#### Stochastic Simulation: Lecture 9b

#### Christoph Reisinger

Oxford University Mathematical Institute

Modified from earlier slides by Prof. Mike Giles.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

We will now discuss the practical implementation of the multilevel Monte Carlo method:

- ▶ mlmc.m / mlmc.py / mlmc.cpp: "driver" code which performs the MLMC calculation using a user routine to estimate E[P<sub>ℓ</sub> − P<sub>ℓ−1</sub>] using N<sub>ℓ</sub> samples
- mlmc\_test.m / mlmc\_test.py / mlmc\_test.cpp: routine which does a lot of tests and then calls mlmc to perform a number of MLMC calculations

# Details of MLMC code

mlmc\_test first performs a set of calculations using a fixed number of samples on each level of resolution, and produces 4 plots:

▶  $\log_2(V_\ell)$  versus level  $\ell$ 

If  $V_\ell \sim 2^{-\beta \ell}$  then the slope of this line should asymptote towards  $-\beta$ 

▶ 
$$\log_2(|\mathbb{E}[P_{\ell} - P_{\ell-1}]|)$$
 versus level  $\ell$ 

If  $|\mathbb{E}[P_\ell - P_{\ell-1}]| \sim 2^{-\alpha \ell}$  then the slope of this line should asymptote towards  $-\alpha$ 

- consistency check versus level
- kurtosis versus level

#### Consistency check

If a, b, c are estimates for  $\mathbb{E}[P_{\ell-1}]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[P_{\ell}]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[P_{\ell} - P_{\ell-1}]$ , then it should be true that  $a - b + c \approx 0$ .

The consistency check verifies that this is true, to within the accuracy one would expect due to sampling error.

Since

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}]} \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{a}]} + \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{b}]} + \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{c}]}$$

it computes the ratio

$$\frac{|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}|}{3(\sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{a}]} + \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{b}]} + \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{c}]})}$$

The probability of this ratio being greater than 1 based on random sampling errors is extremely small. If it is, it indicates a likely programming error.

### Kurtosis check

The MLMC approach needs a good estimate for  $V_{\ell} = \mathbb{V}[P_{\ell} - P_{\ell-1}]$ , but how many samples are need for this?

As few as 10 may be sufficient in many cases for a rough estimate, but many more are needed when there are rare outliers.

When the number of samples N is large, the standard deviation of the sample variance for a random variable X with zero mean is approximately

$$\sqrt{rac{\kappa-1}{N}} \, \mathbb{E}[X^2]$$
 where kurtosis  $\kappa$  is defined as  $\kappa = rac{\mathbb{E}[X^4]}{(\mathbb{E}[X^2])^2}$ 

(http://mathworld.wolfram.com/SampleVarianceDistribution.html)

As well as computing  $\kappa_{\ell}$ , mlmc\_test will give a warning if  $\kappa_{\ell}$  is very large.

# Kurtosis check

An extreme (but important) example is a digital option in which P always takes the value 0 or 1.

In this case we have

$$X \equiv P_{\ell} - P_{\ell-1} = \left\{egin{array}{cc} 1, & ext{probability } p \ -1, & ext{probability } q \ 0, & ext{probability } 1 - p - q \end{array}
ight.$$

If  $p,q\ll 1$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X]pprox 0$ , and

$$\kappapproxrac{p+q}{(p+q)^2}=(p+q)^{-1}\gg 1$$

Therefore, many samples are required for a good estimate of  $V_{\ell}$ , and if we don't have many samples, we may even get all  $X^{(n)} = 0$ , which will give an estimated variance of zero.

# MLMC algorithm

```
start with L\!=\!2, and initial target of N_0 samples on levels \ell=0,1,2
```

```
while extra samples need to be evaluated do
  evaluate extra samples on each level
  compute/update estimates for V_{\ell}, C_{\ell}, \ell = 0, \ldots, L
  define optimal N_{\ell}, \ \ell = 0, \dots, L
  if no new samples needed then
    test for weak convergence
    if not converged then
       if L == L_{max} then
         print warning message - failed to converge
       else
         set L := L+1, and initialise target N_l
       end if
    end if
  end if
end while
```

# MLMC algorithm

Objective: to achieve

$$\mathsf{MSE} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} V_{\ell}/N_{\ell} + (\mathbb{E}[P_{L}-P])^{2} \leq \varepsilon^{2}$$

by choosing L such that

$$(\mathbb{E}[P_L - P])^2 \le \theta \, \varepsilon^2$$

and  $N_\ell$  such that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} V_{\ell}/N_{\ell} \leq (1\!-\!\theta) \, \varepsilon^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Use e.g.  $\theta = 0.5$  or  $\theta = 0.25$ .

#### MLMC – optimal $N_{\ell}$

Given L, optimal choice for  $N_\ell$  is

$$N_{\ell} = \frac{1}{1-\theta} \varepsilon^{-2} \sqrt{V_{\ell}/C_{\ell}} \sum_{\ell'=0}^{L} \left( \sqrt{V_{\ell'} C_{\ell'}} \right)$$

#### $V_{\ell}$ is estimated from empirical variance.

In python code,  $C_{\ell} = 2^{\gamma \ell}$ , where  $\gamma$  is user input.

In MATLAB and C++ code user defines  $C_{\ell}$ , for example by counting how many random numbers are generated.

