

Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations

Endre Süli

Mathematical Institute
University of Oxford
2025

Lecture 11

The discrete maximum principle

Theorem (Discrete maximum principle for the θ -scheme)

The θ -scheme for the Dirichlet initial-boundary-value problem for the heat equation, with $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $\mu(1 - \theta) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, yields a sequence of numerical approximations $\{U_j^m\}_{j=0,\dots,J; m=0,\dots,M}$ satisfying

$$U_{\min} \leq U_j^m \leq U_{\max}$$

where

$$U_{\min} = \min \left\{ \min\{U_0^m\}_{m=0}^M, \min\{U_j^0\}_{j=0}^J, \min\{U_J^m\}_{m=0}^M \right\}$$

and

$$U_{\max} = \max \left\{ \max\{U_0^m\}_{m=0}^M, \max\{U_j^0\}_{j=0}^J, \max\{U_J^m\}_{m=0}^M \right\}.$$

PROOF: We rewrite the θ -scheme as

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + 2\theta\mu) U_j^{m+1} &= \theta\mu \left(U_{j+1}^{m+1} + U_{j-1}^{m+1} \right) \\ &\quad + (1 - \theta)\mu \left(U_{j+1}^m + U_{j-1}^m \right) + [1 - 2(1 - \theta)\mu] U_j^m,\end{aligned}$$

and recall that, by hypothesis,

$$\theta\mu \geq 0 \quad (1 - \theta)\mu \geq 0, \quad 1 - 2(1 - \theta)\mu \geq 0.$$

Suppose that U attains its maximum value U_j^{m+1} at an internal mesh point (x_j, t_{m+1}) where $j \in \{1, \dots, J-1\}$, $m \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$. If this is not the case, the proof is complete.

We define

$$U^* := \max\{U_{j+1}^{m+1}, U_{j-1}^{m+1}, U_{j+1}^m, U_{j-1}^m, U_j^m\}.$$

Then,

$$(1 + 2\theta\mu) U_j^{m+1} \leq 2\theta\mu U^* + 2(1 - \theta)\mu U^* \\ + [1 - 2(1 - \theta)\mu] U^* = (1 + 2\theta\mu) U^*,$$

and therefore

$$U_j^{m+1} \leq U^*.$$

However, also,

$$U^* \leq U_j^{m+1},$$

as U_j^{m+1} is assumed to be the overall maximum value. Hence,

$$U_j^{m+1} = U^*.$$

Thus the maximum value is also attained at **all** mesh points neighbouring (x_j, t_{m+1}) present in the stencil of the θ -scheme.

The same argument then applies to these neighbouring points, and we can then repeat this process until the boundary at $x = a$ or $x = b$ or at $t = 0$ is reached, in a finite number of steps.

The maximum is therefore attained at a boundary point.

By an identical argument the minimum is attained at a boundary point. \diamond

In summary then, for

$$\mu(1 - \theta) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

the θ -scheme satisfies the discrete maximum principle.

This condition is clearly more demanding than the ℓ_2 -stability condition:

$$\mu(1 - 2\theta) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

E.g., the Crank-Nicolson scheme is unconditionally stable in the ℓ_2 norm, yet it only satisfies the discrete maximum principle when $\mu := \frac{\Delta t}{(\Delta x)^2} \leq 1$.

Convergence of the θ -scheme in the maximum norm

We close our discussion of finite difference schemes for the heat equation in one space-dimension with the convergence analysis of the θ -scheme for the Dirichlet initial-boundary-value problem.

