} &= A(t_{m+1}), &U_J^{m+1} &= B(t_{m+1}), &0\leq m\leq M-1, \ &\delta^2U_i &:= U_{i+1}-2U_i+U_{i-1}. \end{array}$$

where

Consider the symmetric tridiagonal  $(J-1) \times (J-1)$  matrix:

Let  $\mathcal{I} = \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$  be the  $(J-1) \times (J-1)$  identity matrix. Then, the  $\theta$ -scheme can be written as

$$(\mathcal{I} - \theta \mu \mathcal{A}) \mathbf{U}^{m+1} = (\mathcal{I} + (1 - \theta)\mu \mathcal{A}) \mathbf{U}^m + \theta \mu \mathbf{F}^{m+1} + (1 - \theta)\mu \mathbf{F}^m$$

for m = 0, 1, ..., M - 1, where

$$\mathbf{U}^{m} = (U_{1}^{m}, \ U_{2}^{m}, \ \dots, \ U_{J-2}^{m}, \ U_{J-1}^{m})^{\mathrm{T}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{F}^{m} = (A(t_{m}), 0, ..., 0, B(t_{m}))^{\mathrm{T}}.$$