#### MLMC – convergence check

If  $\mathbb{E}[P_\ell\!-\!P_{\ell-1}]\,\propto\,2^{-lpha\ell}$  then the remaining error is

$$\mathbb{E}[P-P_L] = \sum_{\ell=L+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[P_\ell - P_{\ell-1}] \approx \mathbb{E}[P_L - P_{L-1}] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha k}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[P_L - P_{L-1}] / (2^{\alpha} - 1)$$

We want  $|\mathbb{E}[P - P_L]| < \sqrt{\theta} \ \varepsilon$ , so that gives the convergence test

$$|\mathbb{E}[P_L - P_{L-1}]| \ / \ (2^{lpha} - 1) < \sqrt{ heta} \ arepsilon$$

For robustness, we extend this check to extrapolate also from the previous two data points  $\mathbb{E}[P_{L-1}-P_{L-2}]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[P_{L-2}-P_{L-3}]$ , and take the maximum over all three as the estimated remaining error.

```
% function [P, N1, cost] = mlmc(mlmc_1,N0,eps,Lmin,Lmax,
%
                               alpha, beta, gamma, varargin)
%
% multi-level Monte Carlo estimation
%
% P = value
% N1 = number of samples at each level
% cost = total cost
%
% NO = initial number of samples
                                           > 0
% eps = desired accuracy (rms error)
                                           > 0
% Lmin = minimum level of refinement
                                           >= 2
% Lmax = maximum level of refinement
                                           >= Lmin
%
% alpha -> weak error is 0(2^{-alpha*l})
% beta -> variance is O(2^{-beta*1})
% gamma -> sample cost is O(2^{gamma*1})
%
% varargin = optional additional user variables to be passed to mlmc_1
%
% if alpha, beta, gamma are not positive, then they will be estimated
%
```

```
%
% mlmc 1 = function for level 1 estimator
%
%
  [sums, cost] = mlmc_fn(1,N, varargin) low-level routine
%
% inputs: l = level
%
         N = number of samples
%
           varargin = optional additional user variables
%
%
 output: sums(1) = sum(Y)
%
         sums(2) = sum(Y.^2)
%
         where Y are iid samples with expected value:
%
         ε[ρ 0]
                      on level O
%
         E[P_1 - P_{1-1}] on level 1>0
%
         cost = cost of N samples
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

```
function [P, N1, C1] = mlmc(mlmc_1,N0,eps,Lmin,Lmax, ...
alpha0,beta0,gamma0, varargin)
```

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

```
%
%
 check input parameters
  if (Lmin<2)
    error('error: needs Lmin >= 2');
  end
  if (Lmax<Lmin)
    error('error: needs Lmax >= Lmin');
  end
  if (NO<=0 || eps<=0)
    error('error: needs N0>0, eps>0 \n');
  end
%
%
 initialisation
%
  alpha = max(0, alpha0);
  beta = max(0, beta0);
  gamma = max(0, gamma0);
```

```
theta = 0.25:
 L = Lmin;
 N1(1:L+1) = 0;
 suml(1:2,1:L+1) = 0;
 costl(1:L+1) = 0:
 dNl(1:L+1) = NO;
 while sum(dN1) > 0
%
% update sample sums
%
   for 1=0:L
     if dN1(1+1) > 0
        [sums cost] = mlmc_l(l,dNl(l+1), varargin{:});
       Nl(l+1) = Nl(l+1) + dNl(l+1);
       suml(1, 1+1) = suml(1, 1+1) + sums(1);
       suml(2,1+1) = suml(2,1+1) + sums(2);
       costl(l+1) = costl(l+1) + cost;
     end
   end
```

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

```
%
%
  compute absolute average, variance and cost
%
    ml = abs( suml(1,:)./Nl);
    Vl = max(0, suml(2,:)./Nl - ml.^2);
    Cl = costl./Nl;
%
\% fix to cope with possible zero values for ml and Vl
% (can happen in some applications when there are few samples)
%
    for 1 = 3:L+1
      ml(1) = max(ml(1), 0.5*ml(1-1)/2^alpha);
      Vl(1) = max(Vl(1), 0.5*Vl(1-1)/2^{beta});
    end
```

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

```
%
\% use linear regression to estimate alpha, beta, gamma if not given
%
    A = repmat((1:L)',1,2).^repmat(1:-1:0,L,1);
    if alpha0 <= 0
             = A \setminus \log_2(ml(2:end));
      х
      alpha = max(0.5, -x(1));
    end
    if beta <= 0
         = A \setminus \log_2(V1(2:end))';
      x
      beta = max(0.5, -x(1));
    end
    if gamma0 <= 0
             = A \setminus \log_2(Cl(2:end))';
      x
      gamma = max(0.5, x(1));
    end
%
%
 set optimal number of additional samples
%
    Ns = ceil( sqrt(V1./Cl)*sum(sqrt(V1.*Cl)) / ((1-theta)*eps<sup>2</sup>) );
    dNl = max(0, Ns-Nl);
                                                      ▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()
```

```
% if (almost) converged, estimate remaining error and decide
% whether a new level is required
%
   if sum(dNl > 0.01*Nl) == 0
     range = 0:\min(2,L-1);
     rem = max(ml(L+1-range) ./ 2.^(range*alpha)) / (2^alpha - 1);
     if rem > sqrt(theta)*eps
       if (L==Lmax)
         fprintf(1,'*** failed to achieve weak convergence *** \n');
       else
         L = L+1:
         Vl(L+1) = Vl(L) / 2^{beta};
         Cl(L+1) = Cl(L) * 2^{gamma};
         N1(L+1) = 0;
         suml(1:2,L+1) = 0;
         costl(L+1) = 0:
         Ns = ceil( sqrt(V1./C1) * sum(sqrt(V1.*C1)) ...
                                 / ((1-theta)*eps^2) );
         dNl = max(0, Ns-Nl);
       end
     end
   end
 end
```

```
%
% finally, evaluate multilevel estimator
%
P = sum(suml(1,:)./Nl);
```

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)