We begin by rewriting the scheme as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + 2\theta\mu) U_j^{m+1} &= \theta\mu \left(U_{j+1}^{m+1} + U_{j-1}^{m+1} \right) \\ &\quad + (1 - \theta)\mu \left(U_{j+1}^m + U_{j-1}^m \right) + [1 - 2(1 - \theta)\mu] U_j^m.\end{aligned}$$

The scheme is considered subject to the initial condition

$$U_j^0 = u_0(x_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, J,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$U_0^{m+1} = A(t_{m+1}), \quad U_J^{m+1} = B(t_{m+1}), \quad m = 0, \dots, M - 1.$$

The **consistency error** for the θ -scheme is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_j^m = & \frac{u_j^{m+1} - u_j^m}{\Delta t} - (1 - \theta) \frac{u_{j+1}^m - 2u_j^m + u_{j-1}^m}{(\Delta x)^2} \\ & - \theta \frac{u_{j+1}^{m+1} - 2u_j^{m+1} + u_{j-1}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2}, \quad \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, J-1, \\ m = 0, \dots, M-1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $u_j^m \equiv u(x_j, t_m)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 2\theta\mu) u_j^{m+1} = & \theta\mu (u_{j+1}^{m+1} + u_{j-1}^{m+1}) + (1 - \theta)\mu (u_{j+1}^m + u_{j-1}^m) \\ & + [1 - 2(1 - \theta)\mu] u_j^m + \Delta t T_j^m, \quad \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, J-1, \\ m = 0, \dots, M-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Define the **global error**, that is the discrepancy at a mesh-point between the exact solution and its numerical approximation, by

$$e_j^m := u(x_j, t_m) - U_j^m, \quad \begin{cases} j = 0, \dots, J, \\ m = 0, \dots, M. \end{cases}$$

It then follows that

$$e_0^{m+1} = 0, \quad e_J^{m+1} = 0, \quad e_j^0 = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, J,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 2\theta\mu) e_j^{m+1} = & \theta\mu (e_{j+1}^{m+1} + e_{j-1}^{m+1}) + (1 - \theta)\mu (e_{j+1}^m + e_{j-1}^m) \\ & + [1 - 2(1 - \theta)\mu] e_j^m + \Delta t T_j^m, \quad \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, J-1, \\ m = 0, \dots, M-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We define,

$$E^m = \max_{0 \leq j \leq J} |e_j^m| \quad \text{and} \quad T^m = \max_{1 \leq j \leq J-1} |T_j^m|.$$

As, by hypothesis,

$$\theta\mu \geq 0, \quad (1 - \theta)\mu \geq 0, \quad 1 - 2(1 - \theta)\mu \geq 0,$$

we have that

$$(1 + 2\theta\mu)E^{m+1} \leq 2\theta\mu E^{m+1} + E^m + \Delta t T^m.$$

Hence,

$$E^{m+1} \leq E^m + \Delta t T^m.$$

As $E^0 = 0$, upon summation,

$$\begin{aligned} E^m &\leq \Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} T^n \\ &\leq m\Delta t \max_{0 \leq n \leq m-1} T^n \\ &\leq T \max_{0 \leq m \leq M-1} \max_{1 \leq j \leq J-1} |T_j^m|, \end{aligned}$$

which then implies that

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq J} \max_{0 \leq m \leq M} |u(x_j, t_m) - U_j^m| \leq T \max_{1 \leq j \leq J-1} \max_{0 \leq m \leq M-1} |T_j^m|.$$

Recall that the consistency error of the θ -scheme is

$$T_j^m = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta t)^2) & \text{for } \theta = 1/2, \\ \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + \Delta t) & \text{for } \theta \neq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

For the explicit/implicit Euler schemes, for which

$$T_j^m = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + \Delta t),$$

one has the following bound on the global error:

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq J} \max_{0 \leq m \leq M} |u(x_j, t_m) - U_j^m| \leq \text{Const.} ((\Delta x)^2 + \Delta t),$$

while for the Crank–Nicolson scheme, which has consistency error

$$T_j^m = \mathcal{O}((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta t)^2),$$

one has

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq J} \max_{0 \leq m \leq M} |u(x_j, t_m) - U_j^m| \leq \text{Const.} ((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta t)^2).$$

Finite difference approximation in two space-dimensions

Consider the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega := (a, b) \times (c, d), \quad t \in (0, T],$$

subject to the initial condition

$$u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in [a, b] \times [c, d],$$

and the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = B(x, y, t), \quad (x, y) \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, T],$$

where $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω .

We begin by considering the explicit Euler finite difference scheme for this problem.

The explicit Euler scheme

Let

$$\delta_x^2 U_{ij} := U_{i+1,j} - 2U_{ij} + U_{i-1,j},$$

and

$$\delta_y^2 U_{ij} := U_{i,j+1} - 2U_{ij} + U_{i,j-1}.$$

Let, further, $\Delta x := (b - a)/J_x$, $\Delta y := (d - c)/J_y$, $\Delta t := T/M$, and define

$$\begin{aligned}x_i &= a + i\Delta x, & i &= 0, \dots, J_x, \\y_j &= c + j\Delta y, & j &= 0, \dots, J_y, \\t_m &= m\Delta t, & m &= 0, \dots, M.\end{aligned}$$

The explicit Euler finite difference scheme for the unsteady heat equation on the space-time domain $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ is then:

$$\frac{U_{ij}^{m+1} - U_{ij}^m}{\Delta t} = \frac{\delta_x^2 U_{ij}^m}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\delta_y^2 U_{ij}^m}{(\Delta y)^2},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, J_x - 1, j = 1, \dots, J_y - 1, m = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$, subject to the initial condition

$$U_{ij}^0 = u_0(x_i, y_j), \quad i = 0, \dots, J_x, \quad j = 0, \dots, J_y,$$

and the boundary condition

$$U_{ij}^m = B(x_i, y_j, t_m), \quad \text{at the boundary mesh points, for } m = 1, \dots, M.$$

The implicit Euler scheme

Let $\Delta x := (b - a)/J_x$, $\Delta y := (d - c)/J_y$, $\Delta t := T/M$, and define

$$\begin{aligned}x_i &= a + i\Delta x, & i &= 0, \dots, J_x, \\y_j &= c + j\Delta y, & j &= 0, \dots, J_y, \\t_m &= m\Delta t, & m &= 0, \dots, M.\end{aligned}$$

The implicit Euler finite difference scheme for the problem is then

$$\frac{U_{ij}^{m+1} - U_{ij}^m}{\Delta t} = \frac{\delta_x^2 U_{ij}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\delta_y^2 U_{ij}^{m+1}}{(\Delta y)^2},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, J_x - 1$, $j = 1, \dots, J_y - 1$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$,

subject to the initial condition

$$U_{ij}^0 = u_0(x_i, y_j), \quad i = 0, \dots, J_x, \quad j = 0, \dots, J_y,$$

and the boundary condition

$$U_{ij}^{m+1} = B(x_i, y_j, t_{m+1}), \quad \text{at the boundary mesh points,} \\ \text{for } m = 0, \dots, M - 1.$$

The θ -scheme

Let $\Delta x := (b - a)/J_x$, $\Delta y := (d - c)/J_y$, $\Delta t := T/M$, and, for $\theta \in [0, 1]$, consider the finite difference scheme

$$\frac{U_{ij}^{m+1} - U_{ij}^m}{\Delta t} = (1 - \theta) \left(\frac{\delta_x^2 U_{ij}^m}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\delta_y^2 U_{ij}^m}{(\Delta y)^2} \right) + \theta \left(\frac{\delta_x^2 U_{ij}^{m+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\delta_y^2 U_{ij}^{m+1}}{(\Delta y)^2} \right)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, J_x - 1$, $j = 1, \dots, J_y - 1$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$, subject to the initial condition

$$U_{ij}^0 = u_0(x_i, y_j), \quad i = 0, \dots, J_x, \quad j = 0, \dots, J_y,$$

and the boundary condition

$$U_{ij}^{m+1} = B(x_i, y_j, t_{m+1}), \quad \text{at the boundary mesh points,} \\ \text{for } m = 0, \dots, M - 1.